BEFORE THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

- - -

In Re:

Special Meeting. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Chairman Douglas J. Preisse, Director Edward J. Leonard, Deputy Director David Payne, and Board Members Bradley K. Sinnott, Kimberly E. Marinello, and Michael E. Sexton, at the Franklin County Board of Elections, 1700 Morse Road, Large Hearing Room, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on August 24, 2018.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
               Franklin County Prosecutor's Office
               By Mr. Timothy A. Lecklider, Esq.
 3
               and Mr. Nick A. Soulas, Jr., Esq.
               Assistant Prosecuting Attorneys
 4
               373 South High Street, 13th Floor
               Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                    On behalf of the Board.
 6
     ALSO PRESENT:
 7
     Ms. Mellissia Fuhrmann, Esq., Bd. of Elections
 8
     Ms. Alicia Healy, Bd. of Elections
     Mr. Zachary Manifold, Bd. of Elections
 9
     Mr. Gene Shell, Bd. of Elections
     Mr. Jeffrey O. Mackey, Bd. of Elections
10
     Ms. Carla D. Patton, Bd. of Elections
     Ms. Suzanne M. Brown, Executive Assistant
11
         to the Board
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

ĺ			
			3
1	INDEX		
2	AGENDA	PAGE	
3	Roll Call	4	
4	Remaking of Absentee Ballots	4	
5	Provisional Ballots	7	
6	Extraction of Provisional Ballots	10	
7	17 Provisional Ballots for Consideration	11	
8	Extraction of Additional Provisional Ballots	49	
9			
10	Issues Recommended for Approval to November Ballot	51	
11	Columbus Community Bill of Rights	54	
12	Grandview Heights Green Space District	70	
13	Brief Report Prior to Certification	82	
14	Certification of the August 7, 2018, Special Election Results	83	
15	Hiring of Vote Center Managers	88	
16	Adjournment	90	
17			
18	BOARD EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	1
19	A - Issues Recommended for Approval for the November 6, 2018, General Election	51	
20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
4
                              Friday Morning Session,
 1
 2
                              August 24, 2018,
 3
                              10:10 a.m.
 4
 5
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All right.
     Welcome. The first roll -- or order of business
 6
     today is to do the roll to make certain we have a
 7
 8
     quorum. I'll take roll.
9
                  Kim Marinello.
10
                  MEMBER MARINELLO: Here.
11
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Mike Sexton.
12
                  MEMBER SEXTON: Here.
13
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Doug Preisse.
14
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here.
15
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Brad Sinnott.
16
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Here.
17
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We do indeed
18
     have a quorum, Mr. Chairman.
19
                  The first item on the agenda is the
20
     remaking of absentee ballots, and I'll call Zach
2.1
     Manifold to the podium to give a quick report, if you
2.2
     could.
23
                  MR. MANIFOLD: Good morning.
24
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Good morning.
25
                  MR. MANIFOLD: So we have 46 total
```

remakes of absentee ballots. We had 44 that were -that showed up on Election Day, 22 in the afternoon
mail and then 22 that showed up in the final pickup
at 7:30 of mailed ballots.

2.1

What you'll see is they're all skewed. They're kind of -- they just got turned. When the printer printed them, they turned, and our tabulators will not run the ballot without remaking them. We think they all showed up on Election Day, and then in -- we had two today in the remaining ballots, so we think what happened is maybe Thursday or Friday, the final mail-out ballots, we had this batch of ballots, they just skewed when the printer printed them. We'll go ahead and show you. Yeah, you can just flip through them and see what we're talking about, but please try to keep them in order because that just makes it easier on us.

MR. SHELL: You can turn them sideways to see what we're talking about.

(Discussion off the record.)

MEMBER SINNOTT: Speak up.

MR. SHELL: What I was telling them is if they look at the ballot as it turned sideways, they can tell from the bottom of the ballot, the gap from the bottom to the top, as it goes to the top it

widens out, and also the squares that were preprinted on these ballot pages, the crosshairs from the pdf that was printed over top does not line up to those squares, and that's what caused the ballot not to be scanned into the scanners.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So that -- would you call that a printing skew?

MR. SHELL: I would call that a printer skew, yes, printing error (indicating).

MEMBER SEXTON: I assume these are all the same?

MR. SHELL: They are all the same.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, Gene, thank you for that, although we all have to question your choice of apparel today.

MR. SHELL: I'm -- I knew it was coming up, and that's okay.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So, Zach, your report is that the conclusion of the bipartisan staff is that there are 46 absentee paper ballots that need to be remade?

MR. MANIFOLD: Correct. That is correct.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Then I move that the
Board authorize the staff to remake 46 absentee paper

ballots and that these remade ballots shall be tabulated and included in the official canvass of the results for the August 7, 2018, Special Election, with a report to be made to the Board later today.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor signify by say aye.

(Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

10 same sign.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

11 (No response.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion

13 carries.

The next item on the agenda is provisional ballots, and I'll ask Mel to come up to the podium and to give a brief report. I believe we have 1,349 provisional ballots. Of that I think 1,161 we'll be recommending as counting, and then there are another 171 that we will be recommending that the Board reject. And then after this Mel will go to the -- the remakes, but let's just stick with the good and the bad for right now.

MS. FUHRMANN: Sure. Good morning,

24 everyone.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Good morning.

MS. FUHRMANN: So, as David mentioned, the Voter Services staff and Alicia and myself do recommend for approval a hundred -- I'm sorry, 1,125 ballots that are approved, 36 ballots that need remade. And would you like me to move on to the ballots that are going to be rejected?

2.1

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes.

MS. FUHRMANN: Okay. So we had a total of 171 ballots that we recommend be rejected for the following reasons: We had two provisional ballots that had an invalid address; we had 99 ballots of voters who were simply not registered; one provisional ballot where the date of birth of the voter was missing; three of the provisional voters also voted absentee; one provisional voter where the signature does not match, 22 provisional votes where there was no ID given; and 43 provisional ballots where the voter was in the wrong location, also known as wrong church, wrong pew, for a total of 171 ballots that we recommend be rejected by the Board this morning.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Can you give me again the total of -- the total number of ballots to be counted? Did that add up 1,161?

9 1 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. 2 Thank DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Okay. 3 you. MS. FUHRMANN: 1,125 approved, 36 4 remakes, that's 1,161. 5 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Perfect. Thank 6 7 you. 8 MEMBER SINNOTT: Mel, so the Republican 9 and Democratic staff would be reporting to us that 10 there are 1,161 provisional ballots for which there's 11 agreement that they should be counted; right? 12 MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct. 13 MEMBER SINNOTT: And the Republican and 14 Democratic staff are reporting that there are 171 15 provisional ballots that cannot be counted; is that 16 correct? 17 MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct. 18 MEMBER SINNOTT: Then you have 17 19 additional provisional ballots that will require our 20 examination today; right? 2.1 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, sir. 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Are there any 23 other questions for Mel?

If not, I'd entertain a motion.

MEMBER SEXTON: I move that the Board

24

approve the counting of 1,161 provisional ballots and 1 2 a rejection of 171 provisional ballots, that all provisional ballots deemed valid will be counted and 3 included in the official canvass results for the 4 5 August 7, 2018, Special Election. 6 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. 7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 8 favor signify by saying aye. 9 (Vote taken.) 10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 11 same sign. 12 (No response.) 13 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries. 14 What we would like to do is send the 15 staff off to begin opening those provisional envelopes that you deemed appropriate for counting. 16 17 If I could have a motion to allow for the extraction 18 of those provisional ballots. 19 MEMBER SINNOTT: I move that the Board 20 authorize the staff to extract the approved 2.1 provisional ballots. 2.2 MEMBER MARINELLO: Second. 23 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 24 favor signify by saying aye. 25 (Vote taken.)

11 1 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 2 same sign. 3 (No response.) DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries. 4 5 So someone will alert the staff that --6 fantastic. 7 Let's go now to the next item on the agenda, which is the 17 provisional ballots for Board 8 9 consideration, and we'll end up putting those 17 into 10 a category of either those that we would like to 11 count or those that should be rejected. 12 So, Mel, you want to --13 MS. FUHRMANN: Sure. 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: -- start us off 15 there. 16 MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you, David. So now 17 we will move on to the fun part of the process, which 18 are the provisionals for Board review. On the table in front of me I have a total of 17 ballots, and 19 20 Alicia is going to help me this morning in presenting 2.1 those to you for your review. 22 I have the first six ballots. 23 Provisionals 1 through 6 are various signature 24 issues. 25 MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you. Mel, I'm

going to hold these right here for the time being.

MS. FUHRMANN: Sure.

MEMBER SINNOTT: When you say various signature issues, what do you mean?

MS. FUHRMANN: So on Provisional 1 and 2 -- we'll start with Provisionals 1 and 2. Those

2.1

2.2

2 -- we'll start with Provisionals 1 and 2. Those were what we call signature mismatches, where the signature on the front of the provisional ballot did not match what we had in our database system, and we're presenting those for your review this morning.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you. So on one and two, you want us to compare the signature on the envelope to the signature on record at the Board?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, which I put on top there. There's a copy of what we have on file there on top with the ballot for your comparison. The first individual voter I believe was a circumstance where she signed her married name on the provisional ballot, but the signature we have on file was her maiden name.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Why do you believe that to be true?

MS. FUHRMANN: Based on the fact that

she did not file a name change, a formal name change with the Board of Elections, and you'll see by the signature on the provisional that it -- it is not even close to what her maiden name was, so we're sort of making an assumption there, taking a leap of faith, but asking for the Board's review this morning.

2.1

MEMBER SINNOTT: Are you aware of any standard that we should apply in making this determination such as something that's been described in a Secretary of State directive?

MS. FUHRMANN: The directive does not give any guidance on this particular issue other than an individual voter should vote provisionally when they've had a name change and they've not updated their records with the Board. However, we can only assume that might be the case here.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, there needs to be a match between the name on the provisional envelope and the name of the registrant; correct?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

 $\label{eq:member} \mbox{MEMBER SINNOTT:} \quad \mbox{I think we'll do these}$ one at a time.

(Discussion off the record.)

MEMBER SINNOTT: If no one objects, on

the top sheet of this package I'm going to put No. 1 for purpose of identification (indicating).

And, Mel, the top sheet shows the signature that is on record at the Board; correct?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: May I ask, does the identification information matches for the voter on the envelope and what we have on file?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Driver's license number or last four --

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: -- of the Social

14 | Security number?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. FUHRMANN: That all matches.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Thank you.

17 (Discussion off the record.)

18 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We should vote on this individually.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Yeah. My suggestion from my colleague is that we vote on these 17 one at a time, because, among other things, I think we may be encountering different issues as to each.

From my examination, the registered voter is Kelly Kuhn, but that is not the name that

appears on the envelope of the provisional ballot. A 1 2 different -- a different name has been signed. that reason, I would move for rejection of that 3 particular provisional ballot. 4 5 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 7 favor signify by saying aye. 8 (Vote taken.) 9 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 10 same sign. 11 (No response.) 12 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries. 13 On to Provisional Ballot No. 2. 14 MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you. Provisional Ballot No. 2 is a more straightforward example of 15 16 where the signature on the provisional envelope does 17 not match what we have on record in our database 18 system. I've attached a couple of examples that I 19 printed out from our system to the provisional ballot

MEMBER SINNOTT: For identification I'm going to mark the top sheet of this one No. 2 (indicating).

for your review this morning.

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And, Mel, you're saying that what caused the staff to bring this to our attention is that you

didn't see any similarity between the signature that appears on the provisional envelope and the signature that we have on record; correct?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. There was a question about the similarity of the signatures. And again, I'll note for the record that the -- the required identifiers did match in our system, whether it's the Social Security number -- the driver's license number that was given, that was a match in our system; so the issue is simply one of signature match.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I appreciate that bipartisan staff reviewed and the opportunity for the Board as a whole to review the signature in question. I find there is substantial similarity and match, so I would move that the provisional ballot marked No. 2 indeed be included --

MEMBER SINNOTT: Second.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- in the count.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in

favor signify by saying aye.

(Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

25 | same sign.

2.1

1 (No response.) 2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries. 3 Ballot -- Provisional Ballot No. 3. MS. FUHRMANN: Okay. So Ballot No. 3 is 4 a slightly different scenario. You'll see upon 5 reviewing Provisional No. 3 that the voter signed 6 7 simply with an X, and then there is a notation that that was witnessed by her quardian. I believe the 8 voter is Shaddock. Is that correct? 9 10 MEMBER SINNOTT: Yes, you're right, Mel. 11 MS. FUHRMANN: Okay. And then we have 12 also attached for review some earlier examples of the 13 voter's signature where you can see perhaps a 14 progression and an inability to sign her signature, 15 perhaps due to some sort of progressive illness. 16 MEMBER SINNOTT: I'm going to mark this 17 one No. 3 on the cover (indicating). 18 (Discussion off the record.) 19 MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, the way I see it 20 is we're comparing the letter X on the envelope to 2.1 the signature on record. That's not a match, so I 2.2 move that we not count No. 3. 23 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. 24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in

favor signify by saying aye.

(Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

3 | same sign.

2.1

(No response.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

Provisional Ballot No. 4.

MS. FUHRMANN: Okay. Moving on to the fourth provisional ballot for review, again this was an instance where the bipartisan staff felt that there is a possible signature mismatch between what's on the provisional ballot, and then there is attached at the top of that provisional ballot the signature that we have on record.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I'll mark the cover sheet No. 4 (indicating).

Mel, the issue this presents, in the estimation of the staff, is that the signature on the envelope just doesn't look the same as the signature on record; correct?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. The issue presented is that there was some question as to whether there was a significant match between the two signatures.

THE FLOOR: Can you speak up so that we can hear you in the back? We're having trouble hearing you -- not you, but some of the gentlemen

