		ή	2 (Fages 2 to 5)
	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:	,	INDEY (Cont'd)
2	Franklin County Prosecuting Attorney	1	INDEX (Cont'd)
	373 South High Street	2	Witnesses Page No.
3	13th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	3	Victoria Parks 69
4	By Mr. Patrick Piccininni,	4	Marian Lupo 73
	Assistant County Prosecutor,	5	Dan Stanton 82
5	On behalf of the Board of	6	Carla Patton 104
6	Elections.	7	
7	Perez & Morris, LLC	8	
8	8000 Ravine's Edge Court Suite 300	9	
1 "	Columbus, Ohio 43235	10	
9	By Ms. Angela Alexander Savino,	11	
10	Attorney at Law,	12	
1 *	On behalf of the Petitioner,	13	
11	Mariachi Mexican Restaurant.	14	
12 13		15	
1 23	ALSO PRESENT:	i	
14		16	
15	Mr. Matthew Damschroder, Director	17	
16	Mr. Dennis White, Deputy Director	18	
17		19	·
18 19		20	
20		21	
21		22	
22 23		23	
24		24	
	Page 3		Page 5
	rage 5		rage 5
1	INDEX	1	
2	Agenda Item Page No.	2	PROCEEDINGS
3	Call to Order 5	3	
4	Approval of Minutes 5	4	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Hello. I'd like
5	Candidate Certification 6	5	to call the Franklin County Board of
6	Local Option Certification Appeal 19	6	Elections meeting to order, please.
7	Directive 2008-01 42	7	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Mr. Colley.
8	Directive 2008-02 96	8	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Here.
9	Fiscal 98	9	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER:
10	Audit Log 101	10	Ms. Marinello.
11	<u> </u>	11	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Here.
1	I	12	
12		1	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Ms. Petree.
13	Personnel 116	13	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes.
14	Adjournment 122	14	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Chairman
15		15	Anthony.
16	Meeting Exhibit Page No.	16	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Here.
17	A - Candidates List 8	17	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: All members
18	B - Transcript Excerpt 112	18	are present.
19	C - Salaries List	19	The first item on the agenda is the
20		20	approval of previous minutes that have been
21	Witnesses Page No.	21	distributed to the Board.
22	Paddy Shaffer 43	22	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a
23	Leonard Samuelson 55	23	motion to approve the minutes of that Board
24	Teresa Blakely 66	24	meeting?
14 #	10103a Dianuiy UU	ا " "	

		1	3 (Pages 6 to 9)
	Page 6		Page 8
1	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I make a	1	of those candidates submitted by the Director
2	motion.	2	and Deputy Director and reviewed by the Board
3	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in	3	be attached to the record of the proceedings
4	well, let me do it right.	4	as Exhibit A.
5	I move that the Board approve the	5	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a
6	minutes of the meeting of Franklin County	6	second?
7	Board of Elections, November 5th, 2007, and	7	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I second
8	November 27th, 2007, as submitted.	8	that.
9	Is there a second?	9	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in
10	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.	10	favor, say aye.
11	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in	11	(Board votes aye unanimously.)
12	favor, say aye.	12	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: And I will
13	(Board votes aye unanimously.)	13	give this to the court reporter to attach to
14	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: That motion	14	the record.
15	carries.	15	The next four resolutions we need
16	The next item on the public portion	16	to handle one-by-one, because some Board
17	of the agenda is candidate certification.	17	members need to abstain, either because they
18	There before you in the large packet is the	18	themselves are the candidate being certified
19	list of all of the candidates having timely	19	or it's a family member or close associate.
20	filed petitions last Friday to appear on the	20	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. And this
21	March 4th, 2008 Primary Election ballot.	21	is for the County Central Committees?
22	Everyone contained on this list was	22	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The first
23	identified by staff as having filed, timely	23	one is the candidacy of Michael Colley. So
24	filed, ballots, sufficient petitions.	24	once that motion has been made and seconded,
	Page 7		Page 9
1	And this list has been gone	1	we'll do a roll call vote with Mr. Colley
2	through, also, so that we can take out the	2	abstaining.
3	individual motions that each Board member has	3	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. Then I
4	to vote on for the roll call for extensions.	4	would entertain a motion.
5	So it will be staff's	5	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman?
6	recommendation that we accept this list in an	6	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am.
7	omnibus kind of resolution as printed on	7	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that
8	the on the agenda. And then, we'll go	8	Michael F. Colley be certified to the March
9	through the other ones individually.	9	4th, 2008 Primary Election ballot, as a
10	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. So how do	10	candidate for member of the Republican State
11	you want us to start again, now?	11	Central Committee from the 16th Ohio Senate
12	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Let's handle	12	District.
13	the first paragraph that appears under	13	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. We ought
14	candidate certification as one motion.	14	to do a roll call vote on that.
15	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay.	15	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: A second.
16	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:	16	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, is there a
17	Mr. Chairman?	17	second for that?
18	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes.	18	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.
19	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I move	19	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. Roll
20	that the Board certify to the March 4th, 2008	20	call.
21	Primary Election ballot those candidates	21	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Roll call
22	whose petitions have been timely filed on or	22	vote: Mr. Colley.
23	before Friday, January 4th, 2008, were found	23	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Abstain.
	A. E. P.L. L. CC data and the	24	DIDECTOR DAMCCURODER.
24	to be valid and sufficient, and that the list	24	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER:

