FRANKLIN COUNTY

ELECTION BOARD MEETING

MARCH 3, 2025

PROCEEDINGS OF THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

before Chairman, Douglas J. Preisse;

Deputy Director, David Payne; Director, Antone

White; Board Members, Michael Sexton, Kim

Marinello, and Meredith Freedhoff, on Monday, March

3, 2025, beginning at approximately 3:13 p.m. and

concluding at approximately 6:02 p.m.

ALSO PRESENT:

Erin Gibbons

Jeffrey Mackey

Audience

Jeanine Hummer

		2			
1	Monday Afternoon Session				
2	March 3, 2025				
3	3:13 p.m.				
4					
5	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S				
6	DIRECTOR PAYNE: So it looks like we				
7	have a quorum, but I will go ahead and take roll.				
8	DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Kim				
9	Marinello?				
10	MEMBER MARINELLO: Here.				
11	DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Mike Sexton?				
12	MEMBER SEXTON: Here.				
13	DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Doug				
14	Preisse?				
15	CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here.				
16	DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And Meredith				
17	Freedhoff?				
18	MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Here.				
19	DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We do have a				
20	quorum.				
21	Mr. Chairman, the first item on the				
22	agenda is the approval of minutes.				
23	I believe Erin sent out the minutes				
24	from the January 13th, 2025 meeting to everyone,				

```
3
     so, hopefully you had an opportunity to take a look
 1
 2.
     at that.
 3
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Yeah.
 4
                    Chairman, Meredith Freedhoff. I
 5
     move that the Board approve the minutes of the
 6
     January 13th, 2025 meeting of the Franklin County
     Board of Elections as submitted.
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second the
 9
    motion.
10
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been
11
     properly moved and seconded.
12
                    All those in favor of the motion,
13
     signify by saying aye.
14
                     (Vote taken.)
15
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
16
     same sign.
17
                     (No response.)
18
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
19
     carries.
20
                    The next item on the agenda would be
2.1
     the selection of a temporary Chairman.
                    MEMBER SEXTON: Mr. Chairman,
22
23
     Michael Sexton, I move that the Board appoint
24
     Meredith Freedhoff as the temporary Chairman for
```

```
4
 1
     the purpose of reorganization.
 2
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second the
 3
    motion.
 4
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been
 5
     properly moved and seconded.
 6
                    All those in favor of the motion,
 7
     signify by saying aye.
 8
                     (Vote taken.)
 9
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
10
     same sign.
11
                     (No response.)
12
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
13
     carries.
14
                    The next item on the agenda would be
15
     the appointment of a Director of the Board of
     Elections.
16
17
                    MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, Kim
18
    Marinello -- Ms. Chairman, Madam Chairman, I move
19
     that the Board appoint Antone White as the Director
20
     of the Franklin County Board of Elections, at a
21
     compensation rate of $76.45 per hour.
22
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second the
    motion.
23
24
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been
```

```
5
 1
     properly moved and seconded.
                    All those in favor of the motion,
 2
 3
     signify by saying aye.
 4
                     (Vote taken.)
 5
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
 6
     same sign.
 7
                     (No response.)
 8
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
 9
     carries.
10
                    Do we want to swear in the Director
11
     at this point?
12
                    MS. HUMMER: I sure can.
13
                    Ready?
14
                    DIRECTOR WHITE:
                                      Ready.
15
                    MS. HUMMER: Ready to take it?
16
                    DIRECTOR WHITE: Ready to take it.
17
                     (Director Antone White sworn in as
18
     Director by Ms. Hummer.)
19
                    MS. HUMMER: You have now been sworn
20
     in.
2.1
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE:
22
     Congratulations, Director.
23
                    DIRECTOR WHITE:
                                      Thank you.
24
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The next
```

6 1 item on the agenda is the appointment of a Deputy 2. Director. 3 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Chairman, 4 Meredith Freedhoff. I move that the Board appoint 5 David Payne as the Deputy Director of the Franklin County Board of Elections, at the compensation rate 6 7 of \$74.33 per hour. 8 MEMBER SEXTON: Michael Sexton, I'll 9 second. 10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been 11 properly moved and seconded. 12 All those in favor of the motion, 13 signify by saying aye. 14 (Vote taken.) 15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 16 same sign. 17 (No response.) 18 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion 19 carries. 20 Thank you, Board. I appreciate you all. 2.1 22 (Deputy Director David Payne sworn 23 in as Deputy Director.) 2.4 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you, again

```
7
 1
     Justice, for helping us out today. We appreciate
 2
     it very much.
 3
                    Now if you'll get back to work.
 4
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Yeah, now I
 5
     can breathe a little bit easier now.
 6
                    The next item would be the
 7
     appointment of a Chairman, a permanent Chairman.
 8
                    MS. FREEDHOFF: Meredith Freedhoff.
 9
     I move that the Board appoint Doug Preisse as the
     Chairman of the Franklin County Board of Elections.
10
11
                    MEMBER MARINELLO: I second.
12
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been
13
     properly moved and seconded.
14
                    All those in favor of the motion,
15
     signify by saying aye.
16
                     (Vote taken.)
17
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
18
     same sign.
19
                     (No response.)
20
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
     carries.
2.1
22
                    Congratulations, Mr. Chairman.
23
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                        Thank you.
2.4
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE:
                                             The next
```

1 item on the agenda would be the appointment of 2. full-time clerks. Everybody that's full-time 3 employees of the Board of Elections are considered 4 clerks, and I believe in your packet you have an 5 Exhibit A of all of the full-time Republicans and 6 all the full-time Democrats on staff at the Board 7 of Elections. 8 MEMBER SEXTON: Mr. Chairman, 9 Michael Sexton, I move that the Board appoint the employees listed on Exhibit A as full-time clerks 10 11 of the Board of Elections and fix as their hourly 12 compensation rate -- compensation the rates listed 13 with their respective names. 14 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Doug Preisse, I second the motion. 15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been 16 17 properly moved and seconded. 18 All those in favor of the motion, 19 signify by saying aye. 20 (Vote taken.) 2.1 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 22 same sign. 23 (No response.) 2.4 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The

motion carries.

2.1

The next item on the agenda, we have a couple of candidate protests.

The first protest was brought to us by Donald Byrnes against Tiara Ross for the office of Columbus City Council, District 7, and I'm going to call on Jeff Mackey, the manager of petitions and filings, to go over some of the information regarding the protest.

MR. MACKEY: Thank you, Board Members. Congratulations.

In the matter of the protest of candidacy of Tiara Ross for Columbus City Council District 7, we did receive on February 19, 2025 a protest of candidacy. It was filed per Revised Code Section 3513.05, with our office, by Donald Joseph Byrnes, at 591 Chestershire Road Columbus, Ohio 43204, a registered elector.

The deadline for protest was February 22nd, 2025, so the protest was timely filed.

Mr. Byrnes is challenging the candidacy of Tiara Ross, 50 South Grant Street, Apartment 609, Columbus, Ohio 43215, a candidate

```
for Columbus City Council, Council District 7, on
1
2.
     the basis that she does not meet the residency
3
     requirement of Columbus City Charter Section 6,
4
     qualifications of Council members.
5
                    Tiara Ross did file a petition on
6
     February 4th, 2025. It was reviewed by staff and
7
     found to be timely filed, valid and sufficient.
                    The petition was certified by the
8
9
     Board at its February 14th, 2025 meeting.
     believe we have provided you a number of exhibits.
10
11
                    Exhibit A is a copy of the protest.
12
     Exhibit B will be Revised Code Section 3513.05, for
13
     your reference, regarding the filing of protest and
14
    how to hold a hearing.
15
                    Exhibit C is a copy of the petition
16
     that was filed.
17
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Exhibit what?
18
                    MR. MACKEY: Exhibit C. It's the
19
     copy of the petition. It will be the legal size
20
     document there in your packet.
2.1
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: My Exhibit C is a
22
     -- is a Revised Code citation. Did you say C or B?
23
                    MR. MACKEY: C should be a copy of
```

her petition. Let me look.

11 Okay. I'm sorry. I've got it wrong 1 2 on my memo. 3 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. 4 MR. MACKEY: Exhibit B is the 5 Exhibit C is the Revised Code section petition. 6 regarding protests; and Exhibit D, I believe, is 7 Section 6 of the Columbus City Charter, for your reference. 8 9 And then we also provided Exhibit E, 10 which is a copy of the registration history of Ms. 11 Ross' two documents there. If you look under the 12 signature section on both documents, you'll see the 13 address history listed. 14 This one is a Franklin County 15 registration, and then one is her Licking County 16 registration. 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And, Jeff, the crux of this protest is that Mr. Byrnes is saying 18 that the candidate did not reside --19 20 MS. HUMMER: Did not -- if I may, 2.1 the crux of the challenge is that the candidate did 22 not meet the residency requirements of the Columbus 23 City Charter --24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Did not meet the

requirements of the Columbus City Charter. And
then would point to -
MS. HUMMER: I think the parties
will have a hearing to present each side of this
protest and you'll be able to evaluate the evidence
as presented.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Right. We'll do
that, but are you pointing to --

MS. HUMMER: I don't think he's going to be pointing to anything. He's merely providing you with the information that's necessary to begin your hearing.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Then what are we -- who do we wish to hear from first? The candidate and --

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ HUMMER: So if I could at least set the table for the hearing.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yeah, please do.

MS. HUMMER: You will call the order of the hearing and follow the process as set forth by the prosecutor's office, and then each side will be given an opportunity to make a brief opening statement.

And then there will be a

www.HigginsCourtReporting.com

- presentation of evidence, beginning with 1 2. Petitioner, the protestor who is stating that there 3 is a violation of the Charter for purposes of 4 candidacy. 5 Each side -- there can be 6 cross-examination by the other side, if necessary 7 or if they want to, and there will be admission of evidence based on what is presented. 8 9 The Board will have an opportunity 10 at each stage, each witness, for clarifying 11 questions, but to begin the process of, I would say 12 let's open the hearing and allow each side to 13 identify themselves and if they are with legal 14 counsel. 15 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And opening the 16 hearing is nothing more than the --17 MS. HUMMER: Setting the stage for 18 this, so that everything said is now made part of 19 the record of the hearing. 20 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Good. 2.1 Then let's proceed. And we wish to hear first from
- MS. HUMMER: At least have each side identify themselves first so we know if they're

the protester, I guess.

```
14
1
     represented by counsel.
2
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes. Okay.
3
    Let's do that on the record.
4
                    MR. BYRNES: Right here?
5
                    MS. HUMMER: Yeah, just identify
6
     yourself first.
7
                    MR. BYRNES: Okay. Good afternoon.
    My name is Donald J. Byrnes. Like I said, I live
8
9
     at 591 Chestershire Avenue, and I am filing the
    protest against Tiara Ross's candidacy because I
10
11
    believe she does not meet the requirements
12
     established in the City Charter to --
                    MS. HUMMER: He needs to be sworn
13
14
     in.
15
                    MR. BYRNES: I need to be sworn in?
16
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.
                                               Let's
17
     swear you in.
18
                    (Donald Byrnes sworn.)
19
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                       Okay.
                                               Sorry
20
     start again.
2.1
                    MR. BYRNES: Just identify myself?
22
    My opening spill?
23
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Identify yourself
24
     and then --
```

15 1 MS. HUMMER: We can just move forward. 2. 3 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yeah, go ahead. 4 MR. BYRNES: The spill? All right. 5 You want the spill? 6 All right. Obviously, you know, 7 we've got a binder full of evidence to go through here, but I would say this case is very, very, 8 9 very, very simple. It's based on only one fact, that Ms. Ross was not a resident of Columbus City 10 11 proper on May 6th, 2024, as required by the City 12 Charter. 13 The Columbus City Charter states 14 that in order to hold a seat on City Council, a 15 person shall have resided within Columbus and their 16 district for not less than one consecutive year 17 prior to the date of the primary election. 18 Therefore, if Ms. Ross is not a 19 resident of Columbus on May 6, 2024, she is 20 ineligible to hold a seat on Columbus City Council. 2.1 Clear and convincing evidence shows 22 that she was not a resident on this date, and if 23 she's not a resident on May 6th, then she is 24 ineligible.

2.

I point to the crux of my argument in Ohio Revised Code 3503.02. It states that -- it controls the determination of residency in election matters.

Letter A of this statute states that a person's residence is where a person's habitation is fixed, and to which whenever the person is absent, the person has an intention of returning.

The Board and the courts must assume that the legislature, our beautiful state legislature, intended each word of the statute to have purpose and must strive to give all its words force and meaning.

This means that the intent to return to a place is not of relevant consideration in residency unless the person has fixed their habitation in that place.

One Supreme Court case does address habitation. I'm sorry; I'm struggling with that word. Habitation being fixed in Holwadel versus Hamilton County Board of Elections. The Court upheld that the Board of Elections's dismissal of a challenge to Mr. Simes' voter registration.

The Board found that the challenger

2.

2.1

had failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that Mr. Simes had not established a habitation in Hamilton County, to which he intended to return when his business concluded.

There was evidence presented that Mr. Signs had canceled his voter registration in Chicago and registered to vote in Hamilton County. He had forwarded his mail to his friend's Cincinnati condo. He had tried to obtain a driver's license in Ohio.

The Ohio Supreme Court pointed out that Holwadel -- that it has never actually defined what it means for a person's habitation to be fixed in place.

Despite that fact, the Court stated that the facts that the challenger had shown that Mr. Simes did not have a lease, that he has no clothes at his friend's condo and that he had no agreement with the friend who owned the condo as to living there when Mr. Simes returned. We are not — they were not necessarily proof of habitation.

The Court concluded that the Board of Elections' decision would stand, based on the fact that there was enough evidence of residency

2.

2.1

for it to dismiss the challenge to Mr. Simes' voter registration.

The Court identified evidence supporting residency as the fact that the voter had canceled his voter registration in Chicago. He had registered to vote in Hamilton County and had forwarded his mail to his friend's Cincinnati condo, and he had tried to obtain a driver's license in Ohio.

Holwadel minimally establishes that voter registration receiving mail and driver's licenses are some proof of habitation, and Ms. Ross failed to take any of those actions on or before May 6th, 2024.

The evidence I'm presenting today are primarily five items. Number one: No voter registration until September 22nd, 2024. The Licking County Board of Elections shows that Ms. Ross registered to vote in Licking County on May 2nd, 2026, at 845 Mueller Drive when she was 18 years old.

She did not change her voter registration from 845 Mueller Drive address until September 22nd, 2024 --

2.1

THE REPORTER: Sir, I know you're reading, but I need you to speak for the record a little bit slower. Thank you.

MR. BYRNES: Okay. My bad; I'm sorry. I was getting into it.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: That's all right.

MR. BYRNES: Her registration -- or her decision not to register to vote in Franklin County until September of 2024 indicates that she had not fixed her habitation in the City of Columbus on May 6th, 2024.

The second piece of evidence, the campaign contribution to Shayla Favor, listing her address as 845 Mueller Avenue on August 8th -- on August 10th, 2024.

On August 10th, 2024, Ms. Ross made a campaign contribution to Shayla Favor for the democratic county prosecutor and listed her address as 845 Mueller Drive.

Assuming that this contribution was made through ActBlue website, commonly used by Democrats to process campaign contributions, this means that Ms. Ross' credit or debit card used for the transaction still had the cardholder address as

2.1

845 Mueller Road on August 10th, 2024.

When the Columbus Dispatch interviewed Ms. Ross in relation to this challenge, she stated she had not yet changed her credit card billing address in August, and that is why she used the 845 Mueller for her donation.

Well, that seemed likely as a reasonable explanation. Her use of 845 Mueller as her address goes directly to whether she had fixed her habitation at 50 South Grant Avenue.

Her decision not to change her credit card billing address until sometime after August 10th indicates that 50 South Grant was not her habitation on May 6th, 2024.

The third piece of evidence: The campaign contribution to Melissa Green. City Council Melissa Green listing her address -- listing Ms. Ross' address as 50 South Grant.

Ms. Ross made a campaign contribution to Melissa Green for City Council on October 17th, 2024. She disclosed her address as 50 South Grant Avenue.

This means that at some point between August 10th and October 17th, 2024, Ms.

Ross changed he	r address	with ner	crealt	cara
company or bank				

2.1

This supports the fact that she only began to take steps to establish 50 South Grant Avenue as her habitation in September 2024, and it was not her habitation on May 6th, 2024.

The fourth and fifth pieces of evidence -- obviously, I've got a whole paper after this. I'm sorry to keep it going for you guys.

The fourth and fifth piece of evidence, the driver's license and car registration were at 845 Mueller until at least September 2024.

The Oho BMV records show that Ms.

Ross's driver's license identified 845 Mueller Drive through at least September of 2024.

Additionally, it shows that her personal vehicle, a 2021 Hyundai Sante Fe, with the license plate JKH 8141, was registered at 845 Mueller Drive from June 2021 through at least September of 2024.

The BMV report seems to show that her license and registration both expired on September 17th, 2024 and has not been renewed. Her driver's license report indicates that her license

```
22
1
    has been suspended.
2
                    At this point, I'll pass this out.
3
                    MS. HUMMER: You need to have it
4
    marked as an exhibit.
5
                    MR. BYRNES: How do I do that?
6
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is it already
7
    part of what we have?
8
                    MR. BYRNES: No; it's just more
9
     detailed --
10
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So how should we
     have this marked?
11
12
                    MS. HUMMER: So we have the court
13
     reporter mark it as Exhibit Petitioner or
14
     Petitioner Exhibit A.
15
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. So we'll
16
     call this Petitioner Exhibit A.
17
18
     (Thereupon, Petitioner Exhibit A was marked for
19
     purposes of identification.)
20
21
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Do you
    want to describe this to us?
22
23
                    MR. BYRNES: I would say that it's a
24
     recap of my filing in full, attached with the voter
```

2.

2.1

2.3

registration information, as well as the BMV report, showing her license and registration and how it expired last year and hasn't been renewed and lists her status as suspended.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Is that the conclusion of your prepared remarks --

MR. BYRNES: Well, I just wanted to say also that, you know, many challenges to a candidate's voter registration focus on evidence that the candidate intends to return to their voting address.

For example, the famous case, Husted versus Brunner. Husted's intent to return to his Kettering home after he was no longer in office was considered a very important piece of evidence regarding his residency. This is because he had already established that he resided in Kettering for 14 years prior to spending time in his wife's home in Columbus.

Another important holding from that case is that there were multiple sections of 3503.02, can apply great weight that must be accorded to the person's claimed voting address.

So if, for some reason, this Board

2.

feels that multiple sections of 3503.02 apply, then you must strongly consider the fact that Ms. Ross was registered to vote at 845 Mueller Drive, on May 6th, 2024.

However, 3503.02 A is clearly applicable in this situation without interference from the other sections.

Remembering that all words of the section must be given meaning. Ms. Ross' intent to return to any particular address is not relevant until she has fixed her habitation in that place.

She's certainly had fixed her habitation at 845 Mueller Drive throughout the years 2006 through 2024. She was registered to vote there. Received her mail there, registered her car there, and her driver's license listed that address. She did not change any of those items prior to May 6th, 2024.

All evidence of the type typically considered by Ohio Courts to indicate a fixed habitation, as in the Holwadel case, her driver's license, car registration, credit card billing address, and voter registration support that her habitation was not fixed in Columbus on May 6th.

2.5

```
1
                    Her intent to return to that address
2
     is only relevant for determining her residence
3
     after she fixed her habitation there at some point
4
     in September.
5
                                 Mr. Chairman, if he's
                    MS. HUMMER:
6
     concluded his testimony, then we need to offer the
7
     candidate's counsel an opportunity to
     cross-examine.
8
9
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Cross-examine and
10
     then questions from the Board --
11
                    MS. HUMMER: Any clarifying --
12
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: After the --
13
                    MS. HUMMER: If you need
14
     clarification of any evidence presented, you may
     ask clarifying questions.
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.
                                               Then we
17
     shall do that. And ask if there are questions,
18
     cross-examination from the -- what's the right word
19
     -- not Defendant --
                    MS. HUMMER: The candidate.
20
2.1
                    MR. PREISSE: Say that again.
22
                    MS. HUMMER: The candidate.
23
                    MR. COLOMBO: Thank you, Chairman
24
     Preisse.
```

2.6 1 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes; I think 2 you're probably -- you're used to this, but you 3 might as well go ahead and identify yourself. 4 MR. COLOMBO: Will do. Will do. 5 I'm Corey Colombo from the law firm of McTique and 6 Colombo, and my client is Tiara Ross, candidate for 7 Columbus City Council District 7. And now would be the appropriate time -- would you rather me stand 8 9 or sit? 10 MS. HUMMER: You can stand. 11 MR. COLOMBO: Okay. 12 MS. HUMMER: I think it would be easier. 13 MR. COLOMBO: Okay. 14 15 Mr. Byrnes, you made a serious accusation here that a candidate misled the Board 16 into their residency, so I obviously am going to 17 18 have some questions for you. 19 MR. BYRNES: Shoot, Brother. 20 BY MR. COLOMBO: 2.1 0. What due diligence did you complete 22 to find out where Tiara Ross lived as of May 6th,

Α.

2024?

23

24

I refer you to the report I just

2.7 1 filed, the seven-page report I just filed with the 2. Board. 3 Ο. And that's a complete record of all 4 your evidence? 5 Α. Yeah. 6 0. Okay. Did you make any public 7 records requests? 8 We contracted a private investigator Α. to obtain the driver's license records. 9 10 Ο. Okay. How about the question on --11 did you make public records request? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Did you -- any of your supporters 14 make a records request on your behalf? 15 I have no supporters. Α. 16 Okay. So what other -- so in Q. 17 addition to what was alleged in the protest, the 18 only other things you have now are a driver's 19 license from before, correct? 20 Α. Yeah, her voter -- her car 21 registration, which is one of the key points in the Holwadel decision about establishing habitation as 22

Q.

a residency.

23

24

Who -- which individuals did you

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

2.8

- consult with to gather your evidence?
- A. You mean other than this information that wasn't publicly available?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. There was a private eye in Cincinnati that we hired for the -- for the registration and stuff.
 - Q. And what was his name?
 - A. I can get it for you. Just a second. His name was Adam Bisnic, B-I-S-N-I-C.
- I would like to point out that Ms.
- Ross's car, as identified, also has expired tags out in the parking lot.
- Q. Well, is that relevant to today's hearing?
- A. I mean, it just shows that she

 hasn't updated her registration yet, her car

 registration, which is, again, one of the key

 cruxes to establishing habitation -- I can't say

 this word -- habitation at her residency.
 - Q. Did you consult with any Council candidates or their teams in preparation for this hearing?
- 24 A. No.

29 1 Q. Why is that funny? 2 Do you know who you're talking to? Α. 3 Ο. Never heard your name. Never heard 4 your name before --5 My name is DJ Byrnes. I run the 6 Rooster. It's an independent blog. I'm not really 7 -- it's an independent blog covering Ohio politics, so I'm here on my own volition. It's not part of a 8 9 campaign or consulting with anyone. 10 Okay. But under oath, to answer the 11 question, did you consult with any other Columbus 12 Council candidates? 13 Α. No. 14 Q. Or their campaigns? 15 Α. No. 16 And I'm sorry; I had never heard of Q. 17 the Rooster before you filed this protest? 18 Α. It's fine. It was just a funny 19 question. I'm sorry; I don't mean to laugh. It's 20 a serious proceeding. Okay. It sounds like you're 2.1 0. 22 familiar with ActBlue, correct?

Α.

Q.

Yeah.

23

24

And even in your opening, you

2.1

- acknowledge ActBlue is going to keep the outdated
 -- or keep your old information on file if you made
 a prior donation, correct?
- A. Yeah. You're saying it could be auto filled? For sure, and if -- that could happen, and if that were our only piece of evidence, we wouldn't have filed the challenge, but the ActBlue stuff goes in accordance with the rest of the challenge.
- Q. Okay. But you do acknowledge they have your old information on file?
- A. I wouldn't say it's old. I would say you have to update -- if she had updated her credit card -- it verifies each time you make the donation, so when she made that donation, it proves that she hadn't really updated her credit card, because it's a different zip code.
- So, again, it ties into her establishing habitation at this residency of the lease that she signed three days prior. She hadn't done so much after the fact.
- Q. So you acknowledge that she signed a lease three days --
 - A. She said she signed a lease. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- haven't seen that lease. I would like to look at that lease, but I'm just going off her word. I have no reason to doubt that, but that's what she told the Dispatch.
- Q. Okay. So if we show you a copy of a lease date, you will be satisfied that she resides in Columbus?
- A. I would be more interested in the electronic version of that lease, but, yeah, if you wanted to submit the lease, sure, but again, that doesn't really tie into the argument. We acknowledged the lease in the opening statement.
- Q. You mentioned driver's license. Do you change yours on the day you move?
- A. If I was running for City Council and I wanted to establish residency, I absolutely would. I absolutely would.
- Q. But wouldn't a lease be a pretty darn good way to establish where you live?
- 20 A. Only if you're unfamiliar with the law.
- 22 Q. I think we're familiar with the law.
- A. I mean, if you're unfamiliar with
 the -- what was the original case that I cited in

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- the opening, the Holwadel case? The lease -- the lease is the least important factor in establishing habitation.
- Q. Do you have a court opinion that says that the lease is the most important thing?
- A. Well, I guess I would just point to their judgment as whole -- yeah, what I cited Holwadal, H-O-L-W-A-D-A-L versus the Hamilton County Board of Elections.
- Q. Okay. Are you an attorney, by the way?
 - A. No; I just play one on Twitter.
- Q. Okay. In your research for this case, did you come across the O'Neill case, O'Neill versus Athens Board of Elections?
 - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the Supreme Court just four years ago saying where a person resides determines where they may register to vote, but where the person is registered to vote does not determine where they reside?
 - A. No; that's the first --
 - Q. Have you heard that before?
- 24 A. No.

- Q. Okay. Because one of your key pieces of evidence is she wasn't registered to vote at the time?
- A. Well, that ties into -- again, it's like the ActBlue stuff. If this was just one thrust of the argument, hey, she didn't change her -- she didn't change her voter registration, which, by the way, takes five seconds, thanks to our beautiful Secretary of State, Frank DeRose.

If that was the crux of the argument, then I would concede the point, but we're talking about voter registration, which again --

- Q. Well, if you could just answer my question --
- A. Yeah, no. I guess no. Is that what you asked?
- Q. That you have not come across a case -- you're not familiar with the Supreme Court that said that where you're registered to vote determines where you reside?
- A. So you're saying that's what they said?
- Q. That's what they said.
- A. Where you registered to vote is

where you reside?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Q. No. Where you -- let me repeat this again quoted. The Supreme Court, 2-2020, where a person resides determines where they may register to vote. Where the person is registered to vote does not determine where they reside.

So the purposes of the residency analysis, there have been plenty of cases, including this O'Neill case, where she was registered elsewhere, so that's not a determining factor on residency.

- A. Okay. Well, what about voter registration and all the other stuff?
- Q. Do you change your voter registration right when you move?
 - A. Yeah. Yeah, I do.
- Q. Okay.
 - A. It takes five minutes.
- Q. Sure. Do you think everyone who moves changes their voter registration right away?
- A. Well, if I were a candidate who I had lived my entire adult life in Reynoldsburg and I was moving into the city to run a campaign and trying to establish residency, I absolutely would

have done that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

24

And had Ms. Ross voted in that May 6th primary in 2024, we wouldn't be here right now.

- Q. If she had voted in the May 6th primary in 2024 --
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. You think she should have registered before that election?
- A. If she -- I think she should have moved into the district, voted, and the challenge wouldn't be here. It's a compile -- you're trying to nitpick.
- Q. No -- well, let me ask you this. Do you know when the primary was in 2024?
- 15 A. May 6th, 2024.
 - Q. I think the Board members will tell you it was a little bit earlier than that last year.
- A. Okay. Well, when -- okay. Well, when did she sign the lease? It was three days after that.
- Q. Well, if you remember, presidential election year for Ohio, the primary is in March.
 - A. Okay.

36 1 Q. Okay. So there would have been no 2 need to register for a May 6th, 2024 primary because there was not one. 3 So -- but if it's still -- if she 4 Α. 5 still -- if she didn't move until May, right? 6 That's what she told the Dispatch. 7 She had to move before May 6th, Q. 2024? Can we agree on that? 8 9 Yeah, that she had to establish Α. 10 residency. 11 Q. Right. Wouldn't moving into a place 12 establish residency? 13 Α. Well, does she have -- all right. 14 If we play that, does she have movers? Movers 15 receipts? 16 Yeah. Yeah. Q. 17 Α. Movers receipts? Furniture 18 receipts? 19 We have about -- I had to pick and 0. choose because I didn't want to inundate the Board. 20 21 I picked the eight or ten biggest, you know, 22 documents I thought would be helpful, but, yeah, we have all that. 23

Α.

24

Okay. Well, I would like to see it.

- Q. Okay. And you will. This is your chance to convince the Board now she does not reside at 50 South Grant.
- A. Well, when you look -- when you look at -- we've already gone -- we went through the Holwadel case, the Husted case, and even just moving in somewhere and have -- like putting furniture into a place doesn't establish residency.
 - Q. Right.
- A. It's the three points that I listed in my opening statement.
- Q. Well, let's ask you this: Did you make any effort to contact her apartment complex?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Okay. And what did you find out?
- A. That -- we contacted them this morning and that the residency -- like her apartment complex isn't up for lease any time soon, so we were curious if she had already renewed her lease.
- Q. So when I asked if you consulted with anyone, you didn't mention you reached out to her apartment complex?
 - A. But consulting -- that's not

38 1 consulting. That's making a phone call. 2 Okay. Well, who else did you make Q. 3 phone calls to? 4 Α. Just -- just the apartment complex. 5 Okay. And they did not give any 0. 6 reason to believe she did not reside there? 7 No, but, as she -- has she renewed Α. her lease? Because she's within the 60 days. 8 9 MS. HUMMER: Sir, this is a time 10 where questions are asked to you. 11 MR. BYRNES: Yeah, so that's what 12 I'm trying to like --13 Q. Is the key date today, or is it May 14 6th, 2024? 15 The key date is May 6th, 2024. Α. Okay. Well, let's stick with that. 16 Q. So back to her voting, you're critical she didn't 17 18 register right away to vote. When would have been the next election she could have voted for? 19 20 In 20- -- probably the general. Α. 21 Q. Correct. And so she registered 22 before the general, right? 23 She did. Α.

Q.

Okay.

- A. But that's not the statute at hand.
- Q. Okay.

2.

- A. We're talking about she had to establish residency a year before.
 - Q. Right.
 - A. Like before she moved in, so --
- Q. And it's your position you have to register to vote to establish residency?
- A. It's not my position. It's the Supreme Court's position that it goes -- it's one of the big key factors in establishing residency. You know, I could go to Columbus tomorrow -- or I could go to Clintonville, sign a lease, fill it with a apartment, like it -- that's not a residency.
- Q. Okay. You said that's the Supreme Court's position, but I just told you what the Supreme Court's position is on the voter registration.
- A. In one case, but we have the other ones that goes back to the Board of Elections where they tried to get that blogger kicked off the role, but he had tried -- he had cancelled his voter registration in Chicago. He moved to Cincinnati.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

40

- Registered there. He had established -- he tried -- he was living at a friend's house, and he had his mail forwarded to the apartment, and he also tried to obtain a driver's license.
 - Q. Okay. But --
 - A. Which, again, is none of the --
- Q. That's --
 - A. She didn't do any of that.
- Q. Well, we're going to show you all the things she did. I mean, I had to cut off the paper trail.
- A. But it's not what the Court is looking for.
 - Q. Okay. Well, I appreciate you educating me. I mean, I've been an elections law attorney for 15 years, so it's, you know --
- 17 A. Hey --
 - Q. I know you're trying to be helpful, and there's nothing wrong with doing this pro se, but to tell me what the Court said and I'm telling you what the Court has said, I don't think -- I think it's up to the Board to decide.

But did you find any evidence she voted elsewhere between May 6th, 2024 and since

41 that time? 1 2. Α. No. 3 Ο. Okay. I just wanted to ask you, some of your Roosters posts, I saw other people say 4 5 that she was going to bring in a fraudulent lease 6 to this hearing. 7 If we present documents to you, do you have any reason to believe anything we're 8 9 presenting is fraudulent? 10 I haven't said anything like that. Α. 11 I thought you weren't familiar? 12 Well, no, not until you filed your 0. protest. That was the first time I heard of the 13 14 Rooster. It's not, you know, something I was 15 familiar with. 16 I never said that. Α. 17 Ο. But did you see someone else post 18 that? 19 Α. No; I would be interested in the electronic version. 20 2.1 Q. I think I have a copy I could show 22 you --23 Electronic version? I would be Α. 24 interested in the electronic --

42 Q. Maybe at a break, you could pull it 1 2 up on your phone. It's pretty easy to find. 3 Α. Okay. 4 So you don't watch that closely to 5 see comments --6 Α. I have a lot of comments. 7 Q. Okay. So if we provide you with a moving company receipts before May 6th, you'll be 8 satisfied? 9 10 Α. No. 11 0. Okay. If we provide you with 12 documentation of utility bills, will you be satisfied? 13 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Okay. And --16 MR. COLOMBO: You know, I think those are going to be all my questions for this 17 18 witness. Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you, 20 Counselor. And now we will move to what next --2.1 22 MS. HUMMER: He can provide you with 23 any of his own testimony, based on those questions, 24 and then the Board can ask clarifying questions.

4.3

1 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. You're the 2 sole representative of your side of the issues? 3 MR. BYRNES: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Do you 5 wish to comment further? 6 MR. BYRNES: No. I would just refer 7 to my opening statement, that, you know, the Supreme Court is on record on what constitutes 8 habitation at a residence, and it's not utility 9 bills. It's not leases. It's nothing of that 10 11 nature. It's into the -- what I cited. 12 You know, and -- and in Holwadel 13 versus Hamilton County Board of Elections, Mr. 14 Simes, the subject of the challenge, had cancelled his voter registration in Chicago, registered to 15 16 vote in Hamilton County. 17 He had forwarded his mail to a 18 friend's Cincinnati condo and had tried to obtain a 19 driver's license in Ohio. These are all things 20 that you do when you're attempting to establish 2.1 residency. 22 We're not talking about a couple of 23 days I forgot over the course of a weekend. We're

24

talking over the course of months, she did nothing

2.

2.1

residency, and no matter what trow of evidence they have to bring up here is fine. It doesn't change the fact of the matter that she didn't meet any of the requirements in the three days that she had from signing that lease to the deadline.

And I think the statute is pretty clear. When you have to establish residency for the course of the year, and I don't feel that Ms.

Ross did any of those things, as required by the Court in the Holwadel decision or the Husted versus

Court in the Holwadel decision or the Husted ver Brunner, which is probably the most famous residency case we have in Ohio.

I'm not sure about the Athens one.

I missed that one, but I don't think it changes the facts of the case at hand.

17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Questions from 18 the Board?

MEMBER SEXTON: So, Mr. Byrnes, are you saying that in your opinion, and based upon your protest, that the only basically five things that matter in establishing residency are these factors that you've listed?

MR. BYRNES: Yes, as far as the

Court goes. Voter registration is chief among
them. That's like the chief one. Voter
registration, driver's license, vehicle
registration, and like your mail, where is your
mail going.

And it was clearly going -- if your credit card statements aren't updated, then it's clearly not going to South -- you know, South Grant Avenue. It's going to Reynoldsburg.

And, you know, it would be one thing if she had established residency in Columbus for multiple years. Like Husted, when he lived in Kettering -- prior to that, he lived in Kettering for 14 years.

Ms. Ross has lived in Reynoldsburg, unless she's done voter fraud -- has lived in Reynoldsburg from 2006 until three days before the deadline of herself running for candidacy, and I just feel like, you know, in these times, the rules still matter.

And especially like this isn't just some random person off the street who forgot to update their voter registration and voted at their old precinct.

2.1

2.1

This is somebody who wants to litigate and hold power over a city of a million people. And I think that, you know, when it comes to -- it's a very easy bar to clear.

Like I said, had she moved in 30 days before the election, changed her voter registration, voted in the primary, whenever it was, we wouldn't be having this conversation, but she didn't.

And then she established this three days before the deadline, and then failed to do any of the very simple things, like register to vote, for months. We're talking about a course of months here.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Three days before the deadline, you're referring to what?

MR. BYRNES: The deadline -- the May 6th deadline for the year -- for the election for this year, so she would have had to have lived -- established residency by May 6th, 2024.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What are you saying she did three days before the deadline?

MR. BYRNES: I'm saying that she told the Dispatch she moved in -- she signed a

2.1

lease three days prior to that -- to May 6th, 2024, so it would have been what, May 3rd? It was a Friday.

So she moves in three days -- she has three days from signing that lease to establish the residency in Columbus.

Voter registration takes five minutes. You can do the BMV stuff online. Another simple task. Updating credit card, having your mail information for UPS -- postal service mailing forwarded. Do we have that? The stuff that actually goes into establishing a residency, as outlined by Holwadel versus Hamilton County Board of Elections. None of that was met.

And I think it's a very simple case that we can argue. We can get into the chamber of evidence, but it's did she establish residency in those three days, and she did none of that. And it's not like we're asking her to lift Hercules boulder up a mountain.

Logging on, typing into a website would have solved the problem instantly, and she failed to do that. And so do the rules matter, or do the rules not matter for the people who want

power over us?

I guess that's the question I would pose to you, Chairman Sexton.

MEMBER SEXTON: Well, the -- from what you're saying, though, some of the things that Mr. Colombo mentioned doesn't seem to have any -- you don't seem to have them in your argument to establish residency, whether it's a lease or whether it's, you know, utility bills.

MR. BYRNES: It's not what -- it's not my opinion or your opinion what establishes residency. We're looking at what the Supreme Court said in Holwadel versus Hamilton County Board of Elections and the Husted versus Brunner case.

Like if this were -- you know, the voter registration case, whatever they said down in Athens County, that was just one crux of the argument. Fine. Maybe you would have a point, but it's an amalgamation of what we're arguing.

Like it's not just voter

registration. It's her car. It's her mailing

address. It's the fact that she lived in -- like

she lived in Reynoldsburg from 2006 to three days

before the deadline, and she had very simple steps

```
in those three days to establish residency, and she failed to do it.
```

So we can talk about leases, we can talk about movers. They're not here. I can't cross-examine them.

At the end of the day, it's a simple -- it's a simple bar to clear. She didn't do it.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Any other

questions?

2.

MEMBER MARINELLO: I have one question. You said something about hiring a private investigator -- or this wasn't the case?

This was the Cincinnati --

MR. BYRNES: We hired a private investigator from Cincinnati, and basically, you could run a registration -- a plate registration on somebody, just like the history -- the cameras, where they've been in the city. It's like a whole full plate report.

And, coincidentally, Ms. Ross, her plate didn't start showing up at that lease -- at that parking lot, outside her apartment, until September of 2024.

But, yeah, it was all above --

50 something you could do on anybody. It's a 1 2 beautiful surveillance tape that the City of 3 Columbus has established over the years. 4 And we saw Ms. Ross drive away from 5 the Candidate Forum the other night, and the 6 license plate that I --MEMBER MARINELLO: You said "we." 7 Who is the "we"? You said you didn't have any 8 9 supporters. Who is the "we"? 10 MR. BYRNES: Oh, I was just -- the royal "we." 11 12 MEMBER MARINELLO: Oh. 13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Any other 14 questions from the Board? 15 Counsel, what's the next appropriate 16 step for the --MS. HUMMER: Then if he's rested his 17 18 case, then we allow the candidate to present their 19 case. 20 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Are you comfortable with that --2.1 22 MR. BYRNES: Yeah. 23 MR. PREISSE: Okay. 24 MR. COLOMBO: Mr. Chair, Mr. Sexton,

51 1 Members of the Board, again, my name is Cory 2. Colombo, with the law firm of McTique Colombo. 3 now, you know Tiara Ross is my client. 4 I think the only thing I can agree 5 with Mr. Byrnes on is that this case is very, very 6 simple. I evaluate residency issues all the time 7 for clients and whether there's a potential challenge. This is probably the most clearcut I've 8 9 ever worked on. 10 And I know Mr. Byrnes is not an 11 attorney, but his interpretation of what the 12 Supreme Court has said is far off base. 13 MS. HUMMER: Mr. Colombo, if you're 14 testifying for purposes of evidence, we'll have to put you under oath. 15 16 If you're just going to present 17 evidence through your witness, we would be happy 18 to --19 MR. COLOMBO: Thank you, Ms. Hummer. 20 I would be happy to be sworn in. The purpose of 21 this is intended to be an opening statement. 22 MS. HUMMER: Okay. Then you're fine. 23 24 MR. COLOMBO: Okay.

2.1

By way of background -- and I think this needs to be said, because I get the sense Mr. Byrnes or people that support him are trying to get media coverage out of this and paint Ms. Ross in a negative light.

Ms. Ross's connections to the City of Columbus are deep and go back several decades, and I think that needs to be said.

She was born at Grant Hospital, and we laughed before the hearing, that where she lives now is literally a football field away from where she was born.

When it came time to go to undergrad, she went to The Ohio State University, from 2005 to 2009. She went to The Ohio State Law School from 2009 to 2012, and in the last seven years, she has been an Assistant City Attorney for the City of Columbus, serving the residents of Columbus as a deputy chief zone attorney. So this trying to smear her and say she's new to Columbus, recently, you know, recruited is completely false.

But it's her love and her commitment to her hometown is why she's running for City Council. And despite the protester's assertions

2.

2.1

and many faulty assumptions, Tiara Ross fully complies with Columbus City Charter Section 6 to be a candidate on the ballot.

The Board was correct to certify her to the ballot on February 14th. Nothing in the protest changes that.

Charter Section 6 -- and I understand you have a copy in front of you -- requires a candidate to be a resident of Columbus and their district for one full year before the primary election.

The overwhelming indisputable evidence that we will provide you with today will firmly establish that she meets the Charter requirement.

As the primary was in March -- or I'm sorry; I'm using Mr. Byrnes' assumption.

As the primary was in May 6th, 2025, this year, the key date that you'll need to go back to is May 6th, 2024, and determine where was her residency one year before the primary.

Ms. Ross testify today about, her signed lease, which commenced on May 3rd, 2024, for 50 South

2.

2.1

Grant Avenue, which is in the 7th District of Columbus.

She got a rental insurance policy that started May 3rd. She started paying for utilities on May 3rd, 2024, and has every month since that date.

She began moving her personal property in on May 4th. She had her personal property moved in on May 5th, and stayed the night there from that night, and, therefore, going forward. And we'll give you all that documentation today.

She also has -- she'll be happy to answer any questions you have.

Her friend is also in attendance today and willing to testify about how she helped Tiara move into this apartment on Grant Street on May 3rd and 4th of 2024, which is -- the relevant date is the 6th again.

So make no mistake that the City of Columbus and the 7th District have been Tiara Ross' residence, as required by the Charter.

Mr. Byrnes gave a close -- I think the definition is close. It's the person's

2.

habitation is fixed and to which whenever the person is absent, the person has the intention of returning.

I don't want to repeat what I've asked Mr. Byrnes about, but as a quick recap, the whole voter registration issue, Mr. Byrnes is completely wrong on.

The Supreme Court has said that in O'Neill versus Athens, 2020 OH 1476, that registration for voting elsewhere is not one of the factors for determining the place of elector's residence under 3503.02.

Mr. Byrnes seems to think that's the most important factor, but the Supreme Court has already addressed that.

Also, anyone who has donated -- his only other piece of evidence attached to the protest is that a third-party donation website -- they have them for both parties; ActBlue is a common one on the Democrat side. They're just going to pull your old information when you make a donation, and that's what happened here.

But we're also going to provide you with Secretary of State, Frank DeRose, had a

2.

2.1

November 29th, 2004 tied vote decision, where he reminded the Board in that case that the protester has to prove this to you by clear and convincing evidence, that a candidate does not reside where she says she does.

And Mr. Byrnes had the Court case right, Husted versus Brunner, but in that case, what the Court actually said is if it cannot be shown by the heightened standard of clear and convincing evidence that the person is not a resident, great weight must be accorded to the person to claim voting residence.

So Mr. Byrnes had an incredibly high burden. He also incredible -- fell incredibly short of that burden; so we'll ask for the Board to dismiss this protest today.

I do have some witnesses if you would like to hear from them and documents.

MS. HUMMER: In order for -- because that was your opening statement, not evidence. So you would have to make sure that you present your case by way of evidence.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ COLOMBO: Yes. Thank you. And we plan to.

```
57
 1
                    Mr. Chairman, may I call a witness,
 2
     or do you have any questions for me?
 3
                    MS. HUMMER: I think you move right
     into the case.
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yeah, let's
 6
     proceed, and let's do it with consideration to the
 7
     economy of time --
 8
                    MR. COLOMBO: Okay.
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- while making
 9
10
     sure all present have the opportunity to express
     themselves.
11
12
                    MR. COLOMBO: Great.
13
                    So for the Board -- I appreciate
14
     that, Mr. Chair.
15
                    The first witness is Olivia Nathan,
16
     who helped her move in and --
17
                    MS. HUMMER: She could come right up
18
     to the -- so you could ask her questions.
19
     way, you can be heard through the mic.
20
                    I think she needs to be sworn in.
2.1
                    MR. COLOMBO: Okay.
22
                    (Olivia Nathan sworn.)
23
                    MS. HUMMER: You can just talk right
24
     into the mic.
```

58 1 MS. NATHAN: Okay. 2 MR. COLOMBO: And let me know if you 3 can't hear us. 4 BY MR. COLOMBO: 5 Ms. Nathan, can you please state 6 your name and address for the record? 7 Sure. My name is Olivia Nathan. Α. My address is 191 North Ohio Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 8 43203. 9 10 0. Are you familiar with Tiara Ross? 11 Α. I am. We are lifelong childhood 12 friends. We grew up together in Columbus. 13 Q. How long have you known her? 14 Α. All of my life. 15 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Not yet. 16 Q. Do you find Tiara Ross to be honest 17 and trustworthy? I do. 18 Α. 19 I want to bring you back to March 20 Did you attend an event where Tiara 21 announced to the people in attendance that she 22 would be moving to the City of Columbus? 23 I did. Α. 24 And that took place roughly when in Q.

59 1 March? Do you remember? Early month or just --2 are you sure it was March? 3 Α. I'm sure it was March, yes. 4 Okay. Did you help Tiara Ross move Q. 5 into an apartment on 50 South Grant Avenue? 6 Α. I did help her move. 7 Q. Did this move take place before May 6th, 2024? 8 It did. 9 Α. 10 Ο. Okay. Does it sound correct to you 11 that weekend was May 4th and 5th of 2024? 12 Α. That's correct. 13 Q. And you would have helped her on 14 that weekend? I did. 15 Α. 16 Okay. Did she move a significant Q. 17 amount of personal stuff to this apartment? 18 Α. Yes, a significant amount that 19 required movers. 20 Did you see, during the move, 21 everything you would expect someone to have in a 22 place of res- -- of their habitation? 23 Absolutely. Α. 24 Did you see such things as clothes? Q.

			60
1	Α.	Yes.	
2	Q.	A bed?	
3	Α.	Yes.	
4	Q.	Tables?	
5	Α.	Yes.	
6	Q.	Personal items?	
7	Α.	Yes.	
8	Q.	Sorry to cut you off. Have you been	
9	to her residen	ce since you helped her move in in	
10	May of 2024?		
11	Α.	Yes; I'm there two to three times a	
12	week.		
13	Q.	Does this look like the place that	
14	she lives?		
15	Α.	Absolutely.	
16	Q.	Okay.	
17		MR. COLOMBO: I have no further	
18	questions.		
19		CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.	
20		MS. NATHAN: Thank you.	
21		MS. HUMMER: You may ask he has	
22	the right to c	ross-examine her. The protester has	
23	the right to e	xamine her.	
24		CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do you wish to do	

```
61
 1
     that?
     BY MR. BYRNES:
 2
 3
             Ο.
                    I would just ask if she hired
     movers, what were you helping her move?
 4
 5
                    The necessary items to be a habitat
             Α.
 6
     in the apartment.
 7
             Q.
                    Like what?
                    The things that attorney Colombo
 8
             Α.
 9
     presented.
                 Bed --
10
             Ο.
                    What did you specifically help move?
                    Clothes, items to help with her
11
             Α.
12
     bathroom, bedding, sheets.
                    So the movers didn't do that?
13
             Q.
14
             Α.
                    The movers helped with larger items.
15
                    MR. BYRNES: I have nothing further.
16
     I would like to talk to Ross, though.
17
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What?
                    MR. BYRNES: I would like to -- I
18
     would like to call Tiara Ross.
19
20
                    MS. HUMMER: It's not your case.
2.1
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think we're
22
     getting --
23
                    MR. COLOMBO: I would like to ask
24
     Tiara Ross questions next.
```

62 1 Mr. Chair, if I could approach, I 2 have exhibit binders for you. 3 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. 4 MR. COLOMBO: And Ill try to go 5 through these very quickly. 6 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do we need to 7 mark these? 8 MR. COLOMBO: They're marked. 9 Unfortunately, I also chose letters --10 MS. HUMMER: That's okay, as long as 11 we put them as Candidate's. 12 (Tiara Ross sworn.) 13 BY MR. COLOMBO: 14 Q. Ms. Ross, could you state your name and address for the record? 15 16 My name is Tiara Ross. My address Α. is 50 South Grant Avenue, Apartment 609 Columbus, 17 Ohio 43215. 18 19 What's your current occupation, and Q. 20 how long have you served in that role? 2.1 Α. I am currently Assistant City Attorney for Columbus City Attorney Zach Klein's 22 23 office and served in that role since 2018, which 24 would be coming up on seven years.

63 1 Q. What hospital and city were you born in? 2 3 Α. I was born at Grant Hospital, in 4 Columbus, Ohio. 5 And where were you raised as a Q. 6 toddler? Α. 7 As a toddler, I was raised in Columbus, Ohio, lived off of Berkley Road. 8 9 Where did you attend college? 0. I attended college at The Ohio State 10 Α. 11 University. 12 And, obviously, in Columbus, Q. 13 correct? Α. 14 In Columbus, Ohio. 15 Did you, likewise, go to The Ohio Q. State University Law School in Columbus? 16 17 I did. Α. 18 Ο. And at some point, for a period of 19 time, you did live in Reynoldsburg, correct? 20 I did. I lived with my Α. 21 grandparents. My grandparents actually started 22 raising me right around after elementary school. 23 And do your grandparents still live Q. 24 in Reynoldsburg?

64 1 Α. They do. 2 Q. Okay. Were you aware of Columbus 3 Charter Section 6? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And back -- taking you back to Q. 6 spring of last year, had you considered running for 7 Columbus City Council? 8 Yes. Α. 9 So did you intentionally permanently move to Columbus District 7 before May 6, 2024 to 10 11 comply with the code? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. I want to walk you through the 14 exhibits in front of you. Even though there's a lot, I think each one will take 15 seconds. 15 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Good. Do it 17 quickly. 18 MR. COLOMBO: Okay. 19 Q. Ms. Ross, could you flip to 20 Candidate's Exhibit A. And if you look at 21 Paragraph C there, what is the lease commencement 22 date? 23 Α. The lease commencement date in 24 Paragraph C, Page 2, is May 3rd of 2024.

65 Q. And I just -- for each exhibit, I 1 2 want to ask you, are you familiar with this 3 exhibit? 4 Yes. Α. 5 And is it a true and accurate copy? 0. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. If I could have you flip to Q. Exhibit B, Candidate Exhibit B. This appears to be 8 9 an e-mail string between you and Library Park III; is that correct? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Are you familiar with this document, 0. 13 and is it a true and accurate copy? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. If you flip to the second Q. 16 page of Candidate Exhibit B, I see in here where the leasing office on May 2nd, at 11:25 a.m., they 17 18 instructed you: Your apartment is getting sparkled 19 up as we speak. Do you have a time that you would 20 like to stop by tomorrow to pick up the keys and fobs? 2.1 22 Is that your memory? Is that -- is 23 that correct with the timeline?

Α.

24

It is my memory. I'm just trying to

66 1 find the actual e-mail you're referencing. 2 It is Exhibit B, the second page. 0. 3 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You said your apartment is being what? 4 5 MR. COLOMBO: Oh, I'm sorry. 6 apartment is getting sparkled up as we speak. 7 MR. PREISSE: Okay. I got it. Okay. It's about --8 0. 9 I see it. Yep, I see it now. Α. 10 Ο. So do you recall that you did pick 11 up your fob and keys on -- on May 3rd, 2024? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. If you could flip to Exhibit C. 14 This is a welcome letter from Library Park. Are 15 you familiar with this document, and is it a true 16 and accurate copy? 17 Α. Yes; I am. It is a true and 18 accurate copy. 19 Now, if you look down maybe three 20 inches off the top of the page, what does it give 2.1 you as your move-in date? 22 Move-in date is May 3rd, 2024. Α. 23 If you could flip to Exhibit D. Q.

24

This appears to be a Progressive renter's insurance

- policy. I need to ask you again.
- 2 Are you familiar with this document?
- 3 Is it a true and accurate copy?
- 4 A. I am. It is a true and accurate
- 5 | copy as well.

- Q. And if you look about a third of the
- 7 | way down the page, what was the effective date of
- 8 this policy?
- 9 A. The effective date of the policy is
- 10 May 3rd, 2024.
- 11 Q. If I could have you flip to Exhibit
- 12 E. This appears to be e-mail correspondence with
- 13 All My Sons Moving and Storage. Move date -- I'm
- 14 | sorry; let me ask you.
- On Candidate's Exhibit E, are you
- 16 | familiar with this document, and is it a true and
- 17 | accurate copy?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. If you look for the move date
- 20 | for All My Sons Movers, what date did they move?
- 21 A. May 5th, 2024.
- 22 Q. And is it correct in this
- 23 | correspondence they sent two professional movers?
- 24 A. Yes.

68 1 Q. Do you recall there were two 2 professional movers? 3 Α. There were two professional movers, 4 yes. 5 So for this type of hire, there must Q. have been significant stuff that they helped move? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 And as Ms. Nathan suggested in her Q. 9 testimony, you would have had friends help you move smaller items, right? 10 11 Α. Right, like clothes, things like 12 that. 13 Q. It would have been kind of expensive 14 to have them move lightweight items, right? 15 Absolutely. Α. 16 So you just had them move the big Q. 17 stuff? 18 Α. Right. 19 And that was on May 5th, 2024, Q. 20 correct. 21 Α. Right. 22 Okay. Do you recall -- by the way, Q. 23 did you stay the night at your place that night? 24 On May 5th? Α.

69 Q. Yes. 1 Yes, I did. 2 Α. 3 Ο. Okay. If I could have you flip to 4 Candidate's Exhibit F. This appears to be 5 documentation regarding your energy utility bills; 6 is that correct? 7 Α. Correct. If you look down midway -- and 8 0. 9 you're familiar with this document, and it's a true 10 and accurate copy? I am familiar with both the e-mails 11 Α. 12 and also the copy of the energy bill. 13 Okay. In the e-mail itself, which Q. 14 is the first page, it says the date that we started billing you was on May 3rd, 2024; is that correct? 15 16 Correct. Α. 17 And have you, in fact, been paying 18 those utility bills since that date? 19 Α. Yes. If I could have you flip to 20 Q. 21 Candidate Exhibit G. This appears to be City of 22 Columbus utility invoices. 23 Are you familiar with these records,

24

and are they true and accurate copies?

70 I am familiar with the records. 1 Α. 2 They are true and accurate copies. 3 Ο. And the service appear, as far as Columbus utilities, was May 6th, 2024, correct? 4 5 Α. Correct. 6 0. And if you flip through these pages, 7 it appears you paid the utility bill every month since that time; is that correct? 8 9 Α. Correct. If I could have you flip to 10 Ο. 11 Candidate's Exhibit H. This is actually a document 12 I provided to you, correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. And this is a copy of Shayla Favor's donation page? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 And if you go near the bottom, when 18 I went in to make a donation to test it out, what 19 does it say about my donor information? 20 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Are we looking at 2.1 H? 22 MR. COLOMBO: We are, Mr. Chair. 23 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you. 24 Excuse me. Go ahead.

- A. It says we already have your ActBlue donor information on file.
- Q. Okay. Prior to you donating to Shayla Favor, had you used -- made a contribution before where you would used the ActBlue platform?
 - A. It's very possible that I had.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall proactively entering into -- did you proactively enter in a Reynoldsburg address?
- A. I don't recall having practically entered an address.
- Q. Okay. So it's on -- is it possible or likely they would have just used a previous donor information?
 - A. Possibly.
- Q. Okay. Ms. Ross, and I quote -- and he might even be here today. Someone posted:

 Don't be surprised to see a phony rental agreement turn up dated prior to May 6th of 2024.
- To me, that's a pretty serious accusation against an attorney, possibly defamatory. Did you forward any of these documents you are providing to the Board today?
 - A. I did not.

72 1 Q. Did you falsify the lease or any 2 other exhibits to show an earlier date than when 3 you moved in? 4 Α. I did not. 5 Did you move your personal 6 possessions into 50 South Grant prior to May 6th, 7 2024? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. Have you slept at the Q. 10 apartment continuously since that date? 11 Α. Yes, since prior to that date. 12 Okay. Is this your place of 0. 13 habitation that when you are away, you have the intention of returning? 14 15 Yes. Α. 16 You heard us touch on voter Q. registration. What was the first available 17 18 election you could have voted in after your move to 19 the City of Columbus? 20 When I moved in May, the first 21 election that I voted in was the general in 22 November. 23 Okay. So you registered in time to Q.

vote for that election?

73 1 Α. I did. In fact, I thought I had 2 already registered to vote and that I was checking 3 my registration and realized that I still needed to 4 update it. 5 Okay. Last question for you. Q. 6 you been a resident of District 7, City of Columbus 7 since before May 6th, 2024? 8 Yes. Α. 9 Q. Okay. 10 MR. COLOMBO: I have no further 11 questions. 12 Perhaps the Board members do. MS. HUMMER: He has a chance to ask 13 14 questions relevant to the issue at hand. 15 BY MR. BYRNES: 16 Just a quick question, Tiara. 0. 17 you submitting any bank statements to show payment 18 for your rent at South Grant? 19 Right now, I am not, but I can. 20 Q. Okay. When does your lease at 50 21 South Grant expire? 22 It expires in May of 2025, I Α. 23 believe.

Q.

24

Have you renewed the lease?

74 I have not, but I also have not 1 Α. 2 received any notice telling me that it is time for -- to renew, and I intend to renew it. 3 4 Do you think your -- is your rent Q. 5 increasing? 6 Α. I don't know, but I mean, obviously, 7 those will be things that I would consider. Either way, I'm staying in the City. 8 9 What company insures your car? Q. 10 Α. Progressive. 11 0. When did you notify that company of 12 your address change? 13 Α. I can't recall when I notified 14 Progressive. 15 Are you submitting any written Q. confirmation of that address change? 16 17 To Progressive? Α. 18 Ο. Yes. 19 Yes. I mean, right now, all of my bills are coming to 50 South Grant, to include car 20 insurance as well. 2.1 When did you change your driver's 22 Q. license address to 50 South Grant? 23 24 I have not changed my driver's Α.

license.

- Q. Are you submitting a copy of your driver's license to show the date that it was issued?
- A. I am not submitting it. I don't know why it would be relevant to this question.
- Q. When did you change your car registration to 50 South Grant?
- A. I have not changed my car registration to 50 South Grant.
- Q. Why didn't you register to vote at South Grant until September 22nd, 2024?
- A. Again, I thought I had updated it -I updated my mailing address well before then, and
 there's a checkbox when you update your mailing
 address when you want it forwarded to a new address
 to say would you also like to change your voter
 registration.

I thought I had done that. Again, I rechecked it prior to the election, because I was also participating in Get Out to Vote activities.

I said I might as well check mine, just to make sure.

Checked it particularly under the

2.

- State's QR code and realized it was still the Reynoldsburg address, and so I immediately updated it so that I could vote in the general.
- Q. When did you change your address with the postal service to 50 South Grant?
- A. It would have been soon after I moved in. Whenever I moved in, they actually sent me -- USPS sent me a notice to say: Hey, welcome to the neighborhood. Change your address, also change your voting address. I did it at that time.
- Q. Are you submitting written confirmation of that change today?
 - A. I am not, but I can.
- Q. When was the last time you slept at 845 Mueller?
- A. The last time I slept there probably would have been, and I serve as caretakers for my grandparents. They're here today. My grandmother was out of town. My grandfather sustained a pretty bad fall in 2018, so he's got some nerve damage, so she was out of town, asked me to spend the night to help with my grandfather, just to make sure nothing happens, and if something does happen, I am there.

So I think the last time she was out

2.

- of town and had asked me to spend the night was a couple -- like a month ago, maybe, and I would have stayed there for just a couple days while she was gone.
- Q. Did you stay over there for Christmas?
- A. Yeah; I would have stayed there over Christmas as well, but more recently than that was when my grandmother was on vacation. I was excited to visit them over Christmastime.
- Q. And last question. What was your motivation to move from Reynoldsburg to the apartment on South Grant?
- A. Sure. I, over a year ago, was approached with the idea that I could potentially run for City Council. I had been working for the City Attorney's office for nearly a decade now, and it was a deeply personal decision to move into the city.

Again, I serve as a caretaker for my grandparents. They raised me all of my life.

Separating from them was fairly hard to do, but because I care about the residents of the City of Columbus --

```
1
                    Again, I spent my life here, was
2
     raised here, the daughter -- the granddaughter of a
3
     pastor that served for 50 years in the Milo-Grogan
4
     community, and I wanted to expand my impact and
5
     meet my ability to take care of folks that live in
6
     the city, and so I decided to move so that I could
7
    make sure that I qualified for the residency when I
     filed the petition.
8
9
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Anything else?
10
                    MR. BYRNES: No.
11
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Thank you.
12
                    MS. HUMMER: Mr. Colombo, do you
13
     have any questions relevant to those questions?
14
                    MR. COLOMBO: I do not.
15
                    MS. HUMMER: The Board, now if you
16
     have any questions --
17
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Ouestions of the
18
     candidate, then, from the Board?
19
                    So my understanding is you moved
20
     into the City of Columbus to run for Council, and
2.1
     you moved before the deadline because you were
22
     cognizant of that deadline?
23
                    MS. ROSS: Yes, sir.
24
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.
```

```
79
1
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: I have a
2
     question.
3
                    MS. ROSS: Yes, ma'am.
4
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF:
                                        Thank you for
5
     coming and testifying today.
6
                    MS. ROSS: Yes.
7
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Is your driver's
     license expired?
8
9
                    MS. ROSS: I am unaware of it being
     suspended --
10
11
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF:
                                        Okay.
12
                    MR. PREISSE: You say expired, not
     suspended.
13
14
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Because that was
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: She said expired,
17
     not suspended.
                    MEMBER MARINELLO: She asked were
18
19
     you before --
20
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Mr. Byrnes, what
21
     were you saying about somebody driver's license --
22
                    MR. BYRNES: It has on her BMV
23
     report, which I submitted, it appears that it
24
     hasn't been renewed --
```

```
80
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What's "it"?
1
2
     License or the --
3
                    MR. BYRNES: Yeah, her license, it
    hasn't been renewed.
4
5
                    MS. HUMMER: Her plate or her
6
     driver's license?
7
                    MR. BYRNES: Her license. It's on
     the thing that I submitted.
8
9
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: And then you
    mentioned --
10
11
                    MR. BYRNES: The status is
12
     suspended.
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is that relevant
13
14
    to a candidacy?
                    MR. BYRNES: Well, I mean, I would
15
     -- I think it would show she hasn't --
16
17
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Thank you.
18
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: He mentioned
19
     something about a car in the parking lot didn't
20
     have updated stickers?
2.1
                    MR. BYRNES: Yeah, on the plate
22
     report, there's surveillance. It's not like a key
23
     fact of evidence. It was just supplemental --
24
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: I'm just
```

```
81
1
     wondering; is that true?
2
                    MS. ROSS:
                               I'm sorry?
3
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Is that true,
4
     that your driver's license is suspended and your
5
     plates are expired?
6
                    MS. ROSS: I'm unaware of it being
7
     suspended.
8
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF:
                                       Okay.
9
                    MS. ROSS: And I would have to
     double-check on these records. I don't -- I don't
10
11
     know.
12
                    Again, if it was something I missed,
13
     like a notice that I missed in the mail, all of
14
     that had to be changed when I moved, so it's
     something I can certainly follow up with.
15
16
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: When I heard
17
     that, I'm just saying I would follow up on that.
18
                    MS. ROSS: Absolutely, yeah.
19
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: I mean, I'm a
20
    mother and I would --
2.1
                    MS. ROSS: Yes; I agree.
                                              It was
22
     shocking to hear, so --
23
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.
                                              Any other
24
     questions from the Board?
```

```
I'm hearing murmurs but not
1
2
     questions.
                No?
3
                    MEMBER SEXTON: So you have stated
4
     that you moved in May 3rd, 2024, and you had pretty
5
     much been there and sleep there all the time.
6
     there been any long periods of time where you've
7
     not?
8
                    MS. ROSS: I have not, not slept at
9
     that address for over a week.
10
                    MR. SEXTON: Okay.
11
                    MS. ROSS: Yeah. Over the holiday,
12
     as Mr. Byrnes mentioned, I would have spent a few
13
     days with my grandparents. Actually had family
14
    members come in town, they hosted, but other than
     that, no.
15
16
                    MEMBER SEXTON: Okay. And you were
17
     there last night?
18
                    MS. ROSS: Yeah.
19
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Thank you.
20
                    Procedurally, what our counsel's
     advice?
2.1
22
                    MS. HUMMER: Procedurally, at this
23
     point, either side needs to make a motion.
24
     imagine each side wants their documents admitted
```

83 into evidence. 1 2 Is that correct? 3 MR. BYRNES: Yes. 4 MR. COLOMBO: Yes. 5 MS. HUMMER: So in one motion, you can move to admit both Petitioner's exhibits into 6 7 evidence and the Candidate's exhibits. 8 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Then I so move 9 that all of the evidence provided to us from the Petitioner -- -10 11 MR. BYRNES: I would like to make a 12 quick statement real quick --13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Go ahead. 14 MS. HUMMER: We're not at that 15 point. 16 MR. BYRNES: Okay. 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We'll admit those materials as evidence. 18 19 In favor, say aye. 20 MR. HUMMER: Do I hear a second? 2.1 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 23 favor of the motion, signify by saying aye. 24 (Vote taken.)

```
84
1
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE:
                                             All opposed,
2
     same sign.
3
                    (No response.)
4
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
5
     carries.
6
                    MS. HUMMER: We're now at a point of
7
     closing arguments. So each side with the
8
     protester --
9
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do the sides wish
10
     to making a closing argument?
11
                    MR. BYRNES: Yeah --
12
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Or are they
13
     satisfied that they have informed the Board --
14
                    MR. BYRNES: No; I'll keep it quick.
15
     I know everybody is tired and wants to get on with
16
     their day.
17
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: That's good, but
18
     we don't want to cut off discourse, but on the
19
     other hand, if you keep it to a couple minutes --
20
                    MR. BYRNES: Yeah, a couple -- I got
21
     you.
22
                    I just have a couple quick points to
23
     highlight after all that. Utilities, leases,
24
     movers and stuff like that, they're not proof of
```

2.1

what we're talking about here.

In the Simes challenge -- Simes had her residency challenged up in Akron in 2024 cycle. From Husted versus Burner, again, the most famous residency case we have in Ohio, great weight must be given to the person's claimed voting address.

Ms. Ross was on Mueller Avenue -- or Mueller Drive on May 6th, 2024. Her moving belonging to the apartment is a factor to consider in determining the fixed habitation, but it is outweighed by her failure to register to vote, register her car, or change her license. And she did not change her mailing address until after May 6th, 2024.

I would also state that ActBlue does not pull old info. It verifies your information with your credit card through primarily the Zip code.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: How do you know that?

MR. BYRNES: It's right there in the ActBlue, the -- in the ActBlue example like their policy.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.

MEMBER MARINELLO: I would disagree. 1 2 MR. BYRNES: And -- because if you 3 try to go through it, it says -- it runs your card 4 every time on the Zip code. 5 So when you've got your -- I mean, 6 her license and registration are relevant to her 7 residency. Not utilities, not movers, not friends. 8 So given all that, like, for what 9 requires -- what the Court requires for a fixed habitation, she did none of it, and I don't think 10 11 she's cleared the very simple bar of establishing 12 residency because she waited three days before the deadline to even initiate the move in the first 13 14 place into an apartment that she admits that she obtained for the sole purpose of running for City 15 Council. 16 17 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: I have a question 18 about ActBlue. 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Sure. Go ahead. 20 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: So when you move, 21 if your credit card has one address and that's the 22 address that ActBlue has, they'll match those two, 23 even if it's wrong? If you haven't changed your

credit card --

```
1
                    MR. BYRNES: Yeah, it shows that she
     -- the ActBlue information shows that she did not
2
3
     update her -- the two donations. So you've one to
4
     Shayla Favor before with her old address, and then
5
     I think in September, whatever she donates to
6
    Melissa Green at her new address.
7
                    So what that shows is she updated
     her residency information with her credit card
8
9
     sometime between August and September, which ties
     into another -- it shows the mail wasn't going to
10
     her address.
11
12
                    I mean, if I had residency, I think
13
     I would want my credit card going to --
14
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Thank you.
15
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Any other
16
     questions?
17
                    Counsel, do you want to make your
     90-second summation?
18
19
                    MR. COLOMBO: I'll try to do it in
20
     even less.
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: This will be a
2.1
22
     record for you.
23
                    MR. COLOMBO: That's fair.
24
     Actually, I learned years ago -- I used to just
```

re-read my opening statement, and the Board members did not seem pleased by that.

You've heard it all. I mean, this is open and shut. I don't know where Mr. Byrnes -- I don't know where this magical Supreme Court case is that leases don't matter. Live testimony doesn't matter. Moving contracts don't matter. And everything -- he keeps saying the Husted case. That's probably my -- that's the case I want you to look at, too.

In that case, they found that now senator John Husted established residency, because really it is clear and convincing evidence, as your assistant prosecutor would tell you is an extremely high burden, and it's on him.

And we've given you all the evidence to firmly establish, as Ms. Ross said, she was aware of that May 6th deadline, started in March, lining up where she was going to move.

We've all moved, right? I mean, you don't change all these things within the first couple weeks of moving. It's gradual.

You've got -- especially these days, there's probably 50 places I would have to update.

```
1
                    She started with the essential
2
     things, but I don't know how Mr. Byrnes overcomes a
3
     lease, moving contract, utility bills, friend's
4
     testimony, her own testimony.
5
                    This protest is -- doesn't pass
6
    muster, and we would request you dismiss it. Thank
7
     you.
8
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Questions --
9
     okay. None.
10
                    MR. FREEDHOFF: The moving -- the
11
     moving document. I don't see a moving contract.
12
     It's just an e-mail with the details and then,
13
     "thank you for requesting quote" -- I don't see a
14
     contract.
15
                    MR. COLOMBO: You're correct. It is
16
     just an e-mail. And -- well, but I mean, the terms
17
     of the move are laid out in the e-mail, so I don't
18
     think in the cover page we suggested this was a
19
     contract.
20
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: You just said it
21
     was a moving contract. That's why I'm looking for
22
     a contract.
23
                    MR. COLOMBO: Oh, thank you for that
24
     correction. I misstated then.
```

```
1
                    On the cover, how I listed it, and I
2
     said -- I spoke -- misspoke, but it's All My Sons'
3
     e-mail, about 5-5-24 professional move date, so it
4
     was an e-mail.
5
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF:
                                       Thank you.
6
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Any
7
     further questions? Anybody else?
                    MS. HUMMER: So the Board is
8
9
     permitted to close its session so they can discuss
10
     this matter without the public.
11
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Say that again.
12
                    MS. HUMMER: You're allowed as a
13
     quasi judicial hearing to close this session out so
14
     that you can deliberate. If you so chose to want
     to deliberate in private, you have that right.
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Executive
17
     session?
18
                    MS. HUMMER: Well, it's not
19
     considered Executive Session. It's closed because
20
     open meetings doesn't apply to these quasi judicial
2.1
     administrative hearings.
22
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So is there a
23
     Board member who wishes to proceed in that
24
     direction?
```

91 MEMBER MARINELLO: I do not. 1 2 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: I do. 3 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You do? If you 4 do, we can, but if you don't, then let's proceed. 5 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: So we don't --6 okay. We don't talk at all --7 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you, 8 everyone who presented today. 9 Does a Board member have a motion to 10 make? MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a motion. 11 12 Kim Marinello, I move that the Board deny the 13 protest filed by Donald J. Byrnes against Tiara 14 Ross for the office of Columbus City Council, District 7, and that Tiara Ross shall appear on the 15 16 May 6th ballot. 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Doug Preisse, 18 second the motion. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been 20 properly moved and seconded. I'm going to take a roll call. 2.1 Kim Marinello? 22 23 MEMBER MARINELLO: Here. 24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Mike Sexton?

92 MEMBER SEXTON: Yes. 1 2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Doug 3 Preisse? 4 MR. PREISSE: Yes. 5 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And Meredith 6 Freedhoff? 7 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: This is the roll call for the vote? 8 9 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Yes. MEMBER FREEDHOFF: I abstain. 10 11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion 12 carries. 13 The next item, excuse me, on the 14 agenda is a protest filed by Lori Elmore against 15 Holly Stein. I will call on Jeff Mackey to explain 16 the --17 MR. MACKEY: So as before, in the 18 matter of the protest of the candidacy of Holly 19 Stein for Whitehall City Council, Ward 4. On February 20, 2025, a protest of 20 21 candidacy was filed, per RC 3513.05, with the 22 Franklin County Board of Elections, by Lori J. 23 Elmore, 645 Fairway Boulevard, Whitehall, OH 43213, 24 a registered elector.

The deadline for protest was February 21st, 2025, so the protest was timely filed.

Ms. Elmore is challenging the candidacy of Holly A. Stein, 750 Fairway Boulevard, Whitehall, Ohio 43213, a candidate for Whitehall City Council, Ward 4, on the basis that she does not meet the residency requirement of Whitehall City Charter, Section 3, Elections and Qualifications.

Holly Stein filed a petition on January 30th, 2025. Was reviewed by staff. Found to be timely filed, valid, and sufficient. The petition was certified by the Board at its February 14th, 2025 meeting. Holly Stein is a registered elector.

I also have in your packets there again a copy of the protest. A copy of the petition, a copy of RC 3513.05, Whitehall City Charter 3 that will be up for debate here, and then an election history for Ms. Stein.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So are we mimicking what we just did to proceed or -- okay.

Are you -- so who are you?

```
94
                    MS. ELMORE: I'm Lori Elmore.
1
2
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You're Lori.
3
     Thank you for anticipating my next move,
4
     appropriately so. Yeah, please go ahead.
5
                    Do we need to swear her in?
6
                    (Lori Elmore Sworn.)
7
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.
8
                    MS. HUMMER: Make sure you state
9
     your name and your address for the record.
10
                    MS. ELMORE:
                                 Okay.
11
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.
12
                    MS. ELMORE: My name is Lori J.
     Elmore. I reside at 645 Fairway Boulevard,
13
14
     Whitehall, Ohio 43213.
15
                    I do have copies of my opening, if
16
     you guys would like those.
17
                    Can I approach? And I also have an
     additional document for the exhibit.
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do we have -- we
20
     don't have those materials yet?
2.1
                    MS. HUMMER: No.
22
23
                 (Thereupon, Petitioner Exhibit A was
24
     marked for purposes of identification.)
```

95 1 2 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We're going to 3 have a recess for five minutes. 4 (Recess is taken.) 5 Thank you for CHAIRMAN PREISSE: 6 your patience, everybody, but especially you, since 7 you've been standing there the whole time. Ms. Elmore, please -- let's restate. 8 9 MS. ELMORE: My name is Lori J. 10 Elmore, and I filed the protest regarding the 11 residence for candidate Holly A. Stein. 12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. 13 MS. ELMORE: Before I get started, I 14 just want to review or go over the exhibits the way that I have them numbered, so when I refer to them, 15 16 you guys have the same numbers, if that's okay. 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is this part of 18 the packet we've received? 19 MS. ELMORE: Yes. Yes. So I just 20 -- you were just given my opening statement. 21 Exhibit 1 is -- at the top, it says: Code Library Dart.amlegal.com. That's Exhibit 1. 22 23 Exhibit 2 is Whitehall's 411. At 24 the top of the page, it says, "Whitehall 411."

```
96
                    Exhibit 3 --
1
2
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I don't see
3
     Whitehall 411 --
4
                    MS. HUMMER: We marked those all as
5
     one exhibit, as a package, but you put a number on
6
     each page.
7
                    MS. ELMORE: I put a number on each
8
9
                    DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Do I need to
10
    pass these out, then? Is that -- or what are
11
     these --
12
                    MS. ELMORE: They --
13
                    MS. HUMMER: Each side -- so, yes,
14
     it's my understanding, this is --
15
                    MS. ELMORE: That's going to be
16
     another exhibit with the packet. The other is my
17
     opening statement.
                    MS. HUMMER: Okay. But this is just
18
19
     one -- it's just one document?
20
                    MS. ELMORE: Yeah, one document for
2.1
     each board member.
22
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: I'll pass it.
23
     Thank you. That's Exhibit A.
24
                    MS. ELMORE: So Exhibit 1 is Section
```

97 1 Elections and Qualifications. Exhibit 2 is Whitehall's 411. 2 3 Exhibit 3 says at the top, "Personal 4 information," but if you look at the bottom, it 5 says, "Building districts and building history." 6 That would be Exhibit 3. 7 Following that would be, again, voting districts, voting history. I labeled it 3A. 8 9 MS. HUMMER: The document you're 10 going through, we have to make sure that the candidate has all these. 11 12 Is the candidate here? 13 Do you have a copy of these? Can --MS. STEIN: I think all, but the new 14 15 one entered today. 16 MS. ELMORE: I have extra an copy if 17 she needs it. 18 Okay. So Exhibit 3A is voting districts at the top, voting history on the 19 20 right-hand side. 2.1 Exhibit 3B has personal information, 22 and at the bottom, it has address and address 23 history.

24

Exhibit 3C is just a document that

2.

has, I guess, CRT APPL. It looks like this. It's just part of it.

And then the final one, Exhibit 4, is where it says: Half -- first half of taxes due February 19th, 2025.

Also, with me, I have City Attorney for Whitehall, Brad Nicodemus, who is here to give his opinion also on the Section 3 of the Charter, Elections and Qualifications, and I will proceed.

To the Board, thank you for your opportunity -- for the opportunity to present before you.

The matter at hand is whether the applicant abided by the election rules and qualifications outlined in Whitehall's Charter.

Therein, is where the challenge exists, Section 3A.

Section 3A states: Elections and Qualifications.

The Council shall consist of seven members elected to a term of four years, beginning on the first day of January after their election, and serve -- and shall serve until their successors are chosen and have qualified.

One member shall be elected to the

2.

2.1

electors from each of the four wards as now established, or as they subsequently established in the manner provided by this Charter and three members shall be elected by electors of the City At-Large.

Council members for wards shall have resided in their respective ward and Council members At-Large shall have resided in the City for at least two years next preceding their election.

Let me first start by saying I commend anyone for wanting to get involved in their local community. Involvement is very important. Equally as important is the how a person gets involved and the rules governing their involvement.

The Charter was created to ensure certain measures were in place. The procedures thereafter governing the requirements and qualifications of a candidate wishing to run for office shall be followed per the Charter.

In Exhibit 1, Whitehall residents use social media as a means to stay involved and to involve others about what's going on in the City of Whitehall.

Candidates running for the 4 Ward

2.

2.1

media sites, called Whitehall's 411. This site was maintained by a Whitehall resident.

The site listed the names of candidates, the ward, along with the date, known residency.

I was forwarded a copy of the information, and I was asked about the residency requirement. Holly A. Stein appeared to lack the two-year residency required by the Whitehall Charter in Exhibit 2.

Upon further investigation, it was found that Ms. Stein has a few mailing addresses.

There's no file in that; however, during the 2003 election cycle, she established her residency as 13 Beacon Light Lane, Buckeye Lake, Ohio, which is within the Licking County City limits -- I'm sorry?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Go ahead and say that again.

MS. ELMORE: It would be per your Exhibit 3.

Upon further investigation, it was found that Ms. Stein has a few mailing addresses.

There is no --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Thank you.

MS. ELMORE: Uh-huh. There's no

foul in that; however, during the 2003 election

cycle, she established her residency as 13 Beacon

Light Lane, Buckeye Lake, Ohio, which is within the

6 Licking County City limits. Ms. Stein voted in

7 | Licking County.

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In 2003, Ms. Stein also had a mailing address of 831 Fairway Boulevard; however, she chose to vote using her Licking County residence. That's in Exhibit 3.

In 2024, the exhibits will show that Ms. Stein voted in the Franklin County election using her mailing address, 831 Fairway Boulevard, thus establishing residency in 2024.

Also, in 2024, Ms. Stein has a mailing address of 750 Fairway Boulevard, Whitehall, Ohio.

The issue that brings us here today and is being challenged is the required two-year residency. Mailing address and where a person choses to vote is very different, but where a person choses to vote is prima facie evidence of residency.

2.1

It should be clear from how

Ms. Stein registers. She even registers at 750

Fairway, instead of the mailing address.

Let me be clear; Ms. Stein's actions show the difference and have established her voting residency in 2023 as 13 Beacon Light Lane, Buckeye Lake, Ohio, in Licking County.

Election voting by convenience does not honor the Charter of Whitehall's two-year residency requirement. The Whitehall Charter is clear and unambiguous.

In 2014, I pulled petition to run for Whitehall's At-Large seat. I gathered the required signatures and was ready and prepared to file my petition. I was contacted by a friend who stated someone brought information to their attention that I lacked the two-year residency requirement.

I researched the Charter, checked my residency, and I discovered I was indeed two days shy of two-year residency requirement.

I chose not to file my petition for the At-Large office per the Charter, and the same manner exists with Ms. Stein. She not only lacks

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

103

two days, but the documents will show she lacks the two-year residency requirement.

Again, I would like to commend

Ms. Stein for wanting to run for Whitehall Ward 4

seat; however, there are rules and qualifications

that need to be adhered to.

Per the documents that have been presented, and Ms. Stein's voting records, it is clear and convincing that she lacks the residential requirements to run as a candidate for Ward 4 at this time, per the Charter.

And I will add some other information that you guys don't have in my opening.

I then -- when I was two-years resident, in 2017, I ran for Ward 4 seat, and I won. I, again, turned around and ran for Ward 4 again, and I was awarded that position.

I ran for At-Large in 2021, and I occupy that seat now. So the Charter is very important, and it means a lot to us in Whitehall.

And with that, I thank you for your time and your consideration in this matter.

Do you have any questions?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.

www.HigginsCourtReporting.com

```
104
1
                                 She has an opportunity,
                    MS. HUMMER:
2
     the candidate, to --
3
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Before we do
4
     questions? Okay. Well, then, let's move that way.
5
                    MS. STEIN: Can I get up and move to
6
    the podium?
7
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes, please.
8
                    MS. HUMMER: Are you going to ask
9
     her any questions?
10
                    MS. STEIN: I don't think so.
11
                    MS. HUMMER: All right. Do you have
12
     any clarifying questions for --
13
                    MS. STEIN: I do not.
14
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I don't hear any.
15
                    MS. STEIN: Can I treat this as like
16
    my whole --
17
                    MS. HUMMER: Yes.
18
                    MS. STEIN: -- my summation and
19
     everything altogether. Does everyone have a copy
20
     of my documents?
2.1
                    MS. HUMMER: Let's start with
22
    placing you under oath.
23
                    MS. STEIN: Oh, I'm sorry.
                                                 Sure.
24
                    (Holly Stein Sworn.)
```

105 1 MS. HUMMER: So you have some exhibits? 2 3 MS. STEIN: It's actually everything 4 that I sent. It's my opening statement and four 5 exhibits. 6 MR. PREISSE: I wonder if we have it 7 already. 8 MS. STEIN: You may have it. I was 9 told to bring copies. 10 MS. HUMMER: We just need to mark it 11 -- one second. We're going to mark it as Candidate 12 Exhibit A. 13 14 (Thereupon, Candidate Exhibit A was 15 marked for purposes of identification.) 16 17 MS. STEIN: So with that, do I 18 begin? 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes. 20 MS. STEIN: So I would like to first 21 read my opening statement to --22 MS. HUMMER: Did she swear in --23 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: She did. 24 MS. STEIN: Thank you.

2.1

I would like to first thank the Franklin County Board of Elections, the board members and directors and chairman for this opportunity to speak to you today and present evidence supporting my candidacy.

I, Holly A. Stein, am here today,
March 3rd, to offer two arguments to counter the
protest of my candidacy that was filed by Lori J.
Elmore.

My first point comes to you from an Ohio Supreme Court case, 2017-315. The State ex. relator Rocco versus Cuyahoga Board of Elections.

This Ohio Supreme Court case is founded on the exact issue of the qualifications of a candidate and on the same specific use of the wording in the Charter of, quote, next preceding their election.

Therefore, the Ohio Supreme Court, by its ruling on June 27th, 2017, has created precedent and settled law in the State of Ohio.

The Supreme Court of Ohio ruled on the interpretation of Article 4, Section 4 of the Westlake City Charter, which states that the City's law director, or director of law, shall have been,

2.

2.1

quote, engaged in the act of practice of law in Ohio for a period of six years, next preceding his election.

The precedent setting decision reads that the interpretation of the words -- and this is very specific -- next preceding requires that the director of law to have been engaged in the act of practice of law for any period of six years preceding election.

Because Rocco satisfied this requirement, the Ohio Supreme Court ruled that the Board had abused its discretion by sustaining the protest against Rocco's candidacy.

Additionally, the Ohio Supreme Court granted a writ of mandamus to compel the Board of Elections to issue a certificate of nomination and to certify Rocco on the November 7th ballot as the candidate for this position of law director for the City of Westlake.

Section 3 of the City of Whitehall Charter states, quote, Council members for wards shall have resided in their respective wards and

2.

Council members At-Large shall have resided in the City for at least two years next preceding their election.

The same ruling and interpretation of the words, quote, next preceding their election, holds for me as it did for Rocco, meaning that any period of two years preceding election. This ruling has therefore established precedent and settled law in the State of Ohio.

Additionally, the City of
Whitehall's Charter uses the words in Section 23,
terms and qualifications for the mayor the
following language: Quote, candidates for mayor
shall be qualified electors of the City and shall
have been residents for the City for three years
immediately prior to the regular municipal election
at which they seek election.