```
19
 1
     (inaudible).
 2
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We'll endeavor to do
 3
     that.
 4
                  THE FLOOR:
                              Thank you.
 5
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                     Thank you.
 6
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Mel, what you've given
 7
     to us is a cover sheet, in this instance is simply a
     photocopy of the envelope itself; right?
 8
9
                  MS. FUHRMANN: May I?
10
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Sure, please.
11
                  MEMBER SEXTON: So on this one are we
12
     comparing this to this (indicating)?
13
                  MS. FUHRMANN: So, yeah, this is the
14
     same thing. You're actually comparing this to this.
15
                  MEMBER SEXTON: Comparing this and this?
16
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.
17
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: So I think the answer
18
     to my question was yes; correct?
19
                                       My apologies.
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.
20
                  MEMBER SEXTON: I would think that does
2.1
     not match, and the motion, therefore, it should not
2.2
    be counted.
23
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Second.
24
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
25
     favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
```

```
20
 1
                  (Vote taken.)
 2
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
 3
     same sign.
 4
                  (No response.)
 5
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.
                  Provisional Ballot No. 5.
 6
 7
                  MS. FUHRMANN: The issue before the
 8
     Board again is an issue of a possible mismatched
 9
     signature. The provisional voter's last name is
10
     Cusick, and you should have attached to that
11
     provisional ballot a copy of the signature that we
12
     have on file in our voter database system.
13
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Mel, this seems to be
14
     another instance where the cover sheet -- well -- no.
15
     It's actually the second page of what you've given to
16
     us is a photocopy of the envelope itself; right?
17
                  MS. FUHRMANN: May I?
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Sure, please.
18
19
     Approach.
20
                  (Discussion off the record.)
2.1
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Speak up so our court
22
     reporter can hear you.
23
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, it is a photocopy.
24
     This was a county -- the county provisional where we
     had to verify if the person was registered in Athens
25
```

21 County as well. However, it was determined -- it was 1 determined that it is appropriately before our Board 2 for review, and so I would refer you to the signature 3 from the Secretary of State's office. 4 5 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. So on the cover 6 sheet I'm going to mark this --7 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Five. MEMBER SINNOTT: -- No. 5 (indicating). 8 9 And, Mel, on the cover sheet is the 10 signature that is on record, and then the second sheet is merely a photocopy of the envelope --11 12 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. 13 MEMBER SINNOTT: -- that we're 14 examining? 15 MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct. 16 (Discussion off the record.) 17 MEMBER SEXTON: They're close. 18 MEMBER SINNOTT: In my estimation, the 19 two signatures are close enough to be counted. 20 would move the counting of Provisional No. 5. 2.1 MEMBER MARINELLO: Second. 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 23 favor of the motion signify by saying aye. 24 (Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

same sign.

1

4

2 (No response.)

3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

Provisional Ballot No. 6.

5 MS. FUHRMANN: This is our last

6 provisional. It falls under the heading of signature

7 | issues. Again, we have here a provisional voter.

8 | The bipartisan staff determined that -- there was

9 some questions about whether the signature on the

10 envelope significantly matched what we had on file in

11 our system. I believe that I attached two examples

12 | that we had from this particular voter for your

13 review.

14 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. And I'm going to

15 | mark the cover of this one No. 6 (indicating).

16 THE FLOOR: Again, could you please try

17 and speak up? This is a public meeting. Please try

18 and speak up. We can hear your administrator very

19 | well. Please try and speak up. Thank you.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Do we have a mic? Do

21 | we have a microphone?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Yeah. Suzanne

23 | is going to hook up the microphone system.

24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We'll see if that --

25 | if the sound system will work. We're not sure it

```
23
     will.
 1
 2
                  DIRECTOR LEONARD: And I think the
 3
     concern is with the back.
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Let's give it a shot.
 4
 5
     Let's proceed while she's trying, and we'll speak up.
 6
     Where were we?
 7
                  MEMBER MARINELLO: No. 6.
 8
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE:
                                          Six.
 9
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                     Six.
10
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Provisional
11
     Ballot 6.
12
                  MS. FUHRMANN: We're just submitting
13
     Provisional No. 6 for signature review.
14
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                     Okav.
15
                  MS. FUHRMANN: I have attached two
16
     examples there from our system where this voter had
17
     voted previously and had the occasion to sign her
18
     name or his name, and I'm submitting that for your
19
     review to the original signature.
20
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. It's also true
2.1
     that if you have set yourself up in the back of the
22
     room and are having difficulty hearing, you may feel
23
     free to move to open seats nearer to the Board.
```

(Comments from the floor.)

There are a number of them available.

24

```
24
 1
                  MEMBER MARINELLO: Can't hear you.
 2
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We can't hear you.
                  (Comments from the floor.)
 3
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. That's just --
 4
 5
     that's just right. Thank you.
 6
                  THE FLOOR: And speak slow, speak more
 7
     slowly also.
 8
                  MEMBER SEXTON: Mr. Chairman, I think
     this is a vote that should be counted. I move that
9
10
     this vote be counted.
11
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It's been moved that
     the vote should be counted. I will second the
12
13
    motion.
14
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
15
     favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
16
                  (Vote taken.)
17
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
18
     same sign.
19
                  (No response.)
20
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.
2.1
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you. Alicia and I
22
     will now move on to the second category of
23
     provisionals for Board review, and these will be
24
     categorized as date of birth issues.
25
                  Provisional Ballot No. 7, we have a
```

voter who had indicated on the provisional ballot that their date of birth year is different than the date of birth year that we have on file. I believe I have enclosed on top of that ballot a copy of that voter's original registration or something comparable, in which the voter indicated that their date of birth year was something different than what he indicated was -- what he indicated on his provision.

2.1

2.2

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. So we are now considering a batch of provisional ballots that are being brought to our attention because there was not a match between the date of birth appearing on the envelope and the date of birth that we have on record?

MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Do we have any instruction as to the standard that we are to apply in order to resolve this discrepancy?

MS. FUHRMANN: The directive does not provide any instruction as to Provisional Ballot No. 7 specifically.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I'm not sure I understand that, Mel. So if there's a -- a difference between the date of birth on an envelope