			+ (rages 10 co 13)
	Page 10		Page 12
1	Ms. Marinello.	1	respectively.
2	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.	2	Is there a second?
3	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Ms. Petree.	3	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.
4	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes.	4	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: We need a roll
5	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Chairman	5	call vote.
6	Anthony.	6	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Mr. Colley.
1 7	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes.	7	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Yes.
8	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: And motion	8	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER:
9	carries.	9	Ms. Marinello.
10	The next motion is the candidacy of	10	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Abstain.
11	Carolyn Petree for State Central Committee,	11	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Ms. Petree.
12	with once the motion is made and seconded Ms.	12	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes.
13	Petree needs to abstain.	13	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Chairman
14	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: I would	14	Anthony.
15	entertain a motion.	15	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes.
16	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:	16	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: And again,
17	Mr. Chairman?	17	the last one, with Chairman Anthony
18	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes.	18	abstaining.
19	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I move	19	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I move
20	that Carolyn C. Petree be certified to the	20	that Ruth E. Lawson, David S. Anthony,
21	March 4th, 2008 Primary Election ballot as a	21	Richard L. Vinson, be certified to the March
22	candidate for the member of the Republican	22	4th, 2008 Primary Election ballot as
23	State Central Committee from the 15th Ohio	23	candidates for members of the Democratic
24	Senate District.	24	County Central Committee from Wards 3, 4, and
	Page 11		Page 13
1	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a	1	51 respectively.
2	second?	2	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a
3.	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Second.	3	second?
4	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Roll call vote.	4	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Second.
5	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Mr. Colley.	5	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Roll call vote.
6	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Yes.	6	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Mr. Colley.
7	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER:	7	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Yes.
8	Ms. Marinello.	8	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER:
9	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.	9	Ms. Marinello.
10	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Ms. Petree.	10	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.
11	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Abstain.	11	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Ms. Petree.
12	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Chairman	12	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes.
13	Anthony.	13	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Chairman
14	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes.	14	Anthony.
15	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Motion	15	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: I abstain.
16	carries.	16	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Motion
17	The next one, we'll handle again	17	carries.
18	with Ms. Marinello abstaining.	18	The next group of petitions that we
19	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All right. Then	19	need to discuss are all the candidates or all
20	I move that Joy Fielder, Dennis L. White, be	20	the petitions that were found as having some
21	certified to the March 4th, 2008 Primary	21	question either of substantial compliance
22	Election ballot as candidates for members of	22	that needs to be handled by the Board or
23	the Democratic County Central Committee from	23	other petitions that were just outright
24	Columbus Ward 29 and Madison Township	24	insufficient or invalid.

