FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS 280 EAST BROAD STREET COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215 (614) 462-3100

MI	NUTES OF THE ME	
	1 19 00	
APPROVED ON	12-1-08	
	BY:	Douglas J. Preisse, Chairman
		Muhmy & Colley
		Michael F. Colley, Esq.
		Kimberly E. Marinello
		William A. Anthony Jr.

ATTEST:

Michael Stipziano, Director

		··	Page	3
1	INDEX		raye	J
2				
3	Agenda Item	Page No.		
4	Supplemental Procedures for			
5	Processing Provisional Ballots	4		
6	Referral of Possible Voter Fraud Cases to the Prosecuting Attorney	34		
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 4 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It appears that we have a full complement of Board members 5 here, so why don't we call this meeting to 6 7 order. 8 MR. STINZIANO: Roll call. 9 Colley? 10 MR. COLLEY: Here. 11 MR. STINZIANO: Chairman Preisse? 12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here. 13 MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Anthony? 14 MR. ANTHONY: Here. 15 MR. STINZIANO: Ms. Marinello? 16 MS. MARINELLO: Here. 17 MR. STINZIANO: This is the Franklin County Board of Elections Special Meeting for 18 19 Friday, November 14th, 2008. 20 The first item on the agenda is regarding supplemental procedures for the 21 22 processing of provisional ballots. We have several categories that the staff would like 23

guidance on from the Board in terms of

24

- 1 clarification and how we should process them.
- 2 The first regards the individuals
- 3 who had mistakenly been designated a
- 4 three-voter on Election Day. It's the staff's
- 5 recommendation, those individuals that we can
- 6 determine should not have been designated a
- 7 three not be processed as provisional ballots
- 8 but treated as regular ballots.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. And do we
- 10 know how many voters this affects?
- MR. STINZIANO: I don't think we got
- 12 a final count, but I believe it was less than
- 13 -- it was around 50 to 75.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Do you
- 15 want to make a motion?
- MR. ANTHONY: I think that's a good
- 17 thing.
- Mr. Chair, I move that the Board
- 19 process as regular Election Day ballots the
- 20 provisional ballots cast by individuals who
- 21 were mistakenly designated in the Election Day
- 22 poll book as having had their registration
- 23 notice by the Board of Elections returned as
- 24 undeliverable, thus improperly requiring them

- 1 to vote a provisional ballot.
- MR. COLLEY: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do we have any
- 4 discussion or questions by the Board members
- 5 or input from our legal counsel on this
- 6 matter?
- 7 MR. O'BRIEN: I think that based on
- 8 our research and discussion with both the
- 9 staff and the Board, it's our advice that you
- 10 vote yes on Mr. Anthony's motion.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Are there any
- 12 questions?
- 13 (No audible response.)
- MR. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We have a
- 15 motion and a second.
- MR. STINZIANO: Do a vote. All in
- 17 favor?
- MEMBERS: Aye.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: None opposed.
- The second category are those
- 21 individuals who the staff can determine
- 22 requested a regular paper ballot by their
- 23 signing of the poll book or providing other
- 24 information on the provisional ballot

- 1 envelope; for example, that they wrote "This
- 2 is a regular ballot" but for whatever reason
- 3 the poll workers put in a yellow provisional
- 4 envelope. It is the staff's recommendation
- 5 that those ballots be treated as a regular
- 6 ballots and not processed as provisional.
- 7 MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, I move that
- 8 the Board process as regular Election Day
- 9 ballots those provisional ballots of
- 10 individuals who staff can determine requested
- 11 a single paper ballot by the signing in the
- 12 poll book or other information provided to the
- 13 provisional ballot envelope or whose ballot
- 14 was placed in a provisional ballot envelope.
- MR. COLLEY: Second.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We have a motion
- 17 and a second. And I think this is a fairly
- 18 limited occurrence, and we are endeavoring to
- 19 correct this limited occurrence. Do we have
- 20 any questions of the Board or commentary or
- 21 advice from counsel?
- MR. O'BRIEN: Yes. We talked to
- 23 both Mr. Damschroder and Mr. Stinziano and,
- 24 again, as counsel for the Board would indicate

- 1 we think that Mr. Anthony's motion should be
- 2 voted yes.
- 3 MR. STINZIANO: All in favor?
- 4 MEMBERS: Aye.
- 5 MR. STINZIANO: None opposed.
- 6 The next category of individuals are
- 7 those that contain a signature but not a
- 8 written name on the provisional ballot
- 9 envelope. We provided samples of the
- 10 envelopes. It would be in step 1, there's no
- 11 printed name but there is a signature at the
- 12 bottom in step 1.
- MR. O'BRIEN: I think those of us
- 14 that read the newspaper are aware this is the
- 15 subject of a lawsuit in the Ohio Supreme
- 16 Court, a writ of mandamus against the
- 17 Secretary, and the Board itself is named a
- 18 party. Mr. Piccininni from our office, who
- 19 regularly represents the Board, entered an
- 20 appearance in that case.
- This morning, Secretary of State
- 22 filed a motion to remove that from the Ohio
- 23 Supreme Court to the United States Federal
- 24 Court here in Columbus. It was initially

- 1 assigned to Judge Frost, who agreed to have it
- 2 consolidated to a pending case with Judge
- 3 Marbley, and Judge Marbley has ordered us,
- 4 attorneys for the plaintiffs, and the
- 5 Secretary of State's office, represented by
- 6 Mr. Cogly, who typically handles these
- 7 election matters, to his office at 9 a.m. in
- 8 the morning.
- 9 So in an unusual Saturday morning
- 10 court proceeding in federal court, these
- issues are going to be discussed and could be
- 12 the subject of either a writ or an injunction
- 13 by Monday.
- 14 So it's my suggestion that the
- 15 Board, since it has absentee ballots to count,
- 16 overseas ballots to count, military ballots to
- 17 count, that you defer deciding anything with
- 18 respect to provisional ballots because they're
- 19 the subject of a lawsuit, and although you're
- 20 not enjoined right here as we sit in this room
- 21 today, I think it would be ill-advised to move
- 22 forward on this with those lawsuits pending.
- MS. MARINELLO: Any idea how many of
- those we're talking about?

```
1 MR. STINZIANO: We do not have a
```

- 2 count as of now. We had asked the question of
- 3 the Secretary of State prior, and they had
- 4 suggested -- or they said that they must be
- 5 counted. And I think you've seen Brian Chin's
- 6 explanation on that. But we do not have a
- 7 count for any of these; they're just
- 8 categories.
- 9 MS. MARINELLO: Okay.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, my concern
- is that we are aware that the rules of the
- game may or may not be changing, but there's
- 13 at least a significant chance that they may,
- 14 so I'm not sure we're well advised to make the
- 15 next play when the rules may be about to
- 16 change. I think I hear counsel saying that in
- 17 more eloquent terms than my layman verbiage.
- But do we have any other comments on
- 19 the pending motion, which hasn't been made
- 20 yet, before you make it?
- MR. ANTHONY: Well, thank you, so
- 22 much, Mr. Chair. I certainly do respect the
- 23 opinion of our legal counsel, which I
- 24 certainly appreciate and respect, but I'm

- 1 under the impression that we should proceed
- 2 with this anyway, and whatever happens in the
- 3 court happens and we're protected either --
- 4 and we are ready to either -- we don't have to
- 5 come back and meet and talk on it, so I'm
- 6 going to make a motion that we proceed with
- 7 this and then at least see what happens with
- 8 it.
- 9 I move that the Board proceed with
- 10 processing and deem as valid those provisional
- 11 ballots that contain the signature of a voter
- 12 but not the written name of the voter, if the
- 13 staff can determine the person to be a
- 14 qualified elector of the state who voted in
- 15 the correct precinct and the person was not
- 16 required to provide additional information to
- 17 the Board.
- MS. MARINELLO: Second.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We have a motion
- 20 and a second. Is there any discussion?
- I believe, in reviewing the statute,
- 22 that this is one of those places where it's
- 23 pretty crystal clear, at least to my eyes and
- 24 mind, that this is a clearly stated

- 1 deficiency, which under the law and current
- 2 procedure would disqualify this provisional
- 3 ballot. Am I off base there?
- 4 MR. DAMSCHRODER: That's certainly
- 5 my view, having reviewed the statute, that
- 6 it's very clear that the name and signature of
- 7 the voter is required by the statute in order
- 8 for it to be considered a provisional ballot
- 9 eligible to be counted.
- MR. STINZIANO: I think we thought
- 11 there was some gray area with the Directive,
- 12 and that's why we asked the Secretary of
- 13 State's office for clarification. Their
- 14 guidance was to proceed with processing them.
- MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, part of the
- 16 reason I'm doing this is, I really believe
- 17 that there's -- and I understand it's to be
- 18 decided by the courts, maybe, or it may be
- 19 decided by the Secretary of State, but based
- 20 on her -- the e-mail we received not too long
- 21 ago, and based on my own beliefs also, as
- 22 well, that just because the person forgot to
- 23 sign their name on there does not make it a
- 24 fatal ballot, and we should make every effort