```
and the date of birth on record, do we have any instruction as to what to do with that, that is, what's the standard that we're to apply in deciding whether to count it or not?
```

2.1

MS. FUHRMANN: I'm going to read into the record the -- the standard that is set forth in Secretary of State's Directive Chapter No. 6. "The month and day of the voter's date of birth on the affirmation statement must match the month and" -- date -- "day of the voter's date of birth in the voter registration database, unless one of the two following exceptions apply: The voter's date of birth in the database is 1/1/1800, or the Board of Elections finds by a vote of at least three members that the voter has met all other requirements of Division (B) (3) of Ohio Revised Code 3505.183," and those requirements are given. I'm happy to read them into the record if you'd like.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I think you should.

MS. FUHRMANN: Okay.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I'm not recalling what they are.

MS. FUHRMANN: Okay. The requirements of (B)(3), Ohio Revised Code 3505.183, are, one, "The provisional voter is registered to vote"; two, "The

provisional voter is eligible to cast a ballot in the 1 2 precinct and for the election in which the individual cast the ballot"; three, "The provisional voter 3 provided all of the information required (i.e., 4 5 printed name, signature, date of birth, and current 6 address and provided identification on Election Day 7 or during the seven days following the election)"; four, if the vote -- "If the provisional voter 8 9 provided his or her Social Security number, driver's 10 license, or state identification number, that number 11 is not different than the number contained in the 12 Statewide Voter Registration Database"; five, "The 13 provisional voter provided his or her current 14 address"; and six, "If the provisional voter has been 15 challenged, he or she provided information necessary 16 to resolve the challenge during the seven days 17 following the election or the Board resolved the 18 challenge in favor of the voter at a hearing." 19 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Well, I'm going 20 to mark the cover sheet No. 7 so that we can identify 2.1 it (indicating). 22 And I notice that the date of birth that 23 appears on the envelope is not 1/1/1800; correct, 24 Alicia and Mel? 25 MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.

1 MEMBER SINNOTT: So I think we are into 2 the (B)(3) arena. Have the two of you examined the 3 (B) (3) criteria to determine whether they're all satisfied? 4 5 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, we have. MEMBER SINNOTT: And are those criteria 6 7 satisfied? 8 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. 9 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. 10 MS. FUHRMANN: For your reference, 11 Alicia has also included a copy of Chapter 6 of the 12 Secretary of State's directive in your material, if 13 you would like to refer to it at any time. 14 DIRECTOR LEONARD: The section she's referring to is located at Pages 6-11 and 6-12. 15 16 MEMBER SEXTON: So on this one we are 17 off on -- my understanding is that it's an issue 18 between 11/19/63 and 11/19/62? 19 MS. FUHRMANN: That is correct. 20 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think you've, Mel, 2.1 laid out for us and for the record this -- the 2.2 information contained here satisfies all the 23 provisions that would -- other than date of birth, 24 it's clear that we could count this, so I would move 25 that we do indeed count provisional ballot marked No.

```
7.
1
2
                  MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.
 3
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
     favor signify by saying aye.
 4
 5
                  (Vote taken.)
 6
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
7
     same sign.
8
                  (No response.)
9
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.
                  Provisional Ballot No. 8.
10
11
                  MS. FUHRMANN: We have another date of
12
    birth issue with Provisional Ballot No. 8.
                                                 You will
13
     see written on the provisional ballot is an -- what
14
     we would consider an incomplete date of birth, where
15
     the voter gave the month and year of her birth but
16
     neglected to put, I believe, the day, the actual day
17
     of her birth. Attached to the ballot you will see a
18
     piece of documentation that we printed out from I
19
    believe another county Board of Elections, and she
20
     did, in fact, list her complete date of birth, month,
2.1
     day, and year.
2.2
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. I'll mark the
```

24 MEMBER MARINELLO: And all the other 25 information matches?

1 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. 2 MEMBER SINNOTT: So the envelope shows 3 June 6 of 1999 as being the date of birth, but on our record we show the date of birth as being June 22 of 4 5 1999. 6 MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct. 7 MEMBER SINNOTT: And so this is not a 1/1/1800 case. 8 9 MS. FUHRMANN: No, sir, it is not. 10 MEMBER SINNOTT: But you and Alicia agree that the (B)(3) standards are all satisfied? 11 12 MS. HEALY: Correct. 13 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Similar to No. 7, I move that Provisional Ballot No. 8 be counted as it 15 satisfies all other requirements --16 17 MEMBER MARINELLO: Second. 18 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- but date of birth. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a 20 second? 2.1 MEMBER MARINELLO: Second. 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 23 favor of the motion signify by saying aye. 24 (Vote taken.) 25 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

same sign.

2.1

2.2

2 (No response.)

3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

Provisional Ballot No. 9.

MS. FUHRMANN: This is an instance where the voter on Provisional No. 9, Miss Schrock, the date of birth, the year specifically that she gave on her provisional ballot does not -- does not match what we have in our records.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, there is no cover sheet on this one, but I see that there is a No. 9 that has already been placed on the envelope in the upper left-hand corner.

MS. FUHRMANN: That's right, and the reason there is no additional documentation with this particular voter is because we did not have any documents in which to reference that I could print out for your review. For example, we do not have an original voter registration in which to -- to reference. We checked the Secretary of State's website, which, of course, references the -- the Bureau of Motor Vehicles information that is on file, and it gave us a different birth year.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So in this instance you're comparing a BMV date of birth --

```
32
 1
                  MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.
 2
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: -- to what appears on
 3
     the envelope, and they don't match?
                  MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.
 4
 5
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: And this is not a
 6
     1/1/1800 case, so are you -- Alicia and Mel, are you
 7
     pointing to us that all the (B)(3) criteria are
     satisfied?
 8
9
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, sir.
10
                  MEMBER SEXTON: On this one it's 1964
11
     instead of 19 -- it's 1944 instead of 1964?
12
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Correct.
13
                  MEMBER SEXTON: And all the other -- you
14
     said all the other requirements --
15
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Correct, yes.
16
                  MEMBER SEXTON: -- do match?
                  Mr. Chairman, I vote -- move that we --
17
18
     we count the Provision No. 9.
19
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
20
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
2.1
     favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
2.2
                  (Vote taken.)
23
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
24
     same sign.
25
                  (No response.)
```

33 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries. 1 2 Provisional Ballot No. 10. 3 MS. FUHRMANN: Provisional Ballot No. 10 -- excuse me -- is an instance where the 4 5 provisional voter has written, presumably by mistake, 6 the date of birth year as 2018. 7 MEMBER SINNOTT: It does have a cover sheet, so I'll mark this as ten (indicating). 8 9 MS. FUHRMANN: I did enclose 10 documentation showing the correct date of birth that 11 we have on file for that voter. 12 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. So this is not a 13 1/1/1800 case. 14 MS. FUHRMANN: No, sir. 15 MEMBER SINNOTT: Alicia and Mel, can you report that all the criteria of (B)(3) are satisfied? 16 17 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. 18 MS. HEALY: Yes. 19 MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move 20 that Ballot No. 10 be counted. 2.1 MEMBER SINNOTT: Second. 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 23 favor of the motion signify by saying aye. 24 (Vote taken.) 25 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

```
34
 1
     same sign.
 2
                  (No response.)
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.
 3
     Provisional Ballot No. 11.
 4
 5
                  MS. FUHRMANN: The same situation as
     Provisional Ballot No. 10, the provisional voter
 6
 7
     wrote the year 2018 as their date of birth.
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Do we have 11?
 8
 9
                  MS. HEALY: I have 11 here.
10
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Let's see it.
11
                  MS. FUHRMANN: And there's attached
12
     documentation showing what we have on record as that
13
     voter's date of birth.
14
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. I'm going to
15
    mark No. 11 on this first sheet (indicating).
16
                  It is not a 1/1/1800 case, is it?
17
                  MS. FUHRMANN: No, sir.
18
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Alicia and Mel, can you
19
     report that all the -- all the criteria of (B)(3) are
20
     satisfied in this instance?
2.1
                  MS. HEALY: Yes.
22
                  MEMBER MARINELLO: I move that Ballot
23
    No. 11 be counted.
24
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
25
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
```

35 favor of the motion signify by saying ave. 1 2 (Vote taken.) 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign. 4 5 (No response.) 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries. 7 Provisional Ballot No. 11 -- or 12 8 rather. 9 MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you, David. We now 10 move on to our final series of provisional ballot 11 issues in question, and you should have before you 12 Provisional Ballots 12 and 13. This is a rather 13 unique instance that we have where we have two 14 ballots outside of two provisional envelopes. You'll 15 see that the ballots were not placed inside of the 16 envelopes, but the provisional envelopes themselves 17 were filled out in their entirety, and both Alicia 18 and myself deemed them to be valid aside from the 19 fact that the ballots are outside of the envelopes. 20 I'll further note for the record that 2.1 this appears to be a husband and a wife, who presumably voted at the same paper ballot table 22 23 together at the same time. 24 DIRECTOR LEONARD: If I may ask, and all 25 ballots in that particular voting location were

otherwise accounted for? All other provisions cast in that were accounted for?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: So --

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Well, to begin with, there's no cover sheet on this packet, but there are two envelopes inside, provisional envelopes, one with a "12" in red ink in the upper left-hand corner and the other a "13" in red ink in the upper left-hand corner. Where does this blue folder come from (indicating)?

MS. FUHRMANN: Mr. Sinnott, that is the -- the poll worker's workbook that they submit at the end of election night, and they have an opportunity to make notes on what I believe is the last page of that workbook as to any voting irregularities or anything unusual that happened regarding provisional ballots.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So, in simple terms, at the end of the night, from this polling location what we had sort of left would have been envelopes now marked 12 and 13 and then two ballots, but the ballots weren't inside the envelopes?

MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.

MEMBER SINNOTT: And, Ed, I believe your

2.1

question was to the effect, and Mel then confirmed this, that everything else about that precinct checked out, that polling place.

2.1

DIRECTOR LEONARD: As it relates to the provisional ballots. Those provisional ballots would have been returned to the Board of Elections in a green provisional ballot bag that was sealed, and so when it was returned and opened, that's when -- if there were any other provisionals from that precinct, they were in that ballot bag as well as this -- these two ballots, envelopes and ballots.

MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Mel, do we have anything that provides us with the instruction or standard on how to deal with a situation such as this from the Secretary of State's directive or otherwise?

MS. FUHRMANN: Mr. Sinnott, I confirmed with Steve Bulen, the manager of our PEO Department, and asked him about this situation. He informed me that it is the duty of the voter to actually place their ballot inside of the provisional envelope. He further indicated that paper ballot judges are reluctant to touch any provisional ballots themselves and that, therefore, it would -- the -- the paper ballot judge would have not been permitted to insert

the ballot itself inside the envelope.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

If I may approach, I would confirm that there's no further notes in the PEO workbook.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Sure (indicating).

MS. FUHRMANN: For the record, there was nothing further of note in the PEO workbook that indicates anything unusual about those circumstances.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And when the ballot bag was returned on election night, what happened to it? I mean, where -- what's been the travelings of these documents (indicating)?

MS. FUHRMANN: So that is how those provisional ballots came to us from that particular LID. In other words, they were returned to us in the green provisional bag just as you see them today.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And then the bag was opened by bipartisan staff?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And then this discrepancy was noted?

MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.

22 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And these documents were put together?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

25 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And into this blue

```
39
     folder?
 1
 2
                  MS. FUHRMANN: That's right.
 3
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And it's been under
     our staff's monitoring since?
 4
 5
                  MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct. The only
 6
     additional step that I might add is that Alicia and
 7
     myself both evaluated the provisional ballot -- or
     the provisional envelope for its validity, and
 8
 9
     everything checked out as far as the five points
10
     mandated by the Secretary of State's office.
11
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Are you talking about
12
     the (B)(3) standards?
13
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.
14
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: But this is not an
15
     instance where we have a date of birth problem on the
16
     envelope?
17
                  MS. FUHRMANN: No, sir, it is not.
18
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Yeah.
                                          So, Mel, you
19
     talked to Steve, and Steve said that his instruction
20
     to the poll workers is don't -- don't do anything
2.1
     yourselves with the provisional ballots and the
2.2
     envelopes?
23
                  MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.
24
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Can you -- is there
     anything that the General Assembly has said or
25
```

Secretary of State's office has said on the subject of the ballot is outside the envelope?

2.1

2.2

MS. FUHRMANN: No, Mr. Sinnott, not that I'm aware of, and Mr. Leonard can certainly chime in on this if I'm wrong --

DIRECTOR LEONARD: No.

MS. FUHRMANN: -- but I believe that is the reason for our bringing it --

MR. LEONARD: Right.

MS. FUHRMANN: -- to you this morning, is that this is a very unusual circumstance.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yes. We've had certain instances where it's one envelope and one ballot outside of the envelope, those types of circumstances, but a circumstance like this where you've got two ballots and two envelopes out -- two -- two envelopes with two ballots outside of the envelope --

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, what has the Board's past practice been when we've had a single ballot outside a single envelope?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: We had some of those instances in just this past primary, and we counted such ballots, as long as the affirmation is complete in all other respects.

41 1 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What are these 2 marked? 3 MEMBER SINNOTT: These are 12 and 13. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I move that the 4 5 ballots, provisional ballots marked 12 and 13 be 6 included in the count. 7 MEMBER SEXTON: Second. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 8 9 favor of the motion signify by saying aye. 10 (Vote taken.) 11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 12 same sign. 13 (No response.) 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries. Provisional Ballot 14. 15 16 MS. FUHRMANN: Provisional Ballot No. 17 14, we have one provisional envelope with two 18 ballots, and I would like to note that there is a 19 note in the blue PEO workbook from the poll worker 20 regarding this particular situation. 2.1 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Well, to begin 22 with, there's no cover sheet on this one, but there is a red "14" in the upper left-hand corner of the 23 24 provisional envelope. 25 Alicia and Mel, the situation is at the

end of the night we have -- we're getting back one provisional envelope, but we have two ballots outside it.

MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct.

MEMBER SINNOTT: And we've already talked about the standard and the degree of instruction for us; correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, sir, we have, and we found --

MR. LEONARD: We have had a situation similar to this during the most recent primary where we had multiple ballots inside of an envelope and looked at the ballots and determined one was voted and one was not, and we counted the voted ballot, and the rest of it, the -- the second ballot that was not cast, on which no votes were cast, that that ballot be discarded.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I remember that one.

19 That's not this case, though, no.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Slightly different,
21 yes.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Were these two
ballots inside the envelope or --

MS. FUHRMANN: No.

25 MEMBER MARINELLO: -- outside? They

were outside it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. And I will note that Alicia and I checked the provisional ballot and all the (B)(3) criteria are met.

MEMBER SINNOTT: This one strikes me as being significantly different than 12 and 13. In this instance we have one provisional envelope and two ballots, and I don't think -- we don't have any information about which one to count or how this -- MEMBER MARINELLO: One of them has not voted.

12 MEMBER SEXTON: One, yeah.

MEMBER MARINELLO: One has no vote. It hasn't been marked.

15 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Let me see.

16 (Discussion off the record.)

MEMBER SINNOTT: We still have two ballots and only one envelope. I don't see a reliable basis on which to count either ballot, so I would move that we not count Provisional 14.

21 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those --

MEMBER MARINELLO: The voted one or the

24 non-voted one?

25 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, they're --

1 they're both --

2 MEMBER MARINELLO: Both the same, no vote.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

(Vote taken.)

7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

8 | same sign.

4

5

6

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

(No response.)

10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

11 Provisional Ballot 15.

MS. FUHRMANN: In this instance we have one provisional ballot and one envelope. The only thing unusual about this circumstance is that the ballot was not placed in the envelope. We have no notes from the poll worker in the PEO notebook.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Well, to start again, there is no cover sheet, but there is a red "15" in the upper left-hand corner of the provisional envelope.

Mel, would you say that again?

22 | What's -- what's the issue here?

MS. FUHRMANN: The issue here is simply one ballot and one envelope and the ballot was simply not placed in the envelope by the voter. We have no

45 notes from the poll worker as to why that happened. 1 2 MEMBER SINNOTT: But everything else 3 checks out in terms of number of votes cast in this polling place? 4 5 MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. 6 MEMBER SINNOTT: So this is essentially 7 again our 12 and 13 situation, although here we have a single envelope and a single ballot? 8 9 MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct. This 10 voter met all of the (B)(3) criteria as well. 11 MEMBER MARINELLO: I move that the 12 envelope marked 15 be counted. 13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 15 favor of the motion signify by saying aye. 16 (Vote taken.) 17 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 18 same sign. 19 (No response.) 20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion 21 carries. 2.2 Provisional Ballot 16. 23 MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you, David. To 24 really understand the situation with Provisional 25 Ballot 16 we need to also look at Provisional Ballot

17. What we have here is --

2.1

MEMBER SINNOTT: Mel, we have -- are they both in the same blue -- yes, they are.

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Pardon me.

MS. FUHRMANN: This is an unusual situation where we have two envelopes, as you can see. Both of those envelopes met the (B)(3) criteria. However, we have both ballots inside of one envelope. We -- we weighed both envelopes, and one of them is empty and has no ballot, and then the other envelope has both ballots contained in it.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Are there any other facts and circumstances relating to the envelopes that indicate there's a relationship between the two envelopes?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: So --

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes. This is also a situation involving what looks like a husband and a wife, who presumably voted together at the same paper ballot table, at the same time.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. So there's no cover sheet on this one, but there is -- excuse me.

In the case of -- one envelope has a red "16" in the

upper left-hand corner, and a red "17" in the upper left-hand corner of the other. Same last names, registered to the same address; correct?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.

2.1

5 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. I think I got 6 it.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And there's no instruction on this particular abnormality in the Secretary of State's manual or otherwise as far as -- MS. FUHRMANN: No, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- the two voters?

MS. FUHRMANN: I would urge you to consult the PEO workbook that Mr. Sexton has for any notes, but I do not believe there was any further notation from the poll worker regarding this particular situation. The moral of this story might be don't take your spouse with you to vote.

MEMBER SINNOTT: All right. Well, under these unusual circumstances, I think there are common-sense indications that we have two voters voting provisionally. Both ballots wound up in one envelope and none wound up in the other. I would count 16 and 17 under that circumstance.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in

48 favor of the motion signify by saying aye. 1 2 (Vote taken.) 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign. 4 5 (No response.) 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion 7 carries. 8 By my math, I show that the Board accepted 13 of the 17 --9 10 MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes. 11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: -- and rejected 12 4 of the 17. 13 MEMBER MARINELLO: That's what I have. 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Does that match with the folks out there? 15 16 MS. HEALY: Yes. 17 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I would 18 entertain a motion, then, to accept 13 of the 19 provisional ballots and the rejection of 4 -- and 20 maybe we don't even need to do that --2.1 MR. LEONARD: No. 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: -- because we've 23 already --24 MR. LEONARD: Correct. 25 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: -- we've already

1 | done that at a single -- okay.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: But there will need to be a motion to extract.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I move that the Board authorize the staff to extract the additional 13 approved provisional ballots.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

(Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

12 | same sign.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

13 (No response.)

14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

The remaking of any provisional ballots
that might take place with the opening of these, I
would entertain a motion to permit the staff to

18 | remake these ballots.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Okay. I move that the Board authorize the staff to remake provisional ballots and that these remade ballots shall be tabulated and included in the official canvass of the results for the August 7, 2018, Special Election, with a report to be made by the Board later today.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.

```
50
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
 1
 2
     favor signify by saying aye.
 3
                  (Vote taken.)
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
 4
 5
     same sign.
 6
                  (No response.)
 7
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
     carries.
 8
9
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: So, David, have we
10
     reached the point now where we've done everything we
11
     need to do to get the staff counting?
12
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Yes.
13
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Then, Mr. Chairman, I
     ask that we take a few minutes of comfort break.
14
15
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Good idea, a pause.
16
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you for your
17
    patience.
18
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Mel, that was an
19
     excellent report.
20
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Very good.
2.1
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Yes, it really was.
22
                  MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you.
23
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Excellent presentation
24
     of the issues we needed to decide. Thank you very
25
    much.
```

MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you for your patience this morning.

2.1

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We're just going to pause for a few minutes to run down the hall, if anybody wants to.

(Recess taken.)

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Folks, I think we're going to get started.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Excuse me.
We're going to resume the meeting.

The next item on the agenda are issues recommended for approval to the November ballot, and I believe there are 21 listed as Exhibit A.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm going to thank everybody for your attendance today and your patience. We're moving on to the subject of issues. Everyone in the room knows that there's a few of these that may be mildly contentious. There are a few potential protests. I think everybody has already witnessed the able administrative abilities of my colleague, my colleague to my right, who happens to be a brilliant lawyer and the only one of the four of us who is a brilliant lawyer, also great experience in running a contentious meeting called the Franklin County Republican Central Committee. So

Brad is going to help walk us through these issues, and we appreciate the cooperation, attention, and patience of the audience and all participants.

2.1

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, thanks, Doug.

I'd be happy to lead the discussions for a while, but

I'll point out that I'm a co-equal member of the

Board, and I'm not here to practice law.

The next matter for consideration would be issues recommended for approval by the bipartisan staff to the November ballot.

Jeff, I think you have a report on that subject.

MR. MACKEY: I believe you have before you what we're calling Exhibit A. Exhibit A has -DIRECTOR LEONARD: Jeff, if you would speak up for the benefit of everyone.

MR. MACKEY: Sure. I believe you have Exhibit A, 21 issues encompassing various things, levies, bond issues, charter amendments, a referendum is in there. All have been reviewed by staff and were timely filed, found to be valid and sufficient, including legal review by our able attorney at the Prosecutor's Office. These do not include any issues that have had a formal protest filed against them.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Jeff, what

you've done is you've provided to us a list which is Exhibit A, by prior marking, that shows 21 levies, proposed charter amendments. I believe that would be the full universe; right? There are levies, tax -- well, levies, tax increases --

2.1

2.2

MR. MACKEY: Bond issues. There's a referendum in there.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. But the common theme of these 21 proposals is that the Board has heard from no one in opposition to these appearing on the ballot, and for that reason the staff is recommending that we act on them as once in placing them on the ballot.

MR. MACKEY: Correct.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: I think the other thing to point out, Mr. Sinnott, is that they -- each of these issues or questions in all other respects have satisfied the requirements in order to be placed on the ballot.

MEMBER SINNOTT: That's what I understood, yes. Thank you.

MEMBER MARINELLO: I move that the Board recommend for approval to the November 6, 2018, General Election ballot those issues listed on Exhibit A and that these petitions and issues were

```
54
     filed timely and found to be valid and sufficient.
1
2
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                     Second.
 3
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
     favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
 4
 5
                  (Vote taken.)
 6
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
7
     same sign.
8
                  (No response.)
9
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.
10
                  The next item is the Columbus Community
11
     Bill of Rights.
12
                  Mr. Chairman.
13
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Next we turn to the
14
     subject of an initiative petition concerning a ballot
15
     issue that has been proposed for the electors of the
16
     City of Columbus, commonly referred to as the
     Community Bill of Rights. The Board has not yet
17
18
     voted to place the matter on the November ballot.
19
     That subject now comes before the Board.
20
                  How to proceed in this instance is a
2.1
     challenging matter. Decisions by other boards about
2.2
     the placement of issues on the ballot raised by
23
     initiative petition have become a common subject for
24
     litigation in recent years. Further, within the last
     couple of years, the General Assembly created a
25
```

statute giving Boards of Elections specific instruction on how to proceed when an initiative petition concerning a ballot issue is proposed for the electors of a city.

2.1

The constitutionality of that instruction from the General Assembly, found in Revised Code Section 3501.11(K) and Revised Code Section 3501.38(M), has been challenged by three of the seven members of the Ohio Supreme Court in the Espen decision from October of last year. Significantly, however, three is not a majority of seven. The three justices who would hold the General Assembly's enactment unconstitutional constitute a minority of the Court. Accordingly, their opinion in Espen constitutes a lead opinion, but it is not the law of the State of Ohio.

It is Justice Fischer, writing only four months ago, in the Khumprakob case, who aptly describes the state of the law in his dissent.

Interestingly, Justice Fischer is one of the justices in the minority of three who joined in that lead opinion in Espen. The Paragraph 15 in Justice Fischer's excellent dissent he writes as follows:

"Espen does not resolve this case because the lead opinion in that case, joined by only three justices,

did not articulate a holding of this Court."

2.1

Thus, as of today, we have a specific instruction on how to proceed given to us by the Ohio General Assembly. I understand that to be the law of the State of Ohio, and I am prepared to follow the instruction of the statute.

Now, before describing what the General Assembly has instructed us to do in this instance, I will note a couple of things that have occurred to me as I reflected on this matter. First, the instruction given to us by the General Assembly is one that a Board of Elections is ill-equipped to follow. It's an instruction to perform a highly technical and complicated legal analysis relative to, among other things, the Home Rule provisions of Ohio's Constitution.

The members of the Board of Elections in this state are generally not lawyers. Where there are lawyers serving on boards, as is true of me, we sit as co-equal members of the board and we're not practicing law on behalf of the board. The boards have no legal staff. The boards have no legal research capabilities. We have on staff no legal researchers or writers. The making of the determination required by the current statutes is a

task ill-suited for a Board of Elections. Were I a legislator, I would vote against the adoption of such statutes.

2.1

I also share the concerns of the three justices who joined in the lead opinion in Espen, relative to the constitutionality of the statutes. It's hard to imagine a more clear instruction to an administrative body that it perform the judicial function of assessing the constitutionality of a statute enacted by the General Assembly. If I were a judge, I would hold the statutes unconstitutional, but I'm here today neither as a legislator nor a judge. I'm here today as a member of a county Board of Elections.

The instruction I have received from our legislature is to do this, quoting now from 3501.38(M), "The Board of Elections shall examine the petition to determine whether the petition falls within the scope of a municipal political subdivision's authority to enact by initiative, including, if applicable, the limitations placed on Sections 3 and 7 of Article XVIII of the Ohio Constitution on the authority of municipal corporations to adopt local police, sanitary, and other similar regulations...." We are also told,

"The petition shall be invalid if any portion of the petition is not within the initiative power."

2.1

After rendering that instruction, the General Assembly then tells the Board, "After making a determination under Division (M)(1)(a) or (b) of this section, the Board of Elections shall promptly transmit a copy of the petition and a notice of the Board's determination to the office of Secretary of State."

Because this is an instruction of the legislature, and no court of competent jurisdiction has held the statutes containing that instruction to be unconstitutional, I believe that not only our authority but our obligation is to make the determination required of us by the General Assembly.

We have had the benefit of writings from proponents and opponents of this measure being placed on the ballot. After having examined the proposal known as the Community Bill of Rights, and considering the arguments presented by proponents and opponents, I now make the following motion: I move that in satisfaction of the statutory instruction of Revised Code Section 3501.11(K)(2) and 3501.38(M)(1)(a) and (2), that the Board determine that the initiative petition proposing the Community

```
Bill of Rights is invalid and that the provisions of
 1
 2
     the petition fall outside the scope of a municipal
     political subdivision's authority to enact by
 3
     initiative because of limitations placed by Sections
 4
 5
     3 and 7 of Article XVIII of the Ohio Constitution,
 6
     noting the particular bases that the proposal calls
 7
     for the regulation of oil and gas extraction and
     transportation, the regulation of corporations, and
 8
     the creation of new causes of action.
 9
10
                  That is my motion. Is there a second?
11
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
12
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
13
     favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
14
                  (Vote taken.)
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed same
15
16
     sign.
17
                  (No response.)
18
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
19
     carries.
20
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Now having made the
2.1
     determination required by statute, Ed and David, will
2.2
     you please see --
23
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE:
                                           Sure.
24
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: -- to it that our
25
     decision is transmitted promptly to the Secretary of
```

State?

2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We will do as

well. 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

MEMBER SINNOTT: Now, the Board is mindful that we are meeting on the 24th of August. There have been writings on the merits of the placement of the Columbus Bill of Rights on the November ballot tendered by two lawyers. One is Derek Clinger, under dates of August 9, 2018, and an undated writing submitted subsequent to that. other is Terry Jonathan Lodge, who has written in opposition to Mr. Clinger's position by way of a letter dated August 17, 2018.

It appears that at the time that these writings were prepared, counsel were proceeding on the premise that the Board would make the determination that the Community Bill of Rights would appear on the November ballot. Accordingly, Mr. Clinger described his writing as being in support of a protest, and Mr. Lodge wrote that his was a response to a protest. Logically, Mr. Lodge is the one who would want to protest on behalf of his clients the determination just made by the Board.

Is Mr. Lodge present?

MR. LODGE: I am. MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Lodge, if you will affirm that you wish to protest the determination just made by the Board, then we will consider your writing to be in protest, and we will allow both Messrs. Lodge and Clinger to be heard.

Mr. Lodge, do you wish to be heard in protest to the determination just made by the Board?

MR. LODGE: Yes, sir.

MEMBER SINNOTT: If you would, please, go to the lectern, introduce yourself, and for the sake of having an orderly proceeding today, if you could limit your oral presentation to five minutes, and I'll ask Mr. Clinger to do the same when he speaks in response.

MR. LODGE: Very good.

16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do you want to swear

17 him in?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

18 MEMBER SINNOTT: No.

MR. LODGE: That was a very interesting exposition. My name is Terry Lodge. I'm an attorney. I've been practicing for 39 years in Ohio, in Toledo. My business --

THE FLOOR: The microphone, please.

MR. LODGE: My business address is --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: That's a media

```
62
 1
     microphone, not --
 2
                  MR. LODGE: I can make it louder.
 3
                  (Multiple speakers at once.)
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Lodge, hold for a
 4
 5
     moment and we'll use the public address microphone.
 6
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Can you make it
 7
     taller, Ed?
 8
                  MR. LEONARD: Yeah.
 9
                  MR. LODGE: All right. I'll try again.
10
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Very good.
11
                  MR. LODGE: Okay. I'm Terry Lodge.
12
     I've been practicing for 39 years in Toledo. My
13
     business address is 316 North Michigan Street, Suite
14
     520, Toledo. And yes, I'm entering an appearance on
15
    behalf of the petition committee.
16
                  As a -- as a mechanical matter, I'd like
17
     to move Exhibits A, B, C, and D that were attached to
18
     our letter of last week into the record, just so --
19
     as well as the letter itself, if that needs to be
20
     done.
2.1
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Lodge, your writing
22
     and its attachments will be considered a part of the
23
     record before the Board.
24
                  MR. LODGE: Very good. I appreciate
25
     your preparatory comments, Mr. Sinnott. What
```

disturbs me about the Board of Elections' vote, among other issues, is the fact that even though you have an instruction from the General Assembly, you have supposedly discretion to reject that instruction or at least to apply it and still vote to place the Bill of Rights on the ballot.

2.1

In 2015, the Ohio Supreme Court, in

State ex rel. Youngstown versus Mahoning County Board of Elections, 2015-Ohio-3761, which is cited in our letter, stated the following with regard to a very similar proposal that was before the Mahoning Board of Elections, "The boards of elections, however, do not have authority to sit as arbiters of the legality or constitutionality of a ballot measure's substantive terms. An unconstitutional amendment may be a proper item for referendum or initiative."

The principle is, even if illegal, the people have an absolute unfettered constitutional right to decide, to vote. If the Columbus City Council were in the middle of its three readings of the Bill of Rights, if they undertook to simply initiate the passage of it, that is local legislators under the Charter of Columbus, no one could successfully walk into any level of court in the State of Ohio and get some type of injunctive relief

stopping the Columbus City Council from deliberating and even passing the Bill of Rights.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The people, by the Amendment of 1912, the people were extended the right to be co-legislators with whatever levels of government they wished to act as an equal to. That is what they have done. They have proposed legislation. The Ohio General Assembly, in its united state, apparently doesn't understand that there is a Constitution and that there are at least 25 Ohio Supreme Court decisions dating from 1913 that uphold the very simple premise that Boards of Elections and election officials are restricted to inquiring into matters of form and not substance when it comes to initiated -initiatives as well as referendums, that Boards of Elections and city councils and village councils don't have a veto power simply because they think that this might be illegal if passed, that portions of it are things I don't personally agree with, or even my county prosecutors told me we need to just focus them down.

This decision, as well reasoned as your preparatory comments were, Mr. Sinnott, I respectfully submit that the General Assembly has passed an unconstitutional law, which is certainly

not the first time in Ohio history, that the Ohio Supreme Court has sent a very significant signal that it is doomed at some point, if not -- if not right now, if not today, it is doomed, because it is so irrationally set up.

2.1

You aren't a court. As much time and deliberation and consultation as you may have undertaken, you aren't a court. That is a post-election role that the parsing of legality and illegality, preserving those portions that might be legal, vetoing things that aren't legal is reserved to the courts, who have been doing it for a very long time in Ohio.

I respectfully request that the Board reconsider and place the measure on the ballot. Thank you.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Lodge, and I congratulate you on exactly five minutes of presentation.

MR. LODGE: That's a first.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Clinger, do you wish to be heard?

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Mr. Sinnott.

My name is Ben Wallace. I signed the protest letter

on behalf of the protestor, Mr. Clinger. I'm an

- 1 attorney. My law firm, I'm with McTigue & Colombo.
- 2 | I'm here with John McTigue as well today, and on
- 3 behalf of the protestor, Mr. Chairman and Mr.
- 4 | Sinnott, the rest of the Board, I would agree with
- 5 Mr. Sinnott's characterization of the ruling in
- 6 | Espen. The controlling decision was not joined by a
- 7 | majority of the Court, and, therefore, does not
- 8 | control this Board's decision today.
- 9 We would also agree with the reasoning
- 10 of the Board on the substance of the motion that the
- 11 proposed ordinance is outside a municipal authority.
- 12 | We would also note that in the protest letter and the
- 13 | subsequent memorandum, we laid out other grounds for
- 14 invalidating the proposed ordinance under the
- 15 | Columbus Charter, and we would ask to move to include
- 16 | the protest -- the protest letter and the memo in the
- 17 record of this proceeding.
- 18 MEMBER SINNOTT: And, Mr. Wallace, your
- 19 | writing as submitted to the Board will be considered
- 20 | a part of the record in this matter.
- MR. WALLACE: Okay. Yes. Thank you,
- 22 and that is all I have.
- MR. LODGE: I wonder if I may make one
- 24 reply observation, sir, one minute.
- 25 MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Lodge, I'll give

```
you 15 seconds.
```

MR. LODGE: Very good. Thank you. I'll see if I can make that timely. In Wood County last year, while House Bill 463 was in legal effect, the Wood County Board of Elections did place on the ballot a very similar measure to the Bill of Rights, and the problem precisely is the statute now makes 88 separate courts out of the Boards of Election, and some -- some voters will have rights in their counties, other voters won't have in their counties. It's a big problem. It is a separation-of-powers problem that cannot be fixed by a General Assembly statute. Thank you.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you, Mr. Lodge.

Having now considered the protest to the Board's prior determination regarding the Community Bill of Rights, I move that the protest be denied for the reasons cited in the motion making the determination.

Is there a second?

21 MEMBER SEXTON: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in

23 | favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

(Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

```
68
 1
     same sign.
 2
                  (No response.)
 3
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
     carries.
 4
                  THE FLOOR: Our friends have worked very
 5
 6
     hard to get these signatures. It's a single issue.
 7
     It's been approved by the city council.
 8
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm sorry --
 9
                  THE FLOOR: The lawyers have approved
10
     it.
11
                  THE FLOOR:
                              The General Assembly doesn't
12
     get to take constitutional rights.
13
                  (Multiple speakers at once.)
14
                  THE FLOOR: I'm an individual person and
15
    my vote and my voice not included, but -- you know,
16
     all the signatures that were collected, we're
17
     volunteers. We're not paid people. These are people
18
     that want clean water in our city, and this is a part
19
     of democracy and we're supposed to be able to
20
     participate in our democracy? That's what we're here
2.1
     doing. We have followed all the loops. Why didn't
     somebody say that originally, that you know what,
22
23
     it's just going to be thrown out because of the
24
     General Assembly? You know, why are we out there
25
     collecting signatures for anything, then?
```

```
69
 1
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. I'm going to
 2
     intervene at this point. This is not an open
 3
     community forum.
                  THE FLOOR: That's fine.
 4
 5
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: This is a business
 6
     meeting of the Board of Elections, and we're now
 7
     engaging in a quasi-judicial function. There are
     going to be many opportunities for you to be heard in
 8
     your opinions on the subject of the Board today, but
 9
10
     it's not going to be during the course of this
11
     particular --
12
                  THE FLOOR:
                              (Inaudible.) And right now
13
     is not the opportunity and --
14
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Madam --
15
                  (Multiple speakers at once.)
16
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Ma'am --
17
                  (Multiple speakers at once.)
18
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: We are going to go into
19
     recess.
20
                  THE FLOOR: Yeah, I figured you would.
2.1
     That's fine.
2.2
                  (Multiple speakers at once.)
23
                  THE FLOOR: General Assembly in a very
24
     gerrymandered state --
25
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Friends --
```

1 THE FLOOR: -- I have to say. 2 MEMBER SINNOTT: Friends, I welcome you 3 to stay and observe, but you are going to be observing the Board of Elections as it goes about its 4 5 business. You will not be interrupting the Board of 6 Elections as it goes about its business. 7 THE FLOOR: For the record --(Multiple speakers at once.) 8 9 MEMBER SINNOTT: We will --10 (Multiple speakers at once.) 11 MEMBER SINNOTT: We will recess --12 THE FLOOR: Did you look at what the 13 county prosecutor --14 MEMBER SINNOTT: We will recess --THE FLOOR: -- recommended to this 15 16 Board, yes or no? 17 MEMBER SINNOTT: We are going to be in 18 recess while order is restored in the room. 19 (Recess taken.) 20 21 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Let's resume. 22 MEMBER SINNOTT: Next we turn to an 23 initiative petition which proposes to enact an 24 ordinance in Grandview Heights. It appears as though 25 the ordinance is sometimes referred to as the Green

Space Overlay District. This is an instance where the Board has received an August 7 writing from Attorney Eugene Hollins, writing on behalf of the City of Grandview Heights, and an August 16 response -- this is not a dramatic pause, I'm looking for where I am in my notes -- prepared by Mr. Clinger, representing three proponents of the Green Space Overlay District.

2.1

As a preliminary matter, I received a letter from Mr. Clinger dated August 17 which I should address. Mr. Clinger asked me to recuse myself from consideration of whether the proposal should be placed on the ballot, saying that it is his "understanding" that my law firm "provided input on the drafting of the protest filed by the city." He also says that one of my law partners has represented in a zoning matter in Grandview Heights owners of property that would "be affected by the petition" and that these property owners have been outspoken in their opposition to the petition.

As to the suggestion that my law firm has played any role in the drafting of the protest, I have inquired, and that is a factually inaccurate suggestion. That is not true, and I will not recuse myself on that basis.

As to the suggestion that a lawyer or other professional should recuse himself from the consideration of any matter that could have an effect on a client or business associate, I can identify no legal basis for such a contention. None is cited in the August 17 letter.

2.1

My law firm has no client in the matter before the Board today, the question of whether the initiated ordinance should be put on the ballot. All of us who sit on a Board of Elections might have clients or business associates who could be affected by a measure being put on the ballot and that measure's subsequently being approved by the voters in a jurisdiction. Probably some such measures affect multiple clients and business associates, some positively and others negatively. That is not, however, a proper basis for a recusal. In this instance, it is also true that I am not voting on the measure itself, only addressing whether the measure satisfies the standards for being put on the ballot.

I appreciated the opportunity to consult with the staff of the Secretary of State's office and the staff at the Ohio Elections Commission. They were helpful in reaching the conclusion that there is no "affected by" standard when it comes to the

question of whether a board member should recuse himself. Similarly, the observation that my law firm has one or more clients outspoken in political support for or against a measure that might become subject to popular vote is not a basis for recusal.

2.1

It is important that public officials not acquiesce to every request for recusal, as that invites gamesmanship on the part of interested parties and deprives the body of the benefit of its full strength. There should be recusal only -- only in the event of a proper basis, and none exists here. Accordingly, I will participate in the consideration of the Grandview Heights subject.

This matter reaches us in the same posture as did the Community Bill of Rights. There is no point in repeating the observations that I earlier made about the difficulty of a board's situation under the terms of modern statute.

For the reasons earlier stated, I perceive the Board has having an obligation to make the determination of whether the petition falls within the scope of the city's authority to enact the proposal via initiative. We are told our consideration must extend to Sections 3 and 7 of Article XVIII of the Ohio Constitution. If any

portion of the petition is not within the initiative power, the petition shall be held invalid.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

We have the benefits -- I'm sorry, we have the benefit rather of writings from proponents and opponents of this measure. After having examined the proposal known as the Grandview Heights Green Space Overlay District and considering the arguments presented by proponents and opponents, I now make the following motion: I move that in satisfaction of the statutory instruction of Revised Code Section 3501.11(K)(2) and 3501.38(M)(1)(a) and (2), that the Board determine that the initiative petition proposing the Grandview Heights Green Space Overlay District is invalid and that the provisions in the petition fall outside the scope of a municipal political subdivision's authority to enact by initiative because of limitations placed by Sections 3 and 7 of Article XVIII of the Ohio Constitution, the initiative petition is also a challenge to an administrative and not a legislative action, and on the particular bases described in the August 7, 2018, correspondence to Director Leonard from Attorney Hollins.

Is there a second on that motion?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 1 2 favor of the motion signify by saying aye. 3 MEMBER SEXTON: If I may before --4 MEMBER SINNOTT: There can be 5 discussion. MEMBER SEXTON: I believe that the 6 7 arguments in the petition in response to the protest filed by the City of Grandview Heights are 8 9 persuasive. I believe that petitioners are seeking 10 to enact this through initiative petition and is 11 within the authority of the municipality to enact 12 and, therefore, appropriate for placement on the 13 ballot. 14 Therefore, I would vote no on the motion 15 to deny certification of the petition. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We've had a motion 16 17 and it's been seconded, and you were about to --18 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those --19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: If there's no more 20 discussion, we can call the roll. 2.1 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there any 2.2 more discussion? All those in favor of the motion 23 24 presented by Chairman Sinnott --25 MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, let's see. Is

```
1 there any discussion?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MEMBER MARINELLO: I believe that the Green Space Overlay District, which would be a legislature action, that the municipality itself could do it and, therefore, a matter that -- you know, for an initiative petition, so I would --

(Discussion off the record.)

MEMBER MARINELLO: That it would be an appropriate matter for an initiative petition.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, we now have a motion and a second. There's been discussion. I think we're now ready for a vote.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

15 MEMBER SINNOTT: Aye.

16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Aye.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

18 same sign.

19 MEMBER SEXTON: Nay.

20 MEMBER MARINELLO: Nay.

21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Okay.

22 | There's --

23 MEMBER SINNOTT: Why don't you do a roll call on this particular matter.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I will do it.

```
77
                  Kim Marinello.
 1
 2
                  MEMBER MARINELLO:
                                     No.
 3
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Michael Sexton.
 4
                  MEMBER SEXTON:
                                  No.
 5
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Doug Preisse.
 6
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                     Yes.
 7
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And Brad
     Sinnott.
 8
 9
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Yes.
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's a tie-tie
10
11
     vote -- or two vote --
12
                  Do you want to tell what happens in --
13
                  DIRECTOR LEONARD: It is an appropriate
14
    matter to submit to the Secretary of State to break
15
     the tie. We have, as is set forth in -- well, as set
16
     forth in the Election Official Manual, the protocol
17
     is for the two members to draft a memorandum in
18
     support of their position, and the two members
19
     against to do so as well, that that would be
20
     submitted by the Chair or the Director within 14 days
2.1
     after the tie vote occurs. In this instance, that
22
     would be by September 6th, and again, we would submit
23
     the exact motion that was presented, the statements
24
     from both parties, as well as all the minutes and all
25
     the documentation to the Secretary of State to break
```

the tie.

2.1

MEMBER SINNOTT: So we're evenly split on this one, so we'll send it over to the Secretary for a decision. Because we are talking about a matter that relates to the November ballot, let's go to work, Board Members, as quickly as we can and get our positions prepared, and we'll submit those simultaneously.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yes.

MEMBER SINNOTT: And then they can be forwarded to the Secretary, and we'll see what the Secretary says. So in light of the fact that we've not made a determination today, it would be premature for there to be a protest or presentation on a protest. We understand what our mission is, and we'll go about satisfying it.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Thank you, Mr.

18 | Sinnott.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So now we're at a point -- do we have any news from staff?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We do. We have one voter intent issue that they would like to bring before the Board, and they just left to get it, and so -- that will allow them to continue the run, and we can take that before we -- we recess, if that's

1 the Board's pleasure. 2 (Recess taken.) 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We have one voter intent issue that I'll let Zach talk to. 4 5 MR. MANIFOLD: Yes. We have one voter 6 intent question for the Board that came from the 7 provisional ballots. The voter has marked the 8 co-channel all the way over on the left, and so we 9 need your guidance, determination on what to do with 10 that. 11 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Do we need some 12 sort of identifying mark on this or -- is it a single 13 instance? Is that correct? 14 MR. SHELL: Uh-huh, yes. 15 MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, I'm not going to put anything on the ballot itself. There's only one, 16 17 and we should not be confused about what it is. 18 And, Zach and Gene, would you state again what the problem is. 19 20 MR. MANIFOLD: Just that the voter

marked the co-channel all the way over to the left instead of filling out the bubble. MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Now, this is the

entirety of the ballot; right?

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

80 1 MR. SHELL: Yes. And the co-channels do 2 line up with the bubbles. That's their purpose. 3 MEMBER SINNOTT: This is an original ballot. Is there a photocopy of it that can be 4 5 placed in the record? I think we -- we should do 6 that. We can do it after we take action, but I think 7 the record should contain a picture of the ballot that we're addressing now. Zach, if you'll provide 8 9 that to the court reporter immediately at the 10 conclusion of this action, that would be helpful. 11 My take is that the voter didn't cast a 12 ballot, that is, the voter didn't make any marking on 13 the ballot that would allow us to identify who the voter wanted to vote for, so I would -- I move that 14 15 we not count this ballot. 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. 17 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in 18 favor of the motion signify by saying aye. 19 (Vote taken.) 20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, 2.1 same sign. 2.2 (No response.) 23 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries. 24 MEMBER SINNOTT: Please make a copy. 25 MR. SHELL: We'll make a copy and --

```
81
 1
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: And give it to the
 2
     court reporter.
 3
                  MR. SHELL: -- get it back to the Board.
     Okay.
 4
 5
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you.
 6
                  MR. SHELL: Thank you.
 7
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: At this point I
    believe the staff needs about 40 minutes or so to
 8
     continue their -- their work, and so if we could take
9
10
     a recess and then come back, and we'll be doing the
11
     final certification of the 12th Congressional
12
     District results.
13
                  DIRECTOR LEONARD: Do we want to set an
     approximate time to reconvene for the sake of the
14
15
     record?
16
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: How about ASAP?
17
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: I think we're probably
18
     talking about 1:00.
19
                  DIRECTOR LEONARD: Okay.
20
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Approximately 1:00.
2.1
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Well, I think
22
     you just said we're in recess.
23
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We're in recess.
24
25
                  (Recess taken from 12:25-1:09 p.m.)
```

2.1

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We're back in order.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The next item on agenda would be to hear from Zach Manifold and give us a report on remakes of provisional and absentees.

MR. MANIFOLD: Good afternoon.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Good afternoon.

MR. MANIFOLD: We had -- we have remade the 46 absentee ballots. On top of that we had 75 regular absentee ballots that came back after Election Day that were good, so we ended up at 121 as the absentee total that was good after Election Day that's added into your certification results. It shows up under "absentee paper." Then provisionals, we ended up -- you guys started out with 36 possible remakes. When we -- when we opened the envelopes, we ended up with 6 remakes, so 30 of those 36 had the proper ballot in them, so we were just able to go ahead and count that, and we ended up with 1,174, was the final provisional good count.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Zach, let me interrupt. What is the report that you're referring to? Do we have -- I have two sheets of paper in front of me.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: What are you -- are you operating off a sheet of paper?

83 1 MR. MANIFOLD: No. I was just -- in the 2 certification, the final -- you guys did have a --3 MS. HEALY: Provisional. MR. MANIFOLD: There's a provisional 4 5 one. 6 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: There's an EL-45 and 7 an EL-45A. 8 MEMBER SINNOTT: Do you want us to refer 9 now to the provisional final report? 10 MS. HEALY: Yes. MR. MANIFOLD: Yes. I think it says six 11 12 remakes on there. It should have six. 13 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Thank you. 14 MR. MANIFOLD: That was -- on your 15 earlier report it said 36. So, yeah, that -- that 16 has switched to 6, and 30 of them did not have to be 17 remade; so your final total for provisionals was 18 1,174. 19 MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. 20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Any questions 2.1 or -- for Zach? 22 MEMBER SINNOTT: That's it. 23 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All right. Now 24 we'll move to certification, and you can give us the 25 final results of the election.

1 MS. PATTON: In front of you you have 2 three sheets of paper. The first two sheets labeled EL-45 on the right-hand side and EL-45A on the second 3 sheet, both indicating on the upper left-hand corner 4 5 that they're Franklin County only, these are the 6 results of the official canvass done by bipartisan 7 staff here at the Board. That result is just in Franklin County, reveals just the Franklin County 8 9 vote for the 12th Congressional and expired rates. 10 This includes all the provisionals, 1,174 of them, 11 the additional 121 absentees received after Election 12 Day, as well as any other votes that may have not 13 been recorded on election night; so we -- we 14 respectfully ask that these be the results that we 15 certify for the 12th Congressional Franklin County 16 only. 17 MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you. 18 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Can you -19 MS. PATTON: The EL-45 has the 20 breakdown. 45A has the breakdown by group, absentee, 2.1 paper, in-house, early votes, Election Day paper, 22 Election Day machines, and provisionals.

report that shows a run date of today at 12:49?

MEMBER SINNOTT: Carla, do you see the

MS. PATTON: Yes, sir. We completed the

23

24

25

canvass today at 12:49.

2.1

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. So that is the -- that's the complete -- describe to me again what that 12:49 run report is.

MS. PATTON: This was the first report we ran after inputting all the provisional ballots this afternoon. All the other ballots were added earlier, prior to the provisionals today. They were all done today, so at roughly 12:48 we would have completed the reporting of the Franklin County votes into the tabulation system, at which time we generated the Franklin County only reports.

MR. LEONARD: And that would be a summary report?

MS. PATTON: A summary report.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Versus the next one, which is a detailed report.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So the run report at 12:55 is showing us what?

MS. PATTON: The run report that was ran at 12:55 includes votes for all counties that are involved in the 12th Congressional District.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So the 12:55 report shows the outcome throughout the entire 12th?

MS. PATTON: That's correct. Right now

```
we're asking that the results that are reflected on
 1
 2
     the report with the run date of 8/24/18 at 12:49 p.m.
 3
     be certified as the Franklin County results.
 4
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Are we ready for a
 5
    motion?
 6
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
 7
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: I move that the Board
 8
     certify and declare as final the official canvass of
 9
     the votes cast at the August 7, 2018, Special
10
     Election as submitted by staff.
11
                  MEMBER SEXTON: Second.
12
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
13
     favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
14
                  (Vote taken.)
15
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
16
     same sign.
17
                  (No response.)
18
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.
19
                  Do you want to address a recount or not
20
     a recount? Are we outside of an automatic recount?
2.1
                  MS. PATTON: The third report in that
22
     stack with a run date of 8/24/18 at 12:55 p.m.
     includes all the votes for the entire 12th
23
24
     Congressional, including those six counties that
     report to us, as the most populous county.
25
                                                  The --
```

when you take the total number of the votes cast for a candidate, you come up with a total of 208,141 votes cast. If you take one half of one percent of that, that number is 1,040. In order for an automatic recount to occur, the vote difference would have had to fall at 1,040 votes or less. Currently the difference is 1,680 votes; therefore, we are not inside of an automatic recount phase, and we would recommend that you certify the results of the entire 12th Congressional as reported on the EL-45 report dated 8/24/18 at 12:55 p.m.

2.1

2.2

12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think that we ought 13 to.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We certified Franklin County. I think we just certify that we've received the other six counties' results.

MS. PATTON: As the most populous county, we need to certify the entire vote of the entire district.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: I think the terminology used in the statute is the declarative results.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, I believe we just did that too. The motion that we just approved, "certify and declare as final the official canvass of

the votes cast at the August 7...Special Election as submitted by staff."

DIRECTOR LEONARD: In Franklin County.

MEMBER SINNOTT: No, it didn't say that.

We can do another one.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: This is a belt and suspenders, as you like to say.

MEMBER SINNOTT: There is that belt and suspenders approach. We're going to do another one. I move that the Board certify and declare as final the official canvass of the entire 12th Congressional District of the votes cast at the August 7, 2018, Special Election as submitted by staff.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

(Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed.

(No response.)

20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

The final item on the agenda would be the hiring of vote center managers. Typically for the November election we would hire a Republican manager, a Democratic manager for our Early Vote Center. The daily rate that we traditionally pay

these individuals is \$312.50, for a total amount not to exceed 10,500, a daily rate of \$312.50. We would hire them, and correct me if I'm wrong, Zach, from October 1st to November 9th.

MR. MANIFOLD: (Nods head.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And I don't know that we have names at this meeting. Maybe we do -- okay. We do not have names at this point, but we would like authorization for us to hire them at the recommendation of the Board members here.

MEMBER SEXTON: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board authorization the Director and Deputy Director to hire a Republican manager and a Democratic manager to manage the Early Vote Center located at 1700 Morse Road, Columbus, Ohio at a daily rate of \$312.50, an amount not to exceed \$10,500. The date of the hire will be October 1st, 2018, and the end date will be November 9th, 2018.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor signify by saying aye.

(Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,

24 | same sign.

2.1

2.2

(No response.)

```
90
 1
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.
                  That's the end of our formal meeting.
 2
 3
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: I move we adjourn.
 4
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a
 5
     second?
 6
                  MEMBER SEXTON: Second.
 7
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
 8
     favor signify by saying aye.
 9
                   (Vote taken.)
10
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed same
11
     sign.
12
                   (No response.)
13
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We are
14
     adjourned.
15
                   (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded at
16
     1:20 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, August 24, 2018, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.



Valerie J. Sloas, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires June 10, 2021.

(VJS-87730)

Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

Exhibit A

ISSUES RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL FOR THE NOVEMBER 6, 2018 GENERAL ELECTION

	Revised 8/20/2018 8:30 A.M.					
#	Subdivision	Purpose	Rate			
#	Dublin City School District – Proposed Bond Issue and Tax Levies (Additional)	Bonds – Expanding, renovating, and improving the high schools; acquiring, renovating, furnishing and equipping existing facilities; constructing, furnishing, and equipping two new elementary schools and one middle school, with related site improvements and appurtenances thereto;	Bonds - \$195,000,000; 3.41 mills, \$0.341 per \$100 of valuation, for 30 years			
- E		repairing, improving, and maintaining existing school buildings and facilities; and acquiring land, and interest in land relating thereto Levy – Acquisition, construction, enlargement, renovation, and financing of permanent	Levy – 2 mills, \$0.20 per \$100 of valuation, for a continuing period of time, commencing in			
		financing of permanent improvements	2019			
		Levy – Current operating expenses	Levy – 5.9 mills, \$0.59 per \$100 of valuation, for a continuing period of time, commencing in 2018			
. #	Grandview Heights City School District – Proposed Bond Issue and Tax Levy (Additional)	Bonds – Constructing school facilities; renovating, repairing, improving, and constructing additions to existing school	Bonds - \$55,250,000; 7.51 mills, \$0.751 per \$100 of valuation, for 38 years			
	×	facilities, including safety and security improvements; furnishing and equipping the same; and improving the sites thereof Levy – Current operating	Levy - 1 mill, \$0.10 per \$100 valuation, continuing period of time, commencing in 2018			
#	South-Western City School District – Proposed Bond Issue	expenses Constructing, furnishing, and equipping new school facilities, buildings, and infrastructure, with related site improvements and appurtenances thereto under the Ohio Facilities Construction Commission Classroom Facilities assistance Program	\$93,400,000; 1.86 mills, \$0.186 per \$100 of valuation, for 38 years			

ISSUES RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL FOR THE NOVEMBER 6, 2018 GENERAL ELECTION

Revised	8/20/2018 8:30 A.M.	
Keviseu	0/Z0/Z010 0.30 A.W.	

	Revised 8/20/2018 8:30 A.M.				
#	Subdivision	Purpose	Rate		
#	Whitehall City School District — Proposed Bond Issue and Tax Levy (Additional)	Bonds – Renovating, improving and constructing additions to school facilities, including safety and security improvements, under the Classroom Facilities Assistance Program; furnishing and equipping the same; and improving the sites thereof; and acquiring land and interest in land as necessary Levy – Acquisition, construction, enlargement, renovation, and financing of permanent improvements	Bonds - \$6,590,000; 1.41 mills, \$0.141 per \$100 of valuation, for 31 years Levy - 2 mills, \$0.20 per \$100 of tax valuation, continuing period of time, commencing in 2018		
#	Worthington City School District - Proposed Bond Issue	Renovating, repairing, improving, furnishing, equipping and constructing additions to various permanent improvements; purchasing school buses and related transportation equipment; site improvements; and acquisition of	\$89,000,000; 2.58 mills, \$0.258 per \$100 of valuation, for 30 years		
#	Worthington City School District — Proposed Tax Levy (Additional)	land and interest in land Current Expenses – The original tax is first proposed to be levied in 2018, the first increment in 2019, the second increment in 2020 and the third increment in 2021	May increase in three increments of not more than 2 mills from an original rate of 2.9 mills (\$0.29 per \$100 of valuation) to a maximum rate of 8.90 mills (\$0.89 per \$100 of valuation), continuing period of time.		
#	Metro Parks – Proposed Tax Levy (Replacement with Increase)	Developing, improving, maintaining and operating the park district, greenway trails, and other properties of the park district; acquiring, developing, improving, maintaining and operating additional lands for park and trail purposes; and conserving and improving natural resources, wildlife habitat and streams and natural areas	Replace 0.75 mill Increase 0.20 mill Total 0.95 mill, \$0.095 per \$100 of valuation, for 10 years, commencing in 2019.		

ISSUES RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL FOR THE NOVEMBER 6, 2018 GENERAL ELECTION

Revised	8/20/2018	8:30 A.M.
I/C A 12Cf	0/20/2010	0.30 W.M.

		Revised 8/20/2018 8:30 A.M.	
#	Subdivision	Purpose	Rate
#	City of Bexley – Proposed Tax Levy (Replacement with Increase)	Constructing, reconstructing, resurfacing and repairing streets, alleys, sidewalks and other similar improvements	Replace 2.5 mills Increase 1 mill Total 3.5 mills, \$0.35 per \$100 of valuation, continuing period
			of time.
#	City of Gahanna – Proposed income tax increase	Three quarters of the revenue from the increase be dedicated to capital improvements and the remainder to general municipal operations	An additional 1% for a total rate of 2.5%
#	City of Grandview Heights – Proposed Charter Amendment	As proposed in ordinance 2018-20	
#	City of Grandview Heights – Referendum of Ordinance 2018- 08	An ordinance to prohibit the retail dispensing of medical marijuana within the city	
#	City of Hilliard – Proposed Charter Amendment	Changes the city's form of government from "Mayor-City Council" to "City Council-City Manager"	
#_	City of Upper Arlington – Proposed Charter Amendment	To amend the charter of the city as recommended by the Charter Review Commission and set forth in Ordinance 55-2018	
#	City of Whitehall – Proposed Charter Amendment	Succession of Mayor and Acting Mayor	
#	City of Whitehall – Proposed Charter Amendment	Term Limits of Certain Elected Officials	
#	City of Whitehall – Proposed Charter Amendment	Refer to individuals using gender neutral language	
#	City of Worthington – Proposed Electric Service Aggregation Program	To authorize all actions necessary to effectuate an opt-out electric service aggregation program pursuant to R.C. 4928.20	
#		Shall a commission be chosen to frame a charter?	
#		Police Protection Levy	5.75 mills, \$0.575 per \$100 of valuation, continuing period of time, commencing in 2019
#	Hamilton Township – Proposed Tax Levy (Renewal with Increase)	Fire Protection Levy	Renew 3 mills, increase 4 mills; Total 7 mills, \$0.70 per \$100 of valuation, 5 years, commencing in 2018
#	Perry Township – Proposed Tax Levy (Renewal)	General construction, reconstruction, resurfacing and repair of streets, roads and bridges	1.5 mills, \$0.15 per \$100 of valuation, 5 years, commencing in 2018

OFFICIAL SPECIAL CONGRESSIONAL GENERAL ELECTION BALLOT Franklin County, Ohio General Election August 7, 2018 111 Instructions to Voter To vote: completely darken the oval () to the left of your choice.
Note the permitted number of choices directly below the title of each candidate office. Do not mark the ballot for more choices than allowed. • If you mark the ballot for more choices than permitted, that contest or question will not be counted. • If you make a mistake or want to change your vote: return your ballot to an election official and get a new ballot. You may ask for a new ballot up to two times. For Representative to Congress (12th District) (Unexpired term ending 1-3-2019) (Vote for not more than 1) Danny O'Connor Democratic Troy Balderson Republican Joe Manchik Green Page 1 of 1 End of Ballot Typ:01 Seq:0186 Spi:01 WORTH 1-A 01 ABS B.S. #0186 © Entition Systems & Soloto 1/16 OD **Board Member Signatures** -