Page 14 Page 16 The Board does not have to act on 1 petitions that have questions. There were a 2 the insufficient or invalid. 2 number that were just found to have 3 Denny? 3 insufficient number of valid signatures; DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We didn't 4 4 others that failed to properly execute their 5 5 act on Kim's Central Committee petition. circular statement. 6 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Oh, I'm 6 There are a couple, Jeni Horn, Ryan 7 7 Frazee, Tim Kenney, Kari Hertel, Michael 8 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I'm not on 8 Cline, and Shawn Dingus, that made some kind 9 9 of, each different, but minor clerical errors there. 10 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Oh, that's 10 that have either been found in the past, 11 11 true. through court decisions, recommendations from 12 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, you're on 12 Prosecuting Attorney, or from the Secretary 13 the Central Committee? 13 of State, or prior action of the Board, to be 14 What's your ward? 14 found to be, like I said, minor clerical 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: 49. 15 errors, that do meet substantial compliance. 16 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: 41? 16 You can go through each one of those and discuss them if you would like to, or you can 17 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: 49. 17 18 **DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Somebody** 18 move forward with staff recommendation and 19 just needs to make that motion. 19 just begin with the motions. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: I'll make that 20 20 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Now, are you 21 21 motion. saying that these have problems or --22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And she 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The 23 would abstain. 23 original list that he gave over, on the first 24 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And I move --24 resolution, these are ones that we had some Page 17 Page 15 1 yeah. I move that Kim Marinelli [sic] be 1 concerns with, so we got with either the 2 certified to the March 4th, 2000 [sic] 2 Prosecutor's Office or Brian from the 3 Primary Election ballot as a candidate for 3 Secretary of State's office, and they said 4 the member of the Democratic Central that it would be okay to put these on the 5 5 Committee from Ward -ballot. They weren't on the original 6 6 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: 49, Ward resolution. 7 49. 7 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. All 8 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Ward 49. 8 right. Then I would entertain a motion. 9 9 **BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:** Is there a second? 10 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I'll second. 10 Mr. Chairman? 11 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Mr. Colley. 11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am. 12 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Yes. 12 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I move 13 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: 13 that Jeni Horn, Ryan Frazee, Timothy Kenney, 14 Ms. Marinello. 14 and Kari Hertel be certified to the March 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Abstain. 15 4th, 2008 Primary Election ballot as 16 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Ms. Petree. 16 candidates for member of the Republican 17 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes. 17 County Central Committee from Ward 3, Ward 18 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Chairman 18 59, Reynoldsburg Ward 4, and Dublin Ward 2 19 Anthony. 19 respectively. 20 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 21 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sorry about 21 second? 22 that, Kim. 22 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I'll second. 23 The next group, as we were talking 23 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in 24 about, are the candidates that have --24 favor, say aye.

Page 18 Page 20 1 (Board votes ave unanimously.) And I believe someone is here 1 2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The other 2 representing the Mariachi Mexican Restaurant. 3 two the same. 3 The local option was for 4740 East Main Street in Whitehall. I believe someone is 4 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The other 4 5 5 here representing the petitioner. two are the same way. 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The next 6 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am. 7 7 two are the same, too. How are you? 8 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: I'll entertain a 8 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I'm fine, 9 motion. 9 thank you. Thank you for your time. 10 10 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? I trust you --11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am. 11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Can you give us BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 12 12 your name? 13 Michael Cline be certified to the March 4th, 13 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: My name is 14 2008 Primary Election ballot as a candidate 14 Angela Alexander Savino. I'm counsel for 15 for Democratic County Central Committee from 15 Mariachi and petitioner. She's reminding me I was before you 16 Plain Township. 16 17 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 17 not long ago. 18 second? 18 Thank you for your time, again. 19 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Second. 19 I trust you received the appeal. 20 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in 20 And it looks like, after talking with your 21 favor, say aye. 21 counsel --22 (Board votes aye unanimously.) 22 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Can you 23 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: And then the 23 speak louder, please? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Sure. 24 24 last one. Page 19 Page 21 1 **BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:** 1 It looks like, after talking with 2 Mr. Chairman, I move that Shawn Dingus be 2 your counsel, the issue seems to stem on the 3 certified to the March 4th, 2008 Primary 3 statute 4301.333(B)(3)(a), specifically that 4 Election ballot as a Democratic candidate for 4 in addition to identifying the type of liquor 5 5 Judge of the Court of Common Pleas, General permit on each petition, it should include a 6 Division, with full term commencing July 1st, 6 description of the type of beer or 7 2009. 7 intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each 8 8 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a permit as provided by these sections. 9 9 second? I should mention, I'm assuming you 10 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second. 10 already know, but there was adequate 11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in 11 signatures. 12 favor, say aye. 12 The question came down to what was 13 (Board votes aye unanimously.) 13 considered to be a flaw to the description of DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: That motion 14 14 the liquor permits on the petition. I submit 15 carries. to you that nothing within that statute says 15 16 The next item on the agenda is to 16 where on the petition the description of the 17 consider an appeal of a local option that was 17 type of liquor permits has to be included. 18 previously filed by the Board -- before the 18 On our petition, we did include 19 Board, that the Board denied based on 19 that it's a D-5 and D-6, and that they were a 20 interpretation from both the Secretary of 20 holder of the D-5 and D-6, and they were not 21 State and the Prosecuting Attorney's Office. 21 applying for any other permit. We also 22 I think the appeal was mailed to each of the 22 described that, as a holder of a D-5 and D-6, Board members. I think we do have some 23 23 they were seeking to sell what they could 24 copies, if you need to review it. 24 sell under those permits, beer, wine, mixed