The Whitehall City Charter
specifically uses the words, quote, immediately
prior to the regular municipal election in
describing the residency requirements for the
mayor; however, in the case of City Council
members, it describes the residence requirements,
using the words, quote, for at least two years, and

2.

2.1

this is that term again, next preceding their election. The Whitehall Charter uses very different language in these two cases.

The use of a different language is intentional. It is presumed that the different meanings were purposeful.

The different meanings, once again, upheld in Ohio Supreme Court ruling in Rocco versus Cuyahoga Board of Elections was that the words "next preceding" has the intention of any period preceding the election and does not have the intentionality of immediately prior.

Otherwise, the Whitehall Charter would have stated that condition, as was specifically stated in the requirements for mayor.

In my case, the application of the ruling in Rocco would yield a similar ruling, that my residing in the City would refer to any two-year period preceding my seeking election.

I have resided in Whitehall, beginning in 2019, and per your own records, I meet any two-year period preceding election, per both my address history and my voting record.

And, in fact, I use the same

exhibits that Lori Elmore presented to calculate that I have two and a half years, just using the dates that she presented. I actually have more than that, and I'll explain my multiple addresses.

I bought a house in Whitehall. That explains one of them.

Additionally, this interpretation is consistent with the Ohio Supreme Court's, quote, duty to liberally -- sorry -- liberately construe words limiting the right of a person to hold office in favor -- let me repeat again -- in favor of those seeking to hold office so that the public might have the benefit of choice from all qualified persons. And there's the case stated there, Reese versus Cuyahoga Board of Elections.

Essentially, what this means is that you must uphold the least restrictive interpretation of any Charter language for an applicant's candidacy. It requires you to not over-interpret words that are not there.

In this case, the words, quote, immediately prior, unquote, do not exist for the City -- Whitehall City candidate qualifications, and, therefore, cannot be interpreted to mean

2.

2.1

immediately prior or preceding, and the interpretation per the Ohio Supreme Court ruling must be upheld.

This decision alone by the Ohio
Supreme Court on the interpretation of the specific
language in the Whitehall Charter of, "next
preceding" their election is by itself to throw out
this protest on its merits.

For this point, I respectfully ask the Franklin County Board of Elections to dismiss this protest against my candidacy with prejudice.

My second point is actually on the construction of the sentence itself in Section 3 of the Charter, stating that, quote, Council members for wards shall have resided in their respective wards, and Council members At-Large shall have resided in the City for at least two years next preceding their election.

This sentence itself is constructed as two complete and separate independent clauses, each having their own subjects and verbs.

Why might you be asking is this grammar important to this argument? It is important because these two separate independent

clauses, in fact, means that each of these statements is a complete and independent thought, and, in fact, should be treated as two separate sentences.

The sentence, as written in the Charter, is a compound run-on sentence, which means logically, you can separate it into the two following, quote: Council members for wards shall have resided in their respective wards. Council members At-Large shall have resided in the City for at least two years next preceding their election.

The current structure as written means that the prepositional phrase, quote, for at least two years next preceding their election, only modifies the relationship it has to the prior statement of Council members At-Large and does not modify the Council members for wards.

Why might you be asking yourself is this the case? Well, the answer has to do with the differences between the Council member for a ward and a Council member At-Large and the number of respective residents they represent.

An At-Large Council member is designated to represent the entire City of

2.1

Whitehall constituency, which is a total of roughly 20,000 people.

Alternatively, a Council member for wards represents approximately 5,000 people.

The Council member At-Large, as currently written, has the requirement of residing in the City -- or, sorry, residing, quote, in the City, for at least two years next preceding their election, unquote, where technically the Council member for wards, in which I am seeking, has no such timeline requirement. It merely states:

Shall have resided in their respective wards.

I resided in the Ward 4 of the City of Whitehall 2019 to 2022. I did leave. She is correct -- in '23. My job became remote. I resided in Buckeye Lake. I came back in 2024. She knows very well. I bought a house on the same street she resides on as well.

Those are the differences of why this explanation of multiple housing addresses and mailing addresses.

Here's the important part to consider. Additionally, if this was indeed the intent of the writers of the Charter, the wording

1 of the Charter should read as follows: Quote, both 2. Council members for wards and Council members for 3 At-Large shall have resided in their respective 4 wards for at least two years next preceding their 5 election, or to be more explicit, should read, 6 quote: Both Council members for wards and Council 7 members At-Large shall have resided in their respective wards for at least two years immediately 8 9 preceding their election, unquote.

The important note is that because neither of these are stated in the current Charter, the Board of Elections is compelled to apply the ruling of the Ohio Supreme Court in Rocco and the interpretation of the separate independent statements of requirement cannot extend past its plain reading.

For this second point, I respectively -- respectfully ask the Board -- the Franklin County Board of Elections to dismiss this protest against my candidacy with prejudice.

In summation, based on both, one, the ruling of the Ohio Supreme Court, which has ruled and has decided this issue verbatim. It ruled that, quote, next preceding means any time

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

period preceding election, without qualifiers.

And, two, grammatically, these are separate and independent clauses, and the phrase, next preceding, unquote, as currently written in the Whitehall Charter, which we need to go by, I agree, it's our precedent of what we should adhere to, does not apply to my current ward nomination requirement. It only applies to the Council members At-Large.

Using both these two arguments, I hereby ask you to use this 2017 Ohio Supreme Court decision of Rocco versus Cuyahoga Board of Elections and dismiss this protest with prejudice and allow my candidacy to go forward and remain on the ballot for November 2025 election, to be decided by the people.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE. Interesting.

Okay. Counsel, where are we?

MS. HUMMER: Do you have any

questions from the protester?

MS. ELMORE: Yes, I do.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Questions

from the protestors of the --

```
116
 1
                     MS. ELMORE: To the protestor -- I
 2
     mean, to the candidate. Yes, I do.
 3
                 EXAMINATION OF HOLLY STEIN
 4
     BY MS. ELMORE:
 5
                    Hi, Holly.
             0.
 6
             Α.
                     Hi, Lori.
 7
                     Are you running for a mayor seat?
             Q.
 8
                    Am I what?
             Α.
 9
                     Are you running for the mayor?
             0.
10
             Α.
                     Gosh, no. No.
11
             0.
                     Okay. Are you running for a ward
12
     seat?
13
             Α.
                     I am.
14
             Q.
                     In 2021, November general election,
     did you vote in Franklin County?
15
                     I do believe so.
16
             Α.
17
             0.
                    And --
18
             Α.
                     Can I look at your notes to see?
19
                     Uh-huh.
                              In '22, November general
             Q.
20
     election, was it Franklin County?
21
             Α.
                     Yes.
22
                     In '23, May, primary, was it Licking
             Q.
     County?
23
24
                     Correct, January -- oh, go ahead.
             Α.
```

117 1 Go ahead. 2 In '23, August, special election, 0. 3 did you vote in Licking County? 4 Α. Yes, I did. 5 In 2023, November, general election, 0. 6 did you vote in Licking County? 7 The entire calendar year of '23, I Α. voted in Licking County. Absolutely. I'm not 8 9 saying I didn't. Clearly, I did, and I explained why. Went remote worker, changed my residency to 10 11 Buckeye Lake. 12 MS. HUMMER: I need to --13 MS. STEIN: Sorry. 14 MS. ELMORE: I would say then that 15 the voting -- where she's voting established her 16 residency --This is only where you 17 MS. HUMMER: 18 ask her questions. You will have an opportunity --19 MS. ELMORE: Okay. I don't have any 20 other questions. 2.1 MS. HUMMER: The Board may ask 22 questions. 23 MS. STEIN: Sure. 24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Explain to me, if

you could, and I think you can, you're asking us to -- I think I heard you -- to read and interpret the Charter as it's written, but then you rewrote it.

MS. STEIN: I said --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'll finish. You rewrote it for us, completely, punctuation and changing paragraphs and changing sentences and changing the sentences to meet your interpretation thereof.

So you're saying you know better than the people that wrote the code, the Charter, what they meant when they wrote it?

MS. STEIN: So my purpose of rewriting it in that last part was to say if they had intended -- if the writers of the Charter for the City of Whitehall --

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ HUMMER: You need to talk into the mic, please.

MS. STEIN: -- had intended for the explanation and interpretation to be that you needed to have resided immediately two years prior to your seeking election, they would have written it the exact same way that the writers of the Charter wrote the terms and qualifications as they

did for mayor, because it is explicitly written in that way.

And one of my exhibits shows the difference between the sections that applies to the mayor, which I think is why she asked me was I running for mayor. The answer is no.

I used that as a reference point to say if that was the intention, you would have used the exact same language that you used in the writing of the mayoral residency requirements.

This is also the same reference point that is used in the Ohio Supreme Court case, because they also found that in the same document, the Charter, it was written explicitly immediately preceding, so I'm referencing that, that if that had been the intention, they would have written it.

I'm not saying I know more. I'm just saying that's how they would have written it if that clearly was the intention.

They used this word, next preceding, which when I first read it, right, I'm like Lori, I read the Charter. I thought they had actually confused the words together, right?

Next preceding is not a normal way

2.

```
that we discuss or talk, so in doing the research, the 2017 application of Rocco versus Cuyahoga Board of Elections, that case made it all the way to the Ohio Supreme Court to get a ruling on what does next preceding mean, and it refers to any preceding, so any timeframe.
```

So in the case of the Rocco, they needed six years of any preceding timeframe.

In my case, the candidacy is any two-year time period, of which I meet for the same residency voting history and the end address history.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So next to does not mean next to?

MS. STEIN: Next preceding has a very specific legal interpretation. It does not mean -- this is where people get it confused. It does literally not mean immediately prior.

The interpretation is any preceding timeframe, and as long as you can prove that you have met that requirement, and in my case, Council at ward is a two-year.

I have lived -- I resided -- you have the evidence of where I resided from 2019

121 1 through 2021. It's two and a half years. 2 I actually have my Veteran Ohio. I 3 had to go down to the courthouse to get it. It's 4 2022. It proves my residency. You have to prove 5 residency requirements to get that. 6 I'm a 1990 graduate of the West 7 Point Academy. I'm not lying under oath. I told you I did reside outside Franklin County in 8 9 Whitehall for the year of 2023 in its entirety. 10 The three elections I voted, as you 11 have documented, but I meet the requirement of the 12 two years next preceding, because it means any 13 two-year timeframe. I have resided there since --14 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm sorry to cut you off, but --15 MS. STEIN: I've exhausted it? 16 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You said it well. 18 MS. STEIN: Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Any other 20 questions from the Board? Where are we? Remind 2.1 me. 22 MS. STEIN: Do I get to ask any 23 questions?

24

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I don't know yet.

```
122
     That's a good question.
1
                    MS. HUMMER: So that concluded --
2
3
     does that conclude your case?
4
                    MS. STEIN: It does.
5
                    MS. HUMMER: Okay. Then you can sit
6
     down.
7
                    MS. STEIN: Is there an opportunity
8
     to ask questions, or no?
9
                    MS. HUMMER: Ask questions of who?
10
                    MS. STEIN: Of the evidence against
11
    me, or no?
12
                    MS. HUMMER: You had -- you already
13
14
                    MS. STEIN: Oh, I already blew it?
15
     Okay. Thank you.
16
                    MS. HUMMER: You already did that.
17
                    MS. STEIN:
                                Okay.
18
                    MS. HUMMER: All right. So at this
19
    point, both sides have concluded their case.
20
                    MS. ELMORE: No; the City Attorney
21
    has an interpretation.
22
                    MS. HUMMER: Well, you didn't call
23
     the City Attorney as a witness.
24
                    MS. ELMORE: I said I had the City
```

123 1 Attorney with me. 2 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You said you had 3 the attorney with you. Is it --4 MS. ELMORE: Was supposed to come --5 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Two minutes; he's 6 getting paid the same. 7 MS. HUMMER: He can testify. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All right. Let's 8 9 let him do it. You're here. If he wants -- if you 10 wish to, but then you need to go up. 11 MR. NICODEMUS: I mean, I can. 12 Ms. Hummer's office contacted me and asked that I 13 attend in case the Board had questions with regards 14 to the language in the Charter that you had before you, that both sides have done --15 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Does the Board --17 I would like to ask you a question or two. Would 18 you mind? 19 MR. NICODEMUS: Okay. 20 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You're here; now 21 you're going to earn your keep. 22 (Bradley Nicodemus Sworn.) 23 EXAMINATION OF BRADLEY NICODEMUS

BY CHAIRMAN PREISSE:

24

- Q. Okay. Tell us who you are, please, and --
 - A. My name is Brad Nicodemus. I'm the City Attorney for the City of Whitehall.
 - Q. Thank you. Do you want to make a statement or just --
 - A. No. If you have questions, I'm happy to answer questions --
 - Q. What's your reaction to the assertation that next preceding --
 - MS. HUMMER: Can I? Do you mind if I assist with this, on behalf of the Board?
- CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yeah, go ahead.
- 14 BY MS. HUMMER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

22

23

- 15 Q. So you've heard today that there
 16 have been -- there are multiple sections of the
 17 Charter regarding qualifications to run for office.
 18 And in that, there are different words used for the
 19 mayor. Immediately is a component, whereas, for
 20 the office that the candidate is running for, that
 21 language is missing.
 - You've also heard the candidate's testimony in the case law regarding that.
- Do you have historic information of

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

125

- what the Charter language means and whether that interpretation is correct?
- A. So I don't have the historic
 information from the Charter Commission back in
 1947, when it was started, but I did look it up,
 and it is correct that Council members for the ward
 shall reside in their respective wards.

I disagree with Ms. Stein. I think it is inartful, but it is a sentence and it is meant that both for their respective wards and for At-Large shall have -- and it says: Shall reside in the City for at least two years preceding their next election.

- 14 BY CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
- 15 Q. Does it say preceding their next 16 election or --
- 17 A. It says: For at least two years
 18 next preceding their election --
- MS. HUMMER: Okay. That's
- 20 different.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Please, Counsel,
- 22 read it the way --
- 23 MR. NICODEMUS: Yes. Yes. So it
- 24 says: Two years next preceding their election. I

agree that that is not exactly language we use.

My research took me to the case we actually heard earlier, O'Neill and Athens County, where they talked about the -- preceding the next election. And in that case, it was -- it was a one-year thing.

So as I look at this, the 2025 election is November 4th. Two years preceding that would be November 4th, 2023.

Now, it is not -- I was doing this on the fly, as Ms. Stein was talking on this Rocco case. I can't say that I disagree. She did summarize it very well; however, I do think that there are some differences in the Charters here.