- 1 we can to count every ballot that was cast on
- 2 Election Day and not just throw the ballots
- 3 out because of a deficiency that isn't, in my
- 4 determination, that fatal.
- 5 So that's why I'm moving to put this
- 6 out there, so that we can at least make an
- 7 attempt to count every ballot that was cast on
- 8 Election Day.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, my concern
- 10 with that, Mr. Chairman, is that the advice
- 11 from the Secretary of State came in the form
- of an e-mail, not a Directive or a more
- 13 substantial communication.
- MR. ANTHONY: I understand.
- MR. O'BRIEN: I might add, then, Mr.
- 16 Chairman, the Secretary of State is not
- 17 counsel for this Board. Mr. Piccininni
- 18 previously gave advice to counsel of this
- 19 Board. The regional counsel for the Secretary
- of State is not the counsel for this Board,
- 21 either. Our office, by statute, passed by the
- 22 General Assembly, is your attorney.
- 23 And in the first instance, we
- 24 suggested it's ill-advised to try to proceed

- 1 on this.
- 2 But secondly, if you are going to
- 3 proceed on it, it would be our suggestion that
- 4 you follow our advice and vote no, simply
- 5 because that's consistent with what the
- 6 Secretary of State says as recently as last
- 7 March 31st in writing to this Board.
- Number two, there's been an apparent
- 9 flip-flop recently on that advice from the
- 10 Secretary of State's office that's the subject
- 11 of this lawsuit, and the cause of this
- 12 lawsuit, I might add, that it would seem to me
- 13 that the statute says a signature and the
- 14 written name of the voter.
- The last time I looked at a
- 16 dictionary and the last time I looked at the
- 17 courts' decisions, the word "and" is something
- 18 that's called conjunctive; it means both of
- 19 the items are to be considered together. Not
- 20 disjunctive; they use the word "or" when you
- 21 intend it to be a signature or a handwritten
- 22 notation.
- 23 So both the case law and the Ohio
- 24 Revised Code has a specific provision on that

- 1 matter, and so at least it would be my
- 2 suggestion and recommendation to the Board, as
- 3 your lawyer, that you vote no on this and
- 4 would so recommend.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We've had
- 6 substantial discussion on this point. Is
- 7 there any more?
- 8 (No audible response.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Let's have a
- 10 vote.
- 11 MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Colley?
- MR. COLLEY: No.
- MR. STINZIANO: Chairman Preisse?
- 14 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: No.
- MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Anthony?
- MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
- 17 MR. STINZIANO: Ms. Marinello?
- MS. MARINELLO: Yes.
- MR. STINZIANO: The next category
- 20 are those individuals then in step 1 on the
- 21 provisional ballot form. In this situation,
- 22 they printed their name at the top but there
- 23 is no signature. Again, there is a gray area.
- 24 We asked for clarification from the Secretary

- 1 of State's office, and they said these should
- 2 also be counted if we can show that they voted
- 3 in the correct precinct and they're a
- 4 qualified elector and they were not required
- 5 to provide additional information to the
- 6 Board.
- 7 MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Are you going to
- 9 do it again?
- MR. ANTHONY: I'm going to do it
- 11 again. And I know I'll be not following the
- 12 advice of our counsel --
- MR. O'BRIEN: Well, he followed it
- 14 twice.
- 15 (Laughter.)
- MR. ANTHONY: I'm kind of obstinate
- 17 at times, and this would be one of those
- 18 times.
- 19 Again, I believe that it is not a
- 20 fatal flaw, and I believe that the intent of
- 21 the voter -- that we should be looking at
- 22 trying process as many of these as we can to
- 23 allow as many folks to be able to vote as
- 24 possible and not deny folks the right to vote.

1 So, Mr. Chair, I move that the Board

- 2 proceed with processing and deem as valid
- 3 those provisional ballots that contain the
- 4 names of the voter but not the voter's
- 5 signature, if the staff can determine the
- 6 person to be a qualified elector of the state
- 7 who voted in the correct precinct and the
- 8 person was not required to provide additional
- 9 information to the Board.
- MS. MARINELLO: Second.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We have a motion
- 12 and a second. Are there any comments,
- 13 questions by the Board, or input from our
- 14 counsel? And we may have just heard that
- 15 already.
- MR. O'BRIEN: Well, I think this is
- 17 even more blatant than the previous one, and I
- 18 say that because the voter's signature is not
- 19 on the provisional ballot.
- When people circulate petitions to
- 21 put people on the ballot, they have to sign
- 22 their name. The circulator has to sign their
- 23 name. When they register to vote, they have
- 24 to sign their name. You have their signature

- 1 in the poll book to compare.
- 2 All election documents require the
- 3 signature of the voter to be effective, and
- 4 there's a reason for that. There's a warning
- 5 there that says what you're signing is subject
- 6 to election falsification, subject to
- 7 prosecution for voter fraud. And as we all
- 8 know, and I think you have a motion on the
- 9 agenda later, there was voter fraud in this
- 10 county during this election cycle.
- 11 So what you're doing is saying that
- 12 the signature that is to be placed on the
- 13 provisional ballot that has the warning
- 14 regarding election falsification, that that's
- 15 not necessary to count the ballot. And I
- 16 think that is very bad policy, first; but
- 17 secondly, how are you going to compare the
- 18 signature if you don't have -- with the poll
- 19 book, if you don't have the signature of the
- 20 person who presented himself to vote?
- 21 So I just don't know legally or from
- 22 a policy standpoint how you can vote anything
- other than no, and I think this is a different
- 24 issue than the previous one that the Board

- 1 just considered and split on. And I don't
- 2 want to speak for staff, but I'd ask the Board
- 3 to ask staff what their view is on it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Staff, we would
- 5 like to hear from you.
- 6 MR. DAMSCHRODER: From the Deputy
- 7 Director's standpoint, I think it's for all
- 8 the reasons Ron mentioned but also that the
- 9 letter of the law, when it comes to the
- 10 qualifications for a provisional ballot to be
- 11 counted, that signature is a requirement.
- MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, part of the
- other reason why -- you know, this was a very
- 14 important election that we just went through,
- and the person filling out this document here,
- 16 and looking at it and we have a poll worker
- 17 also there and the poll worker, part of that
- 18 responsibility is to kind of review this
- 19 document to make sure that everything is put
- 20 out properly.
- And so in some of those cases, and
- 22 maybe many or all of those cases, it may be
- 23 more deemed to be poll worker error than a
- 24 person's intent to defraud. So I don't

- 1 believe that those that we will be counting
- 2 would fall into the category as election
- 3 fraud. I would put it under the category as
- 4 it not being checked, it not being checked by
- 5 our poll workers, and we should not deny the
- 6 folks a right to vote because of that error.
- 7 And that's why I made the motion.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I appreciate your
- 9 comments. You opened by commenting on the
- 10 importance, a very important election, and I
- 11 don't disagree, and congratulations to the
- 12 victors high and low.
- But the importance of the election
- 14 should not dictate to us compliance, pretty
- 15 standard compliance with very clear election
- 16 law, which again I reviewed, and I don't think
- 17 it could be written any clearer than this, the
- 18 lack of a signature, which is such a common
- 19 device used in everyday official proceedings
- 20 that is so clear in the statute, that it would
- 21 disqualify this document.
- 22 If there is no other commentary, we
- 23 can perhaps call a vote on this matter.
- MR. STINZIANO: A roll call vote.

- 1 Mr. Colley?
- MR. COLLEY: Vote no.
- MR. STINZIANO: Chairman Preisse?
- 4 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: No.
- 5 MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Anthony?
- 6 MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
- 7 MR. STINZIANO: Ms. Marinello?
- 8 MS. MARINELLO: Yes.
- 9 MR. STINZIANO: We have a tie vote.
- 10 The next category are individuals on
- 11 the form that printed their name and signed
- 12 but not necessarily in the places in step 1.
- 13 In the vast majority of the cases, that
- 14 occurred in the affirmation at the bottom of
- 15 step 2.
- 16 Again, we asked the Secretary of
- 17 State's office for their interpretation. They
- 18 felt that if it was on the form, then that
- 19 would be sufficient, as long as we can
- 20 determine they are properly registered, voted
- 21 in the right precinct, and they didn't have to
- 22 show any more additional identification.
- MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, I have a
- 24 feeling we're not going to agree on this one

- 1 either, but I move that the Board proceed with
- 2 processing and deem as valid those provisional
- 3 ballots that contain the voter's name and/or
- 4 signature on the provisional ballot envelope
- 5 but not necessarily in the proper designated
- 6 place on the provisional ballot envelope, if
- 7 staff can determine the person to be a
- 8 qualified elector of the state who voted in
- 9 the correct precinct and the person was not
- 10 required to provide additional information to
- 11 the Board.
- MS. MARINELLO: Second.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We have a motion
- 14 and a second. Any discussion or further
- 15 counsel?
- MR. O'BRIEN: At least I think this,
- 17 I would suggest again, this would permit --
- 18 and if you have one of these forms and hold it
- 19 up and look at it, that would purport to say
- 20 that if someone prints their name anywhere on
- 21 that item, they're not signing the
- 22 affirmation, they're not signing who they are,
- 23 they're not signing the voter information,
- 24 nothing subject to election falsification,

- then I could show up and print Bill Anthony's
- 2 name on a form and you're saying that would be
- 3 acceptable.
- 4 And that's just not the law and it's
- 5 not what the statutes provide for, and I don't
- 6 know how you can vote yes on that.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Any other
- 8 comments or input?
- 9 (No audible response.)
- 10 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. We have a
- 11 motion on the floor here.
- MR. STINZIANO: Roll call vote. Mr.
- 13 Colley?
- MR. COLLEY: No.
- MR. STINZIANO: Chairman Preisse?
- 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: No.
- MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Anthony?
- MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
- MR. STINZIANO: Ms. Marinello?
- MS. MARINELLO: Yes.
- MR. STINZIANO: Another tie vote.
- The next category, staff was made
- 23 aware of at least one situation where the poll
- 24 worker told an individual that they were in

- 1 the correct precinct. That individual cast a
- 2 provisional ballot and subsequently called our
- 3 office and learned that they were not in a
- 4 proper precinct.
- 5 In terms of reading Directive 101
- 6 and 103, where poll worker error seems to be
- 7 the concern, we thought it was worth having
- 8 the Board weigh in on whether or not they
- 9 thought they should also be processed as a
- 10 provisional ballot that's fatally flawed or if
- it could be processed normally.
- 12 Typically, if they're in the wrong
- 13 precinct, we would agree it's fatally flawed,
- 14 but if there is poll worker error, and that is
- 15 the theme of the day, that seems to be the
- 16 concern that this is the case where it was
- 17 documented clearly as poll worker error.
- 18 MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes.
- MR. ANTHONY: You know, I had an
- 21 opportunity to visit a number of polling
- 22 places on Election Day, and I witnessed this
- 23 happen firsthand.
- I saw a woman that was in the right

- 1 precinct but her driver's license had a
- 2 different address on it, and they told her to
- 3 go to another precinct. And she went to the
- 4 other precinct, and when she went to the other
- 5 precinct they had her in the books there, but
- 6 she said, I don't live here. And so they
- 7 said, well, you can vote here.
- 8 And then she was smart enough to
- 9 come back to the precinct that she was at, but
- 10 had she not come back, she would have voted in
- 11 the wrong precinct, and being directed to vote
- in the wrong precinct by our poll workers. So
- 13 I see where this would be a situation that we
- 14 ought to at least take into consideration.
- And with that, I move that the Board
- 16 process and deem as valid those provisional
- 17 ballots of individuals who have represented to
- 18 staff that the voter was given false
- 19 information by a poll worker, such as what is
- 20 his or her proper precinct for voting, and
- 21 thus cast a provisional ballot in the wrong
- 22 precinct.
- MS. MARINELLO: I'll second that.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We have a motion

- 1 and a second on this matter. Do we have any
- 2 questions, comments, or input from counsel
- 3 down at the other end of the table conferring?
- 4 MR. O'BRIEN: I think, in this
- 5 instance, if the person would come in and
- 6 confirm, I don't know how the Board is getting
- 7 the information that they were directed to the
- 8 wrong location.
- 9 MR. STINZIANO: We were made aware
- of it by a poll observer. We didn't suggest
- 11 the individual come in, and they have not yet,
- 12 but if they did, we'd want not to just have
- 13 them come in but kind of let them know, if
- 14 they came in, what the process would be.
- MR. O'BRIEN: I think if they came
- in and confirmed what the poll worker relayed,
- 17 then it would seem to me that, yes, we should
- 18 count their vote, because I think that is poll
- 19 worker error and their vote should be counted.
- 20 I think somewhat inexplicably, given the other
- 21 issues, the Secretary of State's Directive
- 22 says you shouldn't count that vote.
- So I would say that that person,
- 24 because it's our employee, directed him to the

- 1 wrong place, that we should count the vote.
- 2 MR. DAMSCHRODER: I think we
- 3 probably need some clarification then.
- 4 Because at this point, my understanding is all
- 5 we have is a written statement from an
- 6 observer and perhaps a copy of a declaration
- 7 from a voter that I don't believe was made
- 8 under oath and signed by a notary, and so I
- 9 guess if we're going to allow this to be a
- 10 category, I think the Board needs to develop
- 11 some kind of process or standard by which we
- 12 judge those, as opposed to just getting an
- 13 e-mail from an observer saying, on
- 14 such-and-such a date a person came in and a
- 15 poll worker directed them to the wrong place.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: That sounds
- 17 reasonable to me. It falls within your --
- MR. O'BRIEN: It falls within the
- 19 statute on how you should proceed, I think.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, I wonder if
- 21 it would be satisfactory to Chairman Anthony
- 22 to reconsider that motion; subsequently, we
- 23 can develop a policy and approach.
- MR. ANTHONY: How come we can't vote

- on it and then develop a policy?
- 2 MR. O'BRIEN: Maybe if you would
- 3 modify the motion to say that the vote should
- 4 be counted if satisfactory proof is provided
- 5 to the Board by the voter.
- 6 MR. ANTHONY: Then I will do that.
- 7 See, I don't always disagree with you, Ron.
- 8 What Ron said.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What would
- 10 satisfactory proof constitute? Any opinion?
- MR. STINZIANO: I think a statement
- 12 from the poll worker that that incident did
- 13 occur and a statement from the voter that the
- 14 incident occurred, I think that would show
- 15 that said incident was truthful and that it
- 16 was properly then recorded, that they did tell
- 17 that individual to stay and vote and the voter
- 18 did that, and then that the voter learned
- 19 afterwards that they were given improper
- 20 information and there was a poll worker error
- 21 there.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I wonder if that
- 23 statement would require something so drastic
- 24 as a signature from the voter.

- 1 (Laughter.)
- 2 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Should we have
- 3 the motion read as amended so we know what
- 4 we're voting on? Who's our scribe?
- 5 MR. DAMSCHRODER: I think we
- 6 probably should do that. I don't know if the
- 7 transcriptionist is able to put all of those
- 8 different things together, or whether we're
- 9 better off moving forward with other agenda
- 10 items and maybe drafting something quickly
- 11 that can be in the form of a substitute
- 12 amendment, Mr. Anthony.
- MR. ANTHONY: So what was the
- 14 verbiage you had used?
- MR. O'BRIEN: I think if you just
- 16 tack on to the end of the existing motion
- 17 "provided that sufficient evidence is
- 18 presented to the Board from the poll worker
- 19 and the voter."
- MR. ANTHONY: Then I'd like to amend
- 21 my motion to include "provided that sufficient
- 22 evidence is provided by the poll worker and
- 23 the voter." So is there a second for my
- 24 amendment?

```
1 MS. MARINELLO: I second it.
```

- 2 MR. ANTHONY: So the whole motion is
- 3 -- should we read it back?
- 4 MR. DAMSCHRODER: I think we have
- 5 the sense.
- 6 MR. ANTHONY: Okay, then.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All right. Any
- 8 further discussion?
- 9 MR. STINZIANO: Roll call?
- 10 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think that
- 11 sounds sufficient. No, I don't think we need
- 12 a roll call.
- MR. STINZIANO: Okay. All in favor?
- MEMBERS: Aye.
- MR. STINZIANO: Any opposed?
- 16 (No audible response.)
- MR. STINZIANO: Motion passes.
- 18 The last category are individuals
- 19 with provisional ballot envelopes that contain
- 20 no identification verification, which would be
- 21 your category 3 -- or category 2.
- MR. DAMSCHRODER: From step 2.
- MR. STINZIANO: From step 2, there's
- 24 nothing checked in that box. There is a

1 printed name, a signature, but no forms of

- 2 identification documentation.
- 3 Again, contacted the Secretary of
- 4 State's office and it was their recommendation
- 5 that if a person is qualified elector of the
- 6 state who voted in the correct precinct and
- 7 they were not required to provide the
- 8 additional form, that we go ahead and process
- 9 them as valid.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All right.
- MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, I move that
- 12 the Board proceed with processing and deem as
- valid those provisional ballots' envelopes
- 14 that contained no identification verification
- on the provisional ballot such as the last
- 16 four digits of the Social Security number or
- 17 Ohio driver's license number, et cetera, if
- 18 the staff can determine the person to be a
- 19 qualified elector of the state who voted in
- 20 the correct precinct and the person was
- 21 required to provide additional information to
- 22 the Board.
- MS. MARINELLO: Second.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, okay. I

- 1 have some thoughts about this one, but I
- 2 wonder if counsel wants to precede those with
- any thoughts about this amazing motion, in my
- 4 mind.
- 5 MR. O'BRIEN: The only observation I
- 6 would make is the statute imposes a mandatory
- 7 duty on the voter to put that information on
- 8 the document, and in the absence of the voter
- 9 fulfilling their duty, that it should not be
- 10 acceptable. I mean, it's not the poll worker
- 11 error.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And as I
- 13 understand our statutes, in addition to that,
- if the voter fails to or consciously chooses
- 15 not to, he or she may visit the Board within
- 16 ten days and provide further information.
- And with respect, Mr. Chairman, your
- 18 motion would appear to say it's okay to do one
- 19 and, also, you don't have to comply with the
- 20 law and do the second piece which was
- 21 permitted previously. So you can guess how
- 22 I'm going to vote, I think. Any other --
- MR. STINZIANO: I think again it's
- the interpretation of reading Directive 101

1 and 103, with poll worker error, that there's

- 2 responsibility to the poll worker that there
- 3 is a reason it's not checked, and the
- 4 interpretation, as I understood it, was that
- 5 it's the poll worker's fault, not the voter's
- 6 fault.
- 7 MR. ANTHONY: And not to be
- 8 redundant, one of the things that I think we
- 9 all should be trying to do is, if the person,
- 10 after we've checked all the other information
- 11 out, if they are a qualified elector and
- 12 they're given a precinct where they voted,
- 13 that we should let them vote. And that's my
- 14 stance. So are we ready to vote on this?
- MR. STINZIANO: Are you ready for a
- 16 vote?
- 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Mm-hmm.
- MR. ANTHONY: I am.
- MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Colley?
- MR. COLLEY: No.
- MR. STINZIANO: Chairman Preisse?
- 22 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: No.
- MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Anthony?
- MR. ANTHONY: Yes.

- 1 MR. STINZIANO: Ms. Marinello?
- MS. MARINELLO: Yes.
- MR. STINZIANO: Those are all the
- 4 categories that we desire to present before
- 5 the Board, four of which have resulted in tie
- 6 votes, three of which have passed.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Then I'm
- 8 going to move that as certain of the preceding
- 9 motions regarding the categories with
- 10 provisional ballots that resulted in a tie
- 11 vote shall be submitted to the Ohio Secretary
- of State pursuant to Section 3501.11,
- 13 paragraph 10, of the Ohio Revised Code.
- 14 The Chairman asks that both sides of
- 15 the issue submit their rationale for voting
- 16 for or against the motions to the Director not
- 17 later than noon on Tuesday, November 18th,
- 18 2008, so that the Director may submit the
- 19 matter in controversy to the Ohio Secretary of
- 20 State on Tuesday, November 18th, 2008.
- MR. STINZIANO: The next item on the
- 22 agenda is referral of possible voter fraud
- 23 cases to the prosecuting attorney.
- MR. DAMSCHRODER: We do have six

- 1 individual cases right now that are prepared
- 2 to go to the prosecuting attorney's office
- 3 that range from illegal registration of voting
- 4 to double voting, and so we would recommend
- 5 that the Board authorize the Director and
- 6 myself to forward these six instances on to
- 7 the prosecuting attorney for further
- 8 investigation and, if necessary, prosecution.
- 9 MR. STINZIANO: In addition, I think
- 10 it's also written there, there are other broad
- 11 categories that we are working on, and those
- 12 be persons voting in more than one county,
- 13 persons voting or attempting to vote who are
- 14 not citizens, fraudulent registrations and
- 15 absentee ballot applications, and persons
- 16 registering and voting who are in Ohio only on
- 17 a temporary basis and did not meet the
- 18 residential requirements.
- 19 So right now, we have six suggested,
- 20 but that's kind of the universe of cases we
- 21 are looking at.
- MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, I move that
- 23 the Board, per the recommendation of the
- 24 Director and Deputy Director, refer possible

- 1 voter fraud cases to the prosecuting attorney
- 2 for further action.
- MR. COLLEY: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Any discussion?
- 5 MR. O'BRIEN: The only thing I would
- 6 add, Mr. Chairman, is that we have been
- 7 working with Mr. Stinziano and Mr. Damschroder
- 8 probably back two weeks before the election.
- 9 When matters came to the Board's
- 10 attention, they discussed them with our
- 11 office, and we did look into some and resolved
- 12 some apparent problems, and that precluded
- 13 some votes being cast that would have been
- 14 improper, and I think it was that effort that
- 15 was successful and prevented it, but there are
- 16 others that were not prevented and some cases
- 17 that we've seen fraud using P.O. boxes for
- 18 multiple applications and things of that
- 19 nature.
- 20 So I would recommend that you
- 21 approve it, and I appreciate the Board's
- 22 cooperation to date on it.
- 23 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And we appreciate
- the prosecutor's able work and the cooperation

- 1 of our professional staff and yours on this
- very, very important matter of voter fraud.
- 3 Any time anybody is voting who shouldn't be
- 4 voting, they're cancelling out the vote of
- 5 someone who has voted properly.
- I think we're ready for a vote.
- 7 MR. STINZIANO: Okay. All in favor?
- 8 MEMBERS: Aye.
- 9 MR. STINZIANO: No opposed.
- The last item is the staff's
- 11 recommendation that the Board move into
- 12 executive session to consider the appointment,
- 13 employment, dismissal, discipline, or demotion
- of an employee.
- MS. MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move
- 16 that the Board adjourn into executive session
- 17 to consider the appointment, employment,
- 18 dismissal, discipline, or demotion of a public
- 19 employee.
- MR. COLLEY: Second.
- MR. STINZIANO: Do a roll call vote.
- 22 Mr. Colley?
- MR. COLLEY: Yes.
- MR. STINZIANO: Chairman Preisse?

Page 38 1 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes. MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Anthony? MR. ANTHONY: Yes. MR. STINZIANO: Ms. Marinello? MS. MARINELLO: Yes. 6 MR. STINZIANO: We are in executive session. 9 Thereupon, the Board entered into 10 executive session at 5:30 o'clock p.m. 11 12 MR. ANTHONY: I'd like to move that the Board come out of executive session. 13 motions were made, no votes were taken, and no 14 15 consensus were reached. 16 MS. MARINELLO: Second that. 17 MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Colley? 18 MR. COLLEY: Yes. 19 MR. STINZIANO: Chairman Preisse? 20 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes. 21 MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Anthony? 22 MR. ANTHONY: Yes. 23 MR. STINZIANO: Ms. Marinello? 24 MS. MARINELLO: Yes.

1 MR. STINZIANO: Out of executive

- 2 session.
- MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, I'd like
- 4 to make a motion for Erin Sellers to continue
- 5 on paid administrative leave through November
- 6 25th, 2008, at which time the Board will hold
- 7 an employment hearing in executive session.
- 8 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is there a
- 9 second?
- MS. MARINELLO: Second.
- MR. DAMSCHRODER: Do a roll call
- 12 vote.
- MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Colley?
- MR. COLLEY: Yes.
- MR. STINZIANO: Chairman Preisse?
- 16 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm going to
- 17 abstain.
- 18 MR. STINZIANO: Mr. Anthony?
- MR. ANTHONY: Yes.
- MR. STINZIANO: Ms. Marinello?
- MS. MARINELLO: Yes.
- MR. STINZIANO: And those are all
- 23 the items we have for this special meeting.
- MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, I move that

Page 40 1 we adjourn. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do we have a second? MS. MARINELLO: Second that. MR. STINZIANO: All in favor. MEMBERS: Aye. MR. STINZIANO: None opposed. Meeting adjourned. Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded at 5:39 o'clock p.m.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	The undersigned do hereby certify that
4	the foregoing proceedings were digitally
5	recorded, electronically transmitted, and
6	transcribed via audible playback, and that
7	the foregoing transcript of such proceedings
8	is a full, true and correct transcript of
9	the proceedings as so recorded.
10	
11	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
12	my hand and affixed my seal of office at
13	Columbus, Ohio, on this 17th day of
14	November 2008.
15	
16	
17	Michely & Solomon
18	MICHELLE K. SALINAS
19	Certified Digital Reporter Notary Public - State of Ohio.
20	My commission expires July 10, 2013.
21	
22	(Dan Bellous
23	DONNA J. BELLOUS
24	Certified Digital Transcriber