Page 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

beverages, spirituous liquor, on Sunday for on-premise consumption. All the information is on the petition.

The disagreement I think that I am having with some of the interpretation is the -- that there's some who believe it states exactly where that information should be contained on the petition form. There is nothing in the statute that says that. The statute merely says you describe the liquor permits. You describe the type of local option that you are asking voters to support. That has all been done on the petition. Nowhere in the statute does it say on which line in which place on that petition form you need to include it.

In this situation, they're not applying for any new privileges. Clearly, the petition says they are a holder of a D-5, D-6, and it outlines what all those privileges are, and that they're seeking that for Sunday on-premise consumption. So I submit to you that the petition is not flawed. It is complete. It does comply with (No response is heard.)

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Have we had a chance to have an opinion on this?

DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: I think the Prosecuting Attorney's Office has talked with the Secretary of State, and I think they're prepared --

(Interruption in proceedings occurs as reporter's equipment comes unplugged.)

PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: Gretchen Quinn is an elections -- one of the elections attorneys that are in the Secretary of State's office, and I believe that she does a lot of the review of these petitions, or did a lot of these review of these petitions as to form prior to submission to the Ballot Board for the final ballots to be -- for the ballots to be finalized. And she was not -unable to approve a bunch of them as to form because of this issue.

At that time, for the November election, it was left to the discretion of the boards on whether or not they were going to revisit the issues, because all the boards

Page 23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 25

the statute.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 2 Not only is there a concern that 3 there has been a misunderstanding as it 4 relates to this petition, as it relates to 5 the statute, but also to -- to rule 6 otherwise, to continue to not certify this 7 petition, would actually be to the detriment 8 of the Franklin County voters. If it's 9 compliant with the statute, there's been no 10 change in statutory law, there's been no case 11 law to change the interpretation of that 12 statute, and all the information is before 13 the voters for them to make an informed 14 decision, to rule otherwise would be 15 denying -- excuse me -- the citizens on the 16 right to vote on something that they had 17 signed valid and legal petitions for. 18 So I would ask you to reconsider

the petition. I would ask you to reconsider and to certify the petition. And I certainly am willing to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. Are there any questions for her?

1 had already certified the issues to the 2 ballot. 3

Now, in review of the statute in question, 4301.333, the statute specifically (B) -- I'm sorry. (B)(3)(a) specifically states that the type of each -- that the petition shall contain the following: The type of each liquor permit applied for by the applicant, or held by the liquor permit holder as described in other sections of the revised code, including a description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in those sections.

So not only does it say list the type of permit you have, be it D-1, D-4, D-6, et cetera, but say what those permits allow you to do. And in this case, this petition fails to describe that.

Now, this is -- this petition is asking for the sale of beer, wine, mixed beverