BEFORE THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

- - -

In Re:

:

Regular Meeting.

PROCEEDINGS

before Chairman Douglas J. Preisse, Director William A. Anthony, Jr., Deputy Director David Payne, and Board Members Gregory K. Haas, Kimberly E. Marinello, and Bradley K. Sinnott, at the Franklin County Board of Elections, 1700 Morse Road, Columbus, Ohio, on Friday, July 15, 2016, called at 10:15 a.m.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
            Franklin County Prosecutor's Office
            By Mr. Tim Lecklider
 3
            Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
            373 South High Street, 13th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
 5
                 On behalf of the Board.
 6
     ALSO PRESENT:
 7
            Ms. Suzanne Brown
            Mr. Jeffrey Mackey
            Mr. Zachary Manifold
 8
            Ms. Carolyn S. Gorup
 9
                 Franklin County Board of Elections.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

			3
1	INDEX		
2	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE	
3	Approval of Minutes	4	
4	Candidate Withdrawals	5	
5	Filling Vacancies in Party Nomination	6	
6 7	Certification of Write-in Candidate for November 8, 2016, General Election Ballot	8	
8	Voting Machine Allocation for the August 2 Special Election	9	
9	Approval of 2016 General Election Administration Plan	13	
10	Upper Arlington Protest of a Recall Petition	14	
11	Executive Session	55	
12	Actions on Executive Session	56	
13	Adjournment	57	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

```
4
                                Friday Morning Session,
 1
 2.
                                July 15, 2016.
 3
 4
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Good morning,
 5
     everyone. This is a well attended Board meeting.
 6
                 I'd like to call the Franklin County
 7
     Board of Elections meeting to order.
 8
                 Roll call.
9
                 Kimberly Marinello.
10
                 MS. MARINELLO: Here.
11
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Gregory Haas.
12
                 MR. HAAS: Here.
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Doug Preisse.
13
14
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here.
15
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And Brad Sinnott.
16
                 MR. SINNOTT: Here.
17
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Mr. Chairman, we have
18
     a quorum.
19
                 The first item on the agenda would be the
20
     approval of the minutes for the June 13 meeting.
2.1
                 MR. HAAS: I move that the Board approve
2.2
     the minutes of the June 13, 2016, meeting of the
2.3
     Franklin County Board of Elections, as submitted.
24
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
```

```
1
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.
 2
 3
                 (Vote taken; motion passes.)
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The next item is the
 4
 5
     Upper Arlington protest of the recall petition.
     Mr. Jeff Mackey is going to lead us through all this.
 6
 7
                 Jeff.
 8
                 MR. SINNOTT: Perhaps, Mr. Chairman, we
9
     can deal with the candidate withdrawals and the fill
     in the vacancies and the other agenda items before
10
11
     the Upper Arlington issue, which will probably take
12
     some time.
13
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That would be fine. I
14
     have no objection.
15
                 MS. MARINELLO: Fine.
16
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Let's move to
17
     candidate withdrawal. Again, we will call on Jeff
18
    Mackey.
19
                 MR. MACKEY: We received in the interim
20
     since the last meeting the withdrawal of four
2.1
     candidates, Michael Lanese for State Representative
2.2
     from the 23rd House District; Jesse Thomas from the
23
     Franklin County Commissioner race, full term
24
     commencing 1/3/2017; Valoria Hoover from the Court of
```

Common Pleas Judge race, full term commencing, 1/6/2017; and Whitney Smith withdrawing from the State Representative race for House District 18.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

You have copies of those in front of you if you want to look at them.

MR. SINNOTT: I move the Board accept the notice of withdrawal submitted from nominated candidate Michael Lanese for State Representative (23rd House District), full term commencing 1/1/17; and nominated candidate Whitney Smith for State Representative (18th House District), full term commencing 1/1/17; and nominated candidate Jesse Thomas for Franklin County Commissioner, full term commencing 1/3/17; and nominated candidate Valoria Hoover for Franklin County Common Pleas Judge, full term, commencing 1/6/17.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MS. MARINELLO: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

(Vote taken; motion passes.)

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That motion carries.

MR. MACKEY: Additionally, we have received some selections to fill vacancies in Party Nomination, one to replace Larry Malone, the

Democratic nominee to the 16th Ohio Senate District by Cathy Johnson. Cathy is currently a Southwestern City School Board member.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

We have to replace Michael Lanese, Laura Brady Lanese in the 23rd Ohio House District; and we have to replace Jesse Thomas for County Commissioner nominated candidate by the Republican Party, Whitney Smith.

MR. SINNOTT: Having received from the Franklin County Republican Party the Certification of Selection of Candidate to Fill Vacancy in Party Nomination as prescribed by ORC Section 3513.31, I move that the Board accept the name of Laura Brady Lanese to replace Michael Lanese in the race for State Representative (23rd District), full term commencing 1/1/17.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MS. MARINELLO: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

(Vote taken; motion passes.)

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That motion carries.

MS. MARINELLO: Having received from the Franklin County Republican Party the Certification of Selection of Candidate to Fill Vacancy in Party

```
Nomination as prescribed by ORC Section 3513.31, I
1
2.
    move that the Board accept the name of Whitney Smith
 3
     to replace Jesse Thomas in the race for Franklin
 4
     County Commissioner, Full Term Commencing 1/3/17.
 5
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
 6
 7
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.
                 (Vote taken; motion passes.)
9
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That motion carries.
10
                 MR. HAAS: Having received from the
11
     Franklin County Republican Party the Certification of
12
     Selection of Candidate to Fill Vacancy in Party
13
     Nomination as prescribed by ORC Section 3513.31, I
14
    move that the Board accept the name of Cathy Johnson
15
     to replace Larry Malone in the race for State Senate
16
     (16th District), full term commencing 1/1/17.
17
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
18
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
19
                 MS. MARINELLO: All those in favor.
                 (Vote taken; motion passed.)
2.0
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That motion carries.
2.1
2.2
                 MR. MACKEY: We have also received a
2.3
     Declaration of Intent to be a write-in from M.
24
     Deborah Tunstall to run in the election for the 17th
```

```
Ohio House District for State Representative. Her declaration appears to be valid and sufficient.
```

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

23

24

MS. MARINELLO: I move that the Board certify M. Deborah Tunstall as a valid write-in candidate for the Ohio House of Representatives, 17th District. Ms. Tunstall's Declaration of Intent to be a write-in candidate is valid and sufficient.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MR. HAAS: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

(Vote taken; motion passes.)

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That motion carries.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You want to move to the 2014 machine allocation?

MR. PAYNE: Carolyn.

MS. GORUP: I believe you all have this fascinating document of the machine allocations.

Thirty days prior to the election we are required to review our machine allocations to make sure that they are adequate.

When we did the original allocation, we had 244 polling locations. Because rec centers and churches do not have availability for voting, we have made a number of temporary reassignments, and we will

have 240 polling locations.

2.1

2.2

2 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Because what?

MS. GORUP: Because rec centers and churches and places where we vote aren't available.

MR. PAYNE: Summer programs, they didn't anticipate an August election.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.

MS. GORUP: We have made a number of temporary reassignments. The net change in the machines is only three additional machines going out. They are allocated at one machine for every 175 voters.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Describe the communication to the voters relative to the handful of temporary changes.

MS. GORUP: As soon as I finish up in here, I'm working with one of our other people to print out 35,000 cards that will go out to voters. It will indicate whether it's a temporary change or a permanent change.

MR. PAYNE: Additionally, we have people sitting at the old locations to tell people on election day if they show up at the old location.

They will have a map to the new location giving them

```
1 directions, letting them know.
```

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: From 6:30 to 7:30?

MR. PAYNE: From 6:30 to 7:30.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: How many locations is

5 that?

3

4

7

6 MS. GORUP: I don't have --

MR. PAYNE: I think it's two.

8 MS. GORUP: There's two locations where

9 we are splitting them to two different locations.

10 The other locations where everybody is going to one

11 | location, there will be a sign.

12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SINNOTT: I move that the Board adopt

14 | the voting machine allocation formula in Exhibit A

15 | compiled by the Board staff for the August 2, 2016,

16 | Special Election.

MS. MARINELLO: Second.

18 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

19 (Vote taken; motion passes.)

MS. MARINELLO: How do you want to

21 proceed, continue with the agenda or go back to the

22 protest?

23 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Let's do the election

24 plan and then revert to the schedule.

MR. PAYNE: During a gubernatorial election cycle and during the presidential election cycle, the Secretary of State requires all the county Boards of Elections to provide an Election Administration Plan for the upcoming election.

2.2

2.3

As you will recall, for the primary we did an Election Administration Plan, and now we are required to do that.

We actually submitted this plan, which was due on the 11th in hopes that the Board would approve it today. It's similar to the Election Administration Plan that you approved for the primary, with the exception of dates and a few other items.

We talk in there about precinct election official training; election communication on election day; voter registration; absentee ballots; ADA compliance for all our voting locations.

Frankly, I think it's not only the best ADA compliance in the 88 counties; I would say the best ADA compliance of any Board of Elections in the country.

And, finally, we do a master calendar. Literally everything we have to do regarding an

election is in this plan from every division, and so, 1 2. hopefully, this is what we've been doing for the 3 last -- longer than I've been a member here at the 4 Board of Elections, and, obviously, we update as new 5 directives from the Secretary of State come along. 6 I think Chelsea would agree this is one 7 of the best plans submitted by a Board of Elections. 8 Any questions? 9 MR. SINNOTT: Chelsea, would you also 10 agree it is the best in the country? 11 MS. FAULKNER: No comment. 12 MR. HAAS: I move that the Board accept 13 the 2016 General Election Administration Plan and 14 authorize staff to send it to the Secretary of State 15 in conformance with SOS Directive 2014-16. 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. 17 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor. 18 (Vote taken; motion passes.) 19 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That motion carries. 2.0 We will go back to Upper Arlington. 2.1 Mr. Jeff Mackey. 2.2 MR. MACKEY: On June 17 we received four 2.3 recall petitions to recall four council members from Upper Arlington City Council. A recall election is 24

permissible in municipalities that provide for it in their charter under Revised Code 705.92.

2.

2.1

2.2

2.3

Upper Arlington's charter does provide for recall in Section 6. The Revised Code Section and the charter excerpts are there for you. You can read those.

On June 27, prior to completing the certification of the petition, a protest against the recall petition for Kip Greenhill only, so just one of the four, was provided by Priscilla Mead.

Ms. Mead has indicated that the recall petition is inconsistent with the voter registration for Kip Greenhill, who is registered as and appeared on the ballot the last time he was elected to council as Francis C. Greenhill.

Subsequent to that, we completed our review of the petitions around June 29 or 30. We found sufficient valid signatures, and I expect, absent a finding of the Board today, that the petition is insufficient under your discretion in 3501.39; that we are prepared to proceed with certification.

I already mentioned I included the recall statute there, 705.92, and the charter section that

was pertinent. 705.92 includes a form of the ballot. I've also included some other information there on the definition of candidate, registered voter as they appear in the Revised Code, how names should appear on Declarations of candidacy, and how we determine how names would appear on the ballot for election.

2.

But I don't think any of these apply necessarily to a recall question, so I believe the issue that the Board will have to consider after hearing the protest and then hearing from the petitioner is whether the signers of the petition were confused or misled or had some other concern about how the name appeared on the ballot as far as understanding who they were attempting to recall, to the best of my ability.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Are we going to hear from both sides? How do you want to proceed? The protest first, let's do that. Let's hear from the complainant.

MR. BECKER: I represent the petitioners.

I would request the Board, because I've subpoenaed
the Upper Arlington clerk, Ms. Ellrod, who is in
black over there --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Will you state your

1 | name and address for the record, please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

MR. BECKER: James Becker, attorney at law, 00204203, my Ohio Supreme Court registration number, and I represent the group that has filed the petitions.

I'd just like if we could have a separation of witnesses so that the city clerk is not in the room when Mrs. Mead is before the Board. It's a standard trial court procedure.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm turning to the smartest lawyer near me seeking his counsel.

MR. SINNOTT: Near you.

13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The smartest lawyer in the room.

MR. SINNOTT: Thanks, Doug.

16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is there any objection 17 in the room to Mr. Becker's request?

If there isn't, then I think that sounds fine to us.

MS. MEAD: Members of the Board, my name is Priscilla Mead. I'm a registered voter in Upper Arlington, Ohio, County of Franklin, and I appear before you as a private citizen. I am not represented by legal counsel. I do not represent any

individual in this matter. I represent only myself.

2.1

2.2

I'm also a former officeholder. I've been on the general and primary ballot 14 times and involved in one local referendum. I have learned through personal experience that the procedural laws that govern elections are very specific. Consistent compliance with those laws is vital to the fair and orderly elections across the state.

Election law allows me, as a private citizen, to protest a petition, and I have filed a protest with you to the petition to recall one Kip Greenhill from Upper Arlington City Council.

My premise is very simple. First, a person who circulates a recall petition in Franklin County must do so under the name registered with the Franklin County Board of Elections. If the circulator's signature is invalid, the petition is invalid.

Second, a person who signs a recall petition must do so under the name registered with the Franklin County Board of Elections. If that signature is invalid, the signature on the petition is invalid. The signature is crossed out and not counted.

The recall petition must also correctly identify the subject of recall under the name by which that person was elected. If the subject of a recall petition is not registered with the Franklin County Board of Elections, I hold that the recall petitions are invalid.

2.2

2.3

In this case Francis C. Greenhill ran for and was elected to Upper Arlington's City Council.

The petition in question is to recall Kip Greenhill.

There is no one registered to vote in Upper Arlington named Kip Greenhill. There's no one certified by the Board of Elections as elected to Upper Arlington City Council named Kip Greenhill. The petitions, therefore, are invalid because the subject of the petition is improperly identified.

When Mr. Greenhill took out petitions in 2013, he asked the Board of Elections' staff whether he could use his nickname, Kip, on the ballot.

Because his nickname is not a direct derivative of his name, staff advised him to use his legal name by which he was registered to vote. Examples of a direct derivative could be Ron for Ronald or Sue for Susan. Kip is not a derivative of Francis.

Your decision to invalidate the petition

does not deny the residents of Upper Arlington from 1 2 voting on Mr. Greenhill or any other officeholder 3 incorrectly or insufficiently identified. Those who 4 circulated this petition have every right to 5 circulate another petition in which they properly identify the officeholder. Further, this 6 7 officeholder is eligible to stand for re-election in 2017. 8 9 My premises are simple. I hope that you 10 will hear these and rule out the petition for Kip 11 Greenhill. 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you, Mrs. Mead. 14 Are there questions? 15 MR. SINNOTT: Yes. Priscilla, the basis 16 for the protest is that Mr. Greenhill is registered to vote under the name of Francis Greenhill? 17 18 MS. MEAD: Yes. 19 MR. SINNOTT: But the petition identifies 20 him as Kip Greenhill. 2.1 MS. MEAD: Yes. 2.2 MR. SINNOTT: You believe those who 2.3 signed the recall petition were misled or confused? 24 MS. MEAD: I believe that the name on the

```
petition needs to meet the same standards that we
 1
 2.
     have for the circulator of the petition and for a
 3
     signator on that petition. You cannot recall someone
 4
     who does not exist in the Franklin County Board of
     Elections' rolls.
 5
 6
                 MR. SINNOTT: Are you aware of any legal
 7
     authority that instructs so?
 8
                 MS. MEAD: No. I cannot cite that.
9
                 MR. SINNOTT: Thank you.
10
                 MS. MEAD: Thank you.
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Anyone else?
12
                 Okay. Yes, sir, your turn.
13
                 MR. BECKER: Thank you. Good morning.
14
     My name is James Becker. I represent UA for
15
     Accountability. They're the PAC, and Mr. Bill Bound,
16
     who is the treasurer of UA for Accountability in
17
     relation to the submission of these petitions.
18
                 Each member of the Board has a
19
     spiral-bound, ten-page brief which I've submitted.
20
                 I will make sure that Mrs. Mead has one
2.1
     of those, too.
2.2
                 MS. MEAD: I certainly do not.
2.3
                 Thank you.
24
                 MR. BECKER: Now everybody has one.
```

We always get nervous when people are up here saying they have a simple premise but it is unsupported. So if you take a look at your memorandum, you'll see that it's a maxim of the law, and this is covered on page 3 or 4, that there is no requirement under 705.92 for a recall group to use a voter's registered name or the name as it appeared on the ballot under which they were elected. 705 has no such requirement. It says you can go ahead and recall on officer, unqualified officer, of a city council in a chartered municipality, which is what we've done.

2.2

2.3

Nowhere under 705.92 does it make any mention about Mr. Greenhill's registration. Nowhere does it say about how he ran, and to effectuate Mrs. Mead's request would be inserting words into a statute that otherwise doesn't exist.

As Mr. Mackey told you earlier, there's nothing that she cited under her protest. She has no statute. She has nothing whatsoever that talks about the name by which you recall a council candidate.

And this Kip matter is pretty important.

If you take a look at tab 1 of your spiral-bound document, you'll see Mr. Greenhill's own campaign

literature when he ran for council in 2013, and you'll find 12 times on that piece of literature under Exhibit 1 that he's Kip Greenhill, 12 times. There is no Francis Greenhill under any of this.

2.1

2.3

If you go to tab 2, you'll see the council photo as it appears when you walk into the municipal center. The first page of tab 2 is the council as it appeared for his first two years. When you take a look at how he's described, it's Kip Greenhill.

Turn the page to the more recent appointment of Sue Ralph, and this shot was the second page of Exhibit 2, was shot in 2016, and you'll see that he is still Kip Greenhill, not once, but twice, and not only that, he's council vice president and mayor.

And you'll find when we bring Mrs. Ellrod back in, that the plaque beneath his seat on City Council at the dais says, and you guessed it, Kip Greenhill.

If you go to tab 3, you will see there the copy of the Upper Arlington Staff Directory, so it lists all employees, all elected officials, and you'll see halfway down that Francis Greenhill

```
appears nowhere. In fact, quite frankly, for the
Board, we can't find Francis anywhere on the city's
website.

MR. HAAS: Did you check the ballot?

MR. BECKER: Did we check the ballot?
```

7 | listed on the ballot?

6

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

MR. BECKER: He was listed on the ballot as Francis.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes. How was he

MR. HAAS: Okay.

MR. BECKER: We did not check the ballot.

What we checked was, how is being held out? How is

he voting? How is he being represented to the

community?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What do you mean, how is he voting?

MR. BECKER: We will get to the votes later. How is he signing his name? How does he go on the roll call? Who is this person? It's not Francis; it's Kip.

If you take a look at the Staff
Directory, Kip Greenhill, vice mayor, on council.
When you click on Mr. Greenhill's name, you get the second page of Exhibit 3, which has his photograph,

Kip Greenhill, no Francis. It doesn't exist on the
City's website.

2.3

Then when you go to the City's Boards and Commissions, and that's Exhibit 4, you'll see again City Council and how they're listed, and what do we have? Kip Greenhill, vice president. This is the most recent one.

2015 Arlington's big State of the City address where council members address the community, they make awards and presentations — this is tab 5 — you'll see repeatedly throughout this, Kip Greenhill is guess what? Is referred to as Kip Greenhill.

Tab 6 is the 2016 Upper Arlington State of the City Address. Again, this is where residents are addressed, community, businesses are honored, goes on for hours and hours. How is he listed here? Kip Greenhill.

Tab 7, how is he signing his name? Let's go to tab 7. That's a Resolution of Appreciation for Scott Zanon, who served on the Tree Commission. If you take a look down at the bottom left, it's signed Kip Greenhill.

And you'll find he never signed any

resolution, any ordinance, anything other than Kip Greenhill, which really raises the question that if Mrs. Mead's request is upheld today, we have to wonder how many resolutions and ordinances in Upper Arlington are void or voidable for an improper name.

2.3

When did we first hear about Francis
Greenhill? July 14, after the petitions were filed
when all of the sudden the City, in tab 8, for the
first time since he had ever been elected or served,
again, July of '16, just last week, changed it, and
if you go to page 2 of Exhibit 8 you will see on
June 27 a petition for Kip (Francis) Greenhill.

This is the first documentation since Mr. Greenhill ran -- don't forget Exhibit 1 is his own literature, 12 times Kip Greenhill. Finally now they're playing a name game in July of '16, they are putting a parenthesis, (Francis).

Seventeen years, you go to -- the community knows this person as Kip Greenhill. Tab 9 shows, and this is his own piece of literature, that Kip served as the principal at the Upper Arlington High School for 17 years, again, under the name Kip Greenhill.

And, finally, when you go to tab 10,

you'll see a UA This Week news article, which I think we have one of the reporters here today who actually wrote this, so if we have to, he can verify it.

"Mr. Greenhill said that he's prepared and has no objections to standing collectively with the other three council members."

2.

2.3

As Mr. Mackey said to you, David DeCapua, John Adams, and Deborah Johnson are going to be certified for recall, and the recall under 705.92 occurs, 30, no more than 40 days, from the date that this Board accepts the certification of those petitions. So three people are going to be running.

We've already lost thousands of dollars.

I came in here weeks ago before we filed the petition as a favor to Mr. Payne and Mr. Mackey and said,

Here's the curve ball that's going to be coming your way with four sets of petitions. Are you prepared for this? How can we assist you?

And we were told at that meeting if you could time your filing within a certain window, then we will be able to hold the Columbus ward districting Special Election and the Arlington recall on the same date, on August 2, and we'll save thousands of dollars with economies of scale. Two instances of

those are training of poll workers and the delivery of voting machines. Even though it's two different jurisdictions, your staff explained to us there's money to be saved by holding an Arlington/Columbus election on the same day.

2.

2.1

2.2

2.3

We've lost that. Mrs. Mead's meritless protest has now lost that window. That's a few thousand. If this is upheld today, she's going to cost the residents of Upper Arlington another \$70,000 because the treasurer of this group will go out if this Board says it has to be Francis, and they'll circulate these again, and that's going to mean a Special Election just for Francis Greenhill or Kip Greenhill, or whatever he wants to be known as, to cost of \$70,000 for Upper Arlington taxpayers.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Let's stick closely to addressing the merits of the petition.

MR. BECKER: Let's go to -- you asked to have case law earlier when Mrs. Mead was up here. If you go to the last tab in the binder, you'll find a case out of a Tuscarawas County where a voter on a write-in went ahead and used the nickname for the candidate with the candidate's correct last name, exactly what we have here.

So, again, it's the write-in situation.

The voter puts in a nickname. The person was known throughout the community with the person's last name.

And what did the court do? The court said it counts.

2.3

What Mrs. Mead is citing, you have to understand this dichotomy, anything from the Secretary of State or this Board regarding candidate name, that would prevent Dave DeCapua from changing his name and pulling some fast one where he would be David Jack Nicholas DeCapua or John Woody Hayes Allen. You can't game the system like that. The rules are to prevent candidates from gaming the system.

And it's in our memorandum. By switching names, and you all know who gets elected well, O'Donnell, Taft, Sweeney, O'Neil. The rules prevent candidates from gaming the system. They don't prevent the citizens, the voters, who want to put somebody on from using that last name as it's known throughout the entire community, 17 years at the high school, three years on City Council, on the dais on his plaque, how he's signing resolutions, on the roll call vote. There's no other name for him. Quite frankly, we were surprised at Francis.

So I kind of get the feeling that I'm in a skit from Saturday Night Live with Amy Poehler and Tina Fey with this whole thing because the paper reports this week that Mrs. Mead is challenging is because one of the petitions identified Kip Greenhill, as he's commonly known in Upper Arlington, as Kip Greenhill. You can't make this up.

So it's clear how he's held himself out, how he's represented himself, who he is, there's no voter confusion. Quite frankly, had we put Francis Greenhill on these petitions, in all likelihood voters who have said, Who's that? Oh, that's Kip, but his real name. Who? I've never heard of Francis Greenhill.

So there's no voter misinformation here, and, again, what Mrs. Mead is citing regarding gamesmanship applies to candidates who aren't allowed to game the system in the voting booth. It doesn't apply to voters. As the Skeels case held, that voter could go ahead and write in that nickname.

Thank you. Any questions?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Questions of

Mr. Becker?

2.3

MR. SINNOTT: So, Jim, your position is

that it doesn't matter that he is known to the Board as an elector as Francis Greenhill. It's sufficient that he's known in the community as Kip Greenhill?

2.2

2.3

MR. BECKER: Not just known in the community, he has been represented and represented himself. The City, the Council, the people he's working for have held him out as only Kip Greenhill. This isn't something where we're pinning a nickname on him. He has held himself and is widely known as, and he has held himself out exclusively as Kip Greenhill, and you have all the documents here.

MR. HAAS: I mean, do you view this as there is a point -- I mean, I understand, having lived in Arlington and had a son go to high school, I'm certainly familiar with the name Kip Greenhill. But my question is, do you view that there is a point at which the name must reflect the ballot name because, for instance, if you talked about voters having the right to game the system or to use names somewhat recklessly, is there a point at which we don't know for a fact that these two people are the same people?

In other words, there is certainly a

preponderance of people who recognize the name Kip Greenhill, but he appeared on the ballot as Francis Greenhill. That is the person who is on council, no matter what his nickname is.

2.2

2.3

If we were handed someday a petition with the name -- with a less familiar nickname, would we not be obligated to question whether that is, in fact, the same person? In other words, what you're asking us to do is to accept at face value what you say, that Kip Greenhill is Francis Greenhill and there is no separation between those two people, and that all the voters know that.

But would you give that there are points at which there would be confusion over two different names, one listed on the ballot, another listed on a petition to remove somebody from office?

MR. BECKER: Well, again, even on a candidate nominating petition, as Mr. Mackey said, there's no statute that requires that your nominating petition have on it the name as you're registered to appear on the ballot. It doesn't exist. There is a Secretary of State directive regarding nicknames, but the Ohio General Assembly has never written and it's not enacted that a registered name as your voter

registration exists must appear on a nominating petition. And Mr. Mackey opened with that when he said here are some of the statutes, but they're not applying. He's read them.

2.

2.1

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Are you still desiring the clerk to be out of the room?

MR. BECKER: Yes, unless you wanted to ask her some questions.

MR. SINNOTT: Have you concluded your presentation, Jim?

MR. BECKER: Right, sure. I'm not sure I've answered his question.

MR. HAAS: Well, you didn't, but you gave your answer. But I still think we establish precedent how we look at this, and to me, in future cases where we face something like this, the only way to be absolutely certain is the name that appears on the ballot is the name that appears on the petition.

MR. BECKER: If I might, my first eight years out of law school I spent at the Ohio Supreme Court as a clerk and master, so I wrote a lot of cases for seven of the 15 or 14 statewide elected officials in the state, and I can tell you that every case stands on its own facts. What you do today will

```
be supported by 11 tabs in here on a person who was
1
2.
     exclusively held out in his tenure as council person
 3
     as Kip Greenhill. There is no reference whatsoever
 4
     to this gentleman being Francis. So if the next case
 5
     comes along like that, then you'll be on solid
 6
     ground. If it's just somewhere where he is known at
7
     the golf course or bowling alley or something, that's
8
     not what you have here. So under these facts, I
9
     don't think we're in any particular quagmire
     regarding future cases because he was only
10
11
     represented under this name.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Any further questions?
12
13
                 MR. SINNOTT: No.
14
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.
15
                 MR. BECKER: Thank you.
16
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think we should have
17
     the clerk back in.
                 MR. MACKEY: Would you have her approach?
18
19
     Do you have any questions for her?
20
                 MR. SINNOTT: No. We just wanted to give
2.1
    her a chance to rejoin us.
2.2
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I don't have any
2.3
     further questions.
```

I was interested in what Greg was saying.

24

1 He's sort of coming from a different perspective.

The one is the standards that we apply to candidates, and then, we, of course, reviewed the Secretary of State's opinion there as it relates to nicknames.

Coming from the other direction is the standard that is applied to the petitioners in this case or in other cases and our responsibility to ensure there isn't confusion, misrepresentation, potential voter confusion.

So I appreciate Mr. Becker's presentation and also Priscilla's concerns for the community.

I've heard enough.

Greq?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

MR. HAAS: Well, I think this maybe is a case where we might ultimately view this differently, but I think I'm still very much of a frame of mind that, you know, certainly the degree with which people are familiar with a nickname to me is not the central issue, but establishing consistency with the name that appears on the ballot when we make the decision about whether or not the voters knew that, in fact, this same person they voted for council is the person they're removing from office.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We don't actually

apply the strictest of standards because we do permit people -- we do permit Bobby Jones to run, even if he's registered as Robert Jones.

MR. HAAS: It's a derivative.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It's a derivative,

understood, right.

2.

2.1

2.2

MR. HAAS: In some ways in the universe,

John made a good point about, obviously, not allowing
somebody to run as Woody Hayes Smith. We shouldn't
deem that as somebody -- I mean, I think it applies
both ways, and I don't think there's a special
provision for the voters to risk misleading the
public about who it is they're intending to go after.

MR. SINNOTT: Kim?

MS. MARINELLO: I don't have any questions.

MR. SINNOTT: Well, I understand the challenge, and I understand the response. I think Jeff captures the question before the Board when he says is there voter confusion that arises from Mr. Greenhill being described by Kip on the recall petition, but is being known to the Board of Elections as an elector under the name Francis.

I'm convinced that people in Upper

Arlington know Mr. Greenhill by the nickname Kip. I don't find any instance where we have been instructed to invalidate a recall petition because of an officeholder's common name and not his formal name is used on a recall petition.

2.1

2.2

2.3

In the absence of that sort of specific legal instruction, my inclination is to use common sense and say that if the people of Upper Arlington know who they're talking about when they use the name Kip Greenhill, that's sufficient for purposes of a recall petition. I don't think the people of Upper Arlington are going to be confused by the reference to Kip Greenhill on the recall petition. For that reason, I'm going to deny the challenge.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Was that in the form of a motion?

MR. SINNOTT: Perhaps Mr. Preisse wants to weigh in.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think we have had sufficient discussion. I think a motion is in order at the moment.

MR. SINNOTT: Then I would move to deny the challenge.

24 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

```
37
 1
                 MS. MARINELLO: Second.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All those in favor.
 3
                 MS. MARINELLO: Aye.
 4
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Aye.
 5
                 MR. SINNOTT:
                              Aye.
 6
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Opposed?
 7
                 MR. HAAS: Aye.
                 (Vote taken; motion carries.)
9
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries.
10
                 The next item on the agenda.
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.
12
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: At the last meeting we
13
     had a discussion about advertising.
14
                 David, will you present that.
15
                 MR. PAYNE: I just think -- and I'm not
16
     sure any of this requires Board action today, but I
17
     thought it was best that we discuss it.
18
                 At the last Board meeting we talked about
19
     the County Commissioners, that they allocated
2.0
     $566,000 for advertising for the November election.
2.1
     There was some discussion that maybe that was too
2.2
     large of an amount, or do we actually need
23
     advertising to that extent. And it was requested
24
     that we develop an advertising communications plan.
```

The discussion was also that we talk about and talk to the individual firms. The practice in the past has been that a Republican firm and a Democrat firm combine to provide advertising for the November election.

2.2

2.3

Subsequent to that discussion, legal counsel, in the form of a Harold Anderson, mentioned that for something of this size over \$50,000, it would require a competitive bid, and that to talk to the individuals that are applying potentially for the contract would possibly exclude them from then getting the contract, so we didn't want to talk to the firms in that case.

So in lieu of that, Ben Piscitelli and I and Bill sat down. We developed what we think -- or at least we gave you a starting point for those that thought maybe we were spending too much.

So we have an earned media plan in conjunction with the paid media plan, and the earned media plan, I think, frankly, in the past was pretty good, but this is really aggressive. And earned media is free-bees, right? So in conjunction with any paid media, we want to do earned media. So we have at the start of absentee ballot, we'll be doing

press releases. Early voting, we'll be doing press releases. We will be doing press releases on ID requirement, voter registration, deadlines, sample ballots.

2.2

2.3

The SOS will be sending absentee ballot applications to all of our registered voters. We'll piggyback off of that in writing press releases and reaching out to the media to let them know that's being done.

Returning the absentee ballot without delay, that is extremely important because we have in past elections, since I've been here, the United States Post Office is not getting them returned to us in time to count them. So we want to make sure even though there's a deadline set by statute, people need to turn in their absentee ballots and mail those or bring them in person early, so we want to do an aggressive program to that effect.

Our BOE website, we want to make sure that we advertise and do what we need there. Phone banks, there are two television stations that Ben Piscitelli reached out to, WCMH and WSYX that indicated they would like to have staff members come in at least twice to talk about the election, and

anybody that's watching that newscast could call in, and we would answer any of their questions. So it would be like, for lack of a better term, the Jerry Lewis Telethon. We will be there to answer any questions they may have about any topics relating to the elections.

2.2

2.3

Press conferences, one of the things we would like to do is set up a press conference in conjunction with the Franklin County Consortium on Good Government and Franklin County BOE to talk about voter registration day, which is Tuesday September 27.

So there's a lot of this, I mean, media availability, BOE website. Social media, we have fantastic social media presence we have not had in previous years. Brett Roberts has been working on it. I was somewhat skeptical, but, frankly, very impressed with his approach and the hits we have been getting on our website.

We have new signage that is going up in front. We won't have that decrepit looking sign. We have a beautiful facility here with less than perfect signage. So in your packet, that's a representation of what that sign will look like so people driving by

will know. I, frankly, think that the voting center here has been getting a lot of press. People know about it now. They know where we are.

2.2

2.3

Paid media, so a lot of the paid media was to talk about this voting center in the past. In the past, there probably was a need, maybe, to expend what would amount to a quarter of a million dollars to tell people about this voting center and that they can vote here 30 to 35 days but now I think people know about it. We will have the signage. We are going to do all the earned media I talked about.

What I think we need to do with our paid media is talk about registration deadlines; absentee ballot applications, deadlines returning, don't wait on the returning of the absentee ballot because of US Post Office; ID requirements. Those are things I think people need to know about. And viewing your absentee ballot, you can do that online prior to coming in to vote.

So those are things I think we need to focus on, and if we have an aggressive earned media program combined with the paid media program, I think it nearly cuts the \$566,000 in half, and the budget I came up with was \$288,000.

That's, again, a starting point. It's nothing more than that, and there's a lot of experts in the room that have had a lot more experience at the Board of Elections than I have. This is just my and Ben Piscitelli's starting point.

2.2

2.3

MR. SINNOTT: Congratulations to Bill and Dave and Ben. I think you have done sensible work on this. We have had an opportunity to review the outline, the communication plan, prior to today. I think you have developed a really good outline on how to communicate with the people of Franklin County about their options in 2016 through earned media in the plan. There is a substantial component for paid media, but you're talking about giving the paid messaging a focus that goes far beyond, Hey, folks there's going to be a 2016 election, and that's entirely proper.

So I think we're headed in the right direction. Of course, if this plan were to be adopted, you're saving the people of Franklin County about \$275,000. There is an important consideration here having to do with the advice about the competitive bidding. I would be interested in hearing from anyone who is knowledgeable on that

subject, what the process for competitive bidding would be relative to the paid media component.

2.

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. PAYNE: What I will say is that Bill and I and our finance person, too, when we had a meeting with the Franklin County purchasing folks, and they are to get us a template on how to do request for quotes, invitations to bid, there were a lot of acronyms that I, frankly, am not all that familiar with.

I think Bill and I both agree we want to be very conservative in our approach and make sure that we don't award a bid that we have been advised should be competitively bid. I don't know -- we are working with the purchasing folks to figure out the process that we need to go through.

MR. SINNOTT: Do you have a sense as to how long that process takes to run?

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We don't. We were informed that we had to go through the process last week, so we're trying to get our arms wrapped around what that process is going to be.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I would echo what Brad said. I think it was Brad who suggested we have a little more focus. I said, before, too, I would like

you guys to talk about what are the important messages to share, and I think that four bullet points under paid media are indeed those.

2.1

2.2

2.3

The only thing I would add, as I mentioned before, given the judicial activism we have had in recent years, let's just be mindful that we be able to communicate something late through paid media that could help the voters in some decision even on election day. I don't know if there's a reserve pot, or if we are engaged in paid media up to and through election day, the nimbleness to change the message would be very important.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We do have that budgeted \$562,000 that the Commissioners gave us. They've been doing that kind of money at least for this cycle, these presidential cycles.

But with the new wrinkle of potentially having to do a RFQ, RFD, and timing of everything, and then it was also the issue of if we were to consult the folks we had used in the past, then that would preclude them from becoming part of a bid program. So we're trying to just get our arms wrapped around how we bid this, because David nor I want to -- we want to do it correctly. So

we're still trying to figure out how we bid this thing out.

2.

2.3

There are some templates that we could use. COTA, for example, recently did a bid for their levy, and it included companies that had a bipartisan bent to it. One of the things, as you know, when we start doing advertising, it's important that it has had bipartisanship to it so we don't want to get into a bid situation of not having a bipartisan component to it.

MR. PAYNE: One other thing I would say, in order to do a bid, we would sort of have to come to some sort of agreement on what is included in it in order to bid on something.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The scope of services.

MR. PAYNE: Yes, the scope of services,

you would have to know that, so maybe that's part of
the --

MR. HAAS: Well, I think, in part, it determines whether you have an RFQ or RFP in the beginning of that, because, first of all, just from what I've seen of the plan, I think there's some great earned media ideas. And I think my only question ultimately in terms of the plan involved in

budgets for campaigns on other situations and coming up with a figure you're going to spend on paid media, frankly, in the end is throwing darts at a dart board. We don't know at this point what media time is going to cost, and we don't know to what extent we can get some free time from the stations in addition to what's been discussed. But I think those are worthwhile guestions.

2.

2.1

2.2

2.3

But the most important point is that we at some point need to bring the Commissioners into this discussion. They budgeted this money with an expectation, and their expectation was we were going to do what we have done in the past. If we are going to divert from that, they need to be apprised so they can make any decisions they want to make as it relates to that.

But I also think whatever we do in terms of a budget, given the dynamics of the kind of changes, the disasters and things that come up,

Doug's point about maintaining something in a reserve fund that could be used at any point that there's some kind of ruling or change or change in hours is a good idea. I think maintaining a set amount of money kind of in reserve to be used at the Board's

discretion does make a lot of sense.

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The timing, I have no idea how long a request for proposal takes. I mean, I have none. I have no idea if we even were to spend a modest amount, when we need to start reserving that time. I mean, we're in July. It will soon be August, and, you know, we may be preempted anyway. From my understanding of everything, we could put in for time now -- I mean, you've done it.

MR. HAAS: I wouldn't worry about losing time. We are paying the highest rate, and the media stations are not going to help us to give money away at a cheaper rate.

I agree on this. One thing I would really hope that you all would spend serious time with our legal counsel on is really the difference between an RFQ and RFP on this, because from my personal experience, what we are really talking about seems to be more of an RFQ.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That's what we were saying.

MR. HAAS: There's a lot more flexibility in it and the chance to bring a professional in to help us design what it is we are going to do. Once

you've gone through the RFQ process, the problem you run into, which I think was a good catch on counsel's part, not to bring these folks in and inadvertently eliminate them from participation. But if we go to the RFQ, we can identify professionals to bring in to fine-tune the plan and maintain a lot more flexibility than we can in the RFP.

2.2

2.3

MR. PAYNE: That's a distinction I wasn't familiar with, so that's great.

MR. SINNOTT: My take is you are going in the right direction, and we look forward to hearing about the next steps.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think you know the sense of the Board is to proceed and engage with the professionals down at the County. I think that Greg's point, let's not assume that it's sufficient to inform the Commissioners of what's going on. Why don't you guys talk with the administrator or whoever to make sure they understand where we're headed.

MR. SINNOTT: If our conclusion is we don't have to spend \$275,000 of the people's money that's been allocated, that would be a happy report to make to the Board of Commissioners.

MR. HAAS: Right now that probably is

true. But, again, I think that we should move at it before we have gotten too far down the path in terms of finalizing stuff when right now, unless somebody has had some kind of conversation, my assumption is they're assuming we are going to do exactly what we have been in the past.

2.

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. PAYNE: I have had no conversation with the Commissioners.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: I haven't either, but probably should have that. We will have that conversation.

MR. PAYNE: The next item for discussion is the Prime 3SG contract for election night mapping. I guess it's a \$48,000 contract. We wouldn't necessarily need to bring this to the Board. We thought we should under the circumstances.

On election night what we would like to have is the ability for people outside, candidates, party chairmen, other people interested to look at our website and be able to tell where the returns are coming from and a map that says, okay, we have candidate A and candidate B in a tight race. What is outstanding? Is it a Republican area or is it a Democrat area? What can we expect?

As it is, we don't have that ability at present. I don't want to throw anybody under the bus, but we had the data center who can provide this to us for free. The problem is they have attempted to provide it twice, and we have had no success, and I don't like on election night with all the other stress to be getting calls from my chairmen, Why can't I see what the TV stations are reporting, frankly, that type of thing.