- Q. There are some difference in what?
- A. In the Charter, in the Rocco case, where it actually said --
 - Q. Oh, where the Cuyahoga County --
- A. Yes. Where it said a period of six years, as opposed to the period of six years. The Whitehall Charter doesn't say either of those. It says: Members for wards shall have resided in their respective wards, and Council members

 At-Large shall have resided in the City for at

2.

least two years, next preceding their election. It doesn't have that indefinite article that the Rocco case came up with.

Now, I'm doing it on the fly, so I haven't been able to shepardize it, so I -- they're both Supreme Court cases, this Rocco case and the O'Neill case, both talking about where a person resides their next preceding election.

MS. HUMMER: So the one issue that was presented by the candidate is the fact that the mayor does have the immediate language and the other office does not.

- A. That is -- that is correct. There are differences in the residency requirements for Council versus other elected positions in there.
 - Q. Your -- just say that again.
- A. There are differences in the Charter for residency requirements for members of Council, as opposed to --
- Q. Differences in the language, but you're interpreting them the same, are you not, on behalf of the City today?
- A. Differences in the language and differences in the requirements. The mayor has one

```
1
                  The auditor has a different
     requirement.
2.
                  The City Attorney has a different
     requirement.
3
     requirement. Those requirements don't have the
4
     language that Council members have.
5
                    MS. HUMMER: I think what the
6
     Chairman is saying is that are you interpreting --
7
     even though the language is the same -- is
     different, immediate versus next preceding, but the
8
9
     -- you are making an interpretation of the
     qualifications of residency as being the same?
10
11
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                       That's exactly
12
     what I was trying to say.
                    MR. NICODEMUS: Right. Now, this
13
14
     Rocco case throws it into a little flux, but prior
15
     to learning about this -- and, again, I haven't had
16
     to fully digest it. My reading to read them
17
     consistently with each other was that it was two
18
     years preceding.
19
                    Again, this new case coming to
20
     light, I'm trying to digest it as we go, so I can't
21
     fully synthesize it, but, yes, my initial reading
22
     was for the consistency's sake.
                    MS. HUMMER: Are there any other
23
24
     questions for --
```

```
1
                    MEMBER SEXTON: We're talking about
2
     the difference between Council and Mayor. I would
3
     assume that you mentioned -- was it 194- --
4
                    MR. NICODEMUS:
                                    '47.
5
                    MEMBER SEXTON: -- '47 is when the
6
     original was done, and I believe they just had a
7
     Charter amendment a few years ago, probably where
     the mayor came in --
8
9
                    MR. NICODEMUS: Correct --
10
                    MEMBER SEXTON: One that was in the
11
     '40s and one that was probably in 2013 or
12
     something.
13
                    MR. NICODEMUS: That is correct.
14
     There were changes into the number of consecutive
     terms persons could run for a seat.
15
                    Section 3 of the Charter in
16
17
     Whitehall was last updated November 6th of 2018,
18
     and that's probably the one where we're talking
19
     about -- where we're talking about how Council and
20
     mayor can run for three consecutive terms, as
2.1
     opposed to the two.
22
                    MEMBER SEXTON: Right. And so is it
23
     your opinion that it is a two-year requirement?
24
     has to be continuous two years and not --
```

```
130
1
                    MR. NICODEMUS: Yes.
2
                    MEMBER MARINELLO: And immediately
3
     preceding?
4
                    MR. NICODEMUS: Two years preceding.
5
     Again, this Rocco case, I've got to look at, but
6
     coming in here today, reading the Charter for
7
     consistency's sake and with that Athens and O'Neill
     case, where it talked about immediately preceding
8
9
     the next election, my notes, election 2025, 11-4 of
10
     2025, two years, next preceding the election would
11
     be November 4th, 2023, for the residency
12
     requirement.
13
                    Again, now I've got Rocco to look
14
     at, but --
15
                    MS. HUMMER: I think he's -- I think
16
     it's safe to say that there's at least a question
17
     at this point based on --
18
                    MR. NICODEMUS: Yes.
19
                    MS. HUMMER: -- the short time
20
     turnaround.
2.1
                    MR. NICODEMUS: And here's where
22
     I'll turn my key. The Supreme Court has said two
23
     different things, so the Board should consult its
24
     legal counsel on how to proceed.
```

131 1 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Thank you very 2. much. 3 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, this is the 4 whole thing. Some of my friends say one thing and 5 some of my friends say the other, and I'm going to stick with my friends. 6 7 Does any Board member have any more 8 questions, or are we at a point where we can 9 entertain a motion? 10 MS. HUMMER: So they can have 11 closing arguments. 12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Oh. Do you wish 13 to make closing arguments? 14 MS. STEIN: Do I go first or --15 MS. HUMMER: She goes first and then 16 you go. 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And let's reflect 18 upon the economy of time --19 MS. ELMORE: Okay. 20 MS. HUMMER: And your closing 21 arguments should be based on just summarizing the 22 evidence and not bringing forth new evidence. 23 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You've made good 24 -- you've made great arguments, but we probably

2.1

only need to hear the succinct version.

MS. ELMORE: Okay. In closing, the candidate, Holly A. Stein's voting records is clear. She voted in Licking County in all three cycles in the year of 2023.

The interpretation and language within our Charter, the intent of that language, per our City Attorney, in the document that he provided me before we came, is that I'm going to say Charter 3 states: Council members for wards shall have resided in the respective wards and Council members At-Large shall have resided in the City for at least two years next preceding their election, which is not ambiguous, and thus a candidate must reside in the ward for two years prior to the election.

This year election being November 4th, 2025, and thus two years would be November the 4th, 2023.

Even though there is Supreme Court language, within the City of Whitehall, it's always been interpreted that the Charter in Section 3, the Elections and Qualifications, was meant and intended to mean two years residing in Whitehall.

There is information and evidence 1 2 that has been presented. Yes, Ms. Hine -- Ms. 3 Stein has several addresses; however, she has established her residency in Licking County in '23. 4 5 That she wants to run for office in 6 '25, I commend her. Again, I commend anybody that 7 wants to run for office or participate and involve themselves in City -- in the City and its affairs; 8 9 however, there are requirements per our Charter, and the intent of our Charter was to make that for 10 11 two years residing as a resident. 12 Thank you very much. 13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you. 14 MS. STEIN: I'll keep my summary points brief. 15 16 Again, I'll end with where I 17 started. The Ohio Supreme Court was confronted 18 with this exact same reading, quote, next preceding 19 their election and what is the proper 20 interpretation. 2.1 This was appealed all the way to the 22 Ohio Supreme Court, and they ruled that it is any 23 time period. I've already established that I meet

24

the requirement of any two-year time period.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

22

23

24

134

intent, we don't get to read in the intent, if that was what they had wanted, they would have used the same language that they had chosen to write the qualifications for the mayor. Three years immediately preceding. There is no obtuse language in that. The use of this language has already been determined. My last point is you're required to interpret the least restrictive so that you have the benefit of qualified candidates for the City of Whitehall and let the people decide. Those are my three points. Thank you. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you. MS. HUMMER: Again, you may close the session so that you can consult with legal counsel.

Second point, if that was truly the

19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Does any Board member wish to do that?

MS. HUMMER: We have to have a

motion to admit all the evidence. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Oh, I move to

admit all the evidence.

135 MEMBER MARINELLO: I second it. 1 2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been 3 properly moved and seconded. All those in favor, 4 signify by say aye. 5 (Vote taken.) 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 7 same sign. 8 (No response.) 9 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion carries. 10 11 MS. HUMMER: All you have to do is 12 have the people leave the room. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Can we go into 13 Executive Session? I don't need it. 14 15 MS. HUMMER: I think we -- I would 16 like to consult with you. 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. All right. 18 We're going to go into Executive Session for five 19 minutes only. Do we have to vote on that? 20 MS. HUMMER: No, you do not. 2.1 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: But we have to 22 vote to come back in? 23 MS. HUMMER: No. 24 (Executive Session.)

136 1 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Doug Preisse, I 2 move that the Board deny the protest, filed by Lori 3 J. Elmore, against Holly A. Stein, for the office 4 of Whitehall City Council, Ward 4, that Holly A. 5 Stein shall appear on the May 6, 2025 ballot. 6 Second? 7 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: I second. 8 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been 9 properly moved and seconded. 10 I'll take a roll call vote. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Kim 11 12 Marinello? 13 MEMBER MARINELLO: No. 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Mike Sexton? 15 MEMBER SEXTON: No. 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Doug Preisse? 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes. 18 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Meredith Freedhoff? 20 2.1 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Yes. 22 MS. HUMMER: I think you -- your 23 motion -- was it your intent for the motion to --24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I voted -- I

```
137
     intended --
1
2
                    MS. HUMMER: For the --
3
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- to deny the
4
    protest. So I vote yes on denying the protest.
5
     Did I do that right?
6
                    MS. HUMMER: I think if your
7
     intention was to have the motion fail, then it
     would have been in the approval motion.
8
9
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I wish the motion
     to prevail.
10
11
                    MS. HUMMER: Then you would have to
12
    move to approve the --
13
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I voted yes. Did
14
     I vote yes --
15
                    MS. HUMMER: Then I think Kim would
16
    have had to --
17
                    MEMBER MARINELLO: I said no.
                    MS. HUMMER: -- to do the motion --
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm sorry --
20
                    MEMBER FREEDHOFF: So are you voting
21
     to allow Holly Stein to remain on the ballot, or
22
    no?
23
                    CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We can start
24
     over.
```

138 MS. STEIN: Yeah. 1 2 MS. HUMMER: Because if your 3 intention is to allow her --4 MEMBER MARINELLO: I want -- I am 5 voting to grant the protest. 6 MS. HUMMER: Then your vote should 7 have been yes. 8 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Then our vote 9 should have been no? 10 MS. HUMMER: No; your vote would have been --11 12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Let me see if I get --13 14 MS. HUMMER: Then you need to re-do 15 the vote. 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I believe I moved 17 to deny the protest. 18 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: You did. 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And I voted yes 20 on the deny the protest. We both did. 2.1 MS. HUMMER: By -- so let me explain 22 what the vote would mean. The way when it's a 23 two/two vote, what is in front of the Board fails; 24 so the protest is granted because you moved to deny

139 the protest, and it failed because of a two/two 1 2 vote. 3 MEMBER SEXTON: It's decided by --4 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So the protest 5 has failed? 6 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: No; so you did it 7 wrong, then, basically. 8 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I did it wrong? 9 Okay. I am not following you. 10 THE REPORTER: Do you want this on 11 the record? 12 MS. HUMMER: This doesn't need to be 13 on the record because I'm providing legal guidance. 14 (Discussion held off record.) 15 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm going to restate the motion --16 17 MS. HUMMER: So it's a motion to 18 reconsider. 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Motion to 20 reconsider. 21 MS. FREEDHOFF: Second. 22 MS. HUMMER: And then vote on the motion to reconsider. 23 24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Motion to

140 1 reconsider. All in favor, say aye --2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 3 favor of the motion, signify by saying aye. 4 (Vote taken.) 5 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion 6 carries. 7 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'll restate the motion. I move that the Board grant -- vote 8 9 against my own motion -- grant the protest, filed 10 by Lori J. Elmore, against Holly A. Stein, for the 11 office of Whitehall City Council, Ward 4 and that 12 Holly Stein shall not appear on the May 6th, 2025 13 ballot. 14 MS. HUMMER: Now take your roll call 15 vote. 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Yep, roll 17 call vote. So Kim Marinello? 18 19 MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes. 20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Mike Sexton? 2.1 MEMBER SEXTON: No. 22 Doug Preisse? 23 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: No. 24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And Meredith

141 Freedhoff? 1 2 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: No. 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion 4 carries --5 I'm sorry, that -- was MS. HUMMER: 6 that yes? Yes? No? You're granting the protest? 7 MEMBER MARINELLO: And I wanted to 8 grant the protest. 9 MS. HUMMER: Okay. It's a yes vote 10 to protest, and I think you voted yes -- no, but 11 your intention was to vote yes, because in the 12 previous, you voted no. So your vote --13 MEMBER SEXTON: I'm more confused 14 than when we started. So the motion is --15 MS. HUMMER: To grant the protest. 16 MEMBER SEXTON: Grant the motion --17 MEMBER MARINELLO: And you want 18 to --19 MEMBER SEXTON: So the motion is 20 to --2.1 MS. HUMMER: Grant the protest. 22 MEMBER SEXTON: So I'm going to 23 stick with a no vote and then --24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: No.

142 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: No. 1 2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The 3 motion --4 MS. HUMMER: Then you're granting 5 the protest? 6 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: No. No. They're 7 not. They're denying it. 8 MS. HUMMER: All right. So you're 9 voting not to grant it? So you're fine. 10 MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Yes. Don't you 11 get confused --12 Sorry; I got confused. MS. HUMMER: 13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It's easy to get 14 confused. 15 MS. HUMMER: You're good. So yes? 16 No? No -- so the protest is not granted? 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: That is my 18 understanding. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Yep. 20 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Do we need 21 to go into executive session for the next? 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: No, we don't. 23 24 Okay. Next item on the agenda is

1 the poll working training manual. Each election, 2 the Board approves the -- we print 5,000 poll 3 worker training manuals. We took this out to bid 4 and the winning bid was Hopkins Printing, for the 5 printing of 5,000 poll worker training manuals, in 6 the cost of \$36,500. 7 MEMBER SEXTON: Mr. Chairman, Michael Sexton, I move the Board approve the 8 9 purchase of 5,000 poll worker training manuals from 10 Hopkins Printing, in the amount of \$36,500, to be 11 used in the May 2025 primary election. 12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second the 13 motion. 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been 15 properly moved and second. All those in favor of the motion, 16 17 signify by saying aye. 18 (Vote taken.) 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 20 same sign? 2.1 (No response.) 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion 23 carries. 24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Move to adjourn.

		144
1	MEMBER FREEDHOFF: Second.	
2	DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Seeing no	
3	objection, we're adjourned.	
4		
5	Thereupon, the Meeting was concluded at	
6	approximately 6:02 p.m.	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

		145
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3		
4		
5	THE STATE OF OHIO:	
6	COUNTY OF FRANKLIN:	
7		
8	I, Rebecca Williams, a Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of	
9	Ohio, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete written transcript of	
10	the meeting in this matter; That the foregoing was taken by me	
11	stenographically and transcribed by me with	
12	computer-aided transcription; That the foregoing occurred at the	
13	aforementioned time and place; That I am not an attorney for or	
14	relative of either party and have no interest whatsoever in the event of this litigation.	
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and official seal of office at Columbus,	
16	Ohio, this 31st day of March, 2024.	
17		
18		
19		
20	Rebecca Williams	
21	Notary Public, State of Ohio	
22	My Commission Expires: June 7, 2027.	
23		
24		