2.3

MR. SINNOTT: We could probably all agree what we are getting now isn't working.

MR. PAYNE: Isn't working, but it's free.

I will tell you this. The data center has been, I mean, feeling bad about two failures, if it counts for anything. They feel awful. They have told both us that they will make sure that this happens. However, what I think I would like to do is have them attempt to do it and have 3SG Prime, who we have to pay to do it. And then going forward let's see if we need to continue with paying for it or if, indeed, the data center can pull it off. But I don't want to be going into a presidential election hoping that the data center can pull off the mapping that I think literally everybody agrees we need.

The other thing, with Prime, we looked at Stark County. They have done what we are asking them to do for Stark County. It is, by all accounts, unbelievable. It's exactly what we need.

MS. MARINELLO: You just buy it every year?

2.2

2.3

MR. PAYNE: Actually, there is start-up, so it's less if we continue to use them because they have all the infrastructure in place. They will be working with the data center to make sure that everything works right.

The scope of services that they are providing in this have been run by the County Auditor's Office, as well as the data center, and both the county auditor and the data center have signed off on this, if we choose to go this route.

MR. SINNOTT: The data center doesn't see any cyber security risk in this?

MR. PAYNE: They had some questions with the initial scope of services. They revised the scope of services. They have no problem with it.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That's correct.

MR. SINNOTT: The cost of the contract would be \$48,408.

MR. PAYNE: Correct.

2.2

2.3

MR. SINNOTT: Well, that's a lot of money, but at the same time, this is the 2016 presidential election in Franklin County, and where the vote is coming from during the course of that evening is not only going to be a matter of local concern, but national and international concern. I think that the public needs better information sooner than we had been getting.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: This information is being presented in a usable format that is easy. You can get it on your cell phone. They're going to format it that you can get it on the cell phone and also a TV screen. I mean, I just think this is awesome.

MS. MARINELLO: I was here election night, and it was not fun not knowing. It was not fun.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I want to say for the record, Prime is a client of mine on unrelated matters, but I do want to say I have had no conversations with them or you guys or anybody about this and will make no opinion here about it.

MR. HAAS: I think we go back to election

nights where we have had a lot of confusion, 2004 as an example. Part of what people perceive in that election and the process can add to a lot of emotion and frustration if the information people are getting ultimately differs from the outcome, so it's not just a matter of an instantaneous gratification kind of thing. It's also a matter of making sure that people get the right information as quickly as possible to avoid a lot of hurt feelings and frustration afterwards.

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. SINNOTT: Where the reported vote is coming from is a bona fide matter of public interest on election night.

MR. HAAS: Exactly.

MR. PAYNE: One of the first things when I started I said, Boy, it sure is expensive to run good election. He said, You ought to try to run a bad one.

MR. SINNOTT: This is simply at the discussion stage at this point?

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes.

MR. PAYNE: Yes.

MR. HAAS: Obviously, you have strong sentiment from the Board to proceed.

```
54
 1
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Thank you.
 2
                 The next item on the agenda is to move to
 3
     executive session.
 4
                 MR. HAAS: Pursuant to ORC Section
 5
     121.22 (G) (1&3), I move that the Franklin County
     Board of Elections go into Executive Session to
 6
 7
     consider the appointment, employment, dismissal,
 8
     discipline, promotion, demotion, and/or compensation
9
     of a public employee.
10
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
12
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Roll call.
13
                 Kimberly Marinello.
14
                 MS. MARINELLO: Yes.
15
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Greq Haas.
16
                 MR. HAAS: Yes.
17
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Douglas Preisse.
18
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes.
19
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Bradley Sinnott.
2.0
                 MR. SINNOTT: Yes.
2.1
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We are now in
2.2
     Executive Session.
23
                 (Executive Session.)
24
                 MR. SINNOTT: I move that the Franklin
```

```
55
     County Board of Elections adjourn from Executive
 1
     Session and that no votes or official actions were
 3
     taken during the Executive Session.
 4
                 MR. HAAS: Second.
 5
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Roll call.
 6
                 Kimberly Marinello.
 7
                 MS. MARINELLO: Yes.
 8
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Greq Haas.
9
                 MR. HAAS: Yes.
10
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Douglas Preisse.
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes.
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Bradley Sinnott.
12
13
                 MR. SINNOTT: Yes.
14
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We are now out of
     Executive Session.
15
16
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Good.
                                                   We have
17
     a couple matters yet to -- personnel matters to
18
     attend to.
19
                 Kim, I think you're on.
2.0
                 MS. MARINELLO: I move that the Franklin
2.1
     County Board of Elections hire John Audet as Human
2.2
     Resource manager (full-time, part-time position 24
23
    hours per week) beginning August 1, 2016, at a salary
     of $37,000 per year/$29.64 per hour.
24
```

1 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second? 2. MR. HAAS: Second. 3 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor. 4 (Vote taken; motion passes.) 5 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That motion carries. 6 MR. HAAS: I move that the Board accept 7 the resignation of David Magers from the Franklin 8 County Board of Elections and thank him for his 9 18-plus years of service to the Franklin County Board 10 of Elections. 11 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second? 12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. 13 All those in favor. 14 (Vote taken; motion passed.) 15 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries. 16 MR. HAAS: I move that Director Bill 17 Anthony be placed on immediate administrative leave 18 with pay until the time of the next Board meeting on 19 August 1 when the employment status will be 20 considered again at that time. 2.1 Zachary Manifold, presently on the Board 2.2 staff, be made acting director until that time. 23 MR. SINNOTT: Second. 24 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

		57
1	(Vote taken; motion passes.)	
2	DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries.	
3	Is there a motion to adjourn?	
4	MR. SINNOTT: Motion to adjourn.	
5	MR. HAAS: Second.	
6	DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.	
7	(Vote taken; motion passes.)	
8	DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We stand adjourned.	
9	(The meeting adjourned at 12:03 p.m.)	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, July, 15, 2016, 2016, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Rosemary Foster Anderson,
Professional Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the State of
Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2019. (rfa-81420)

_ _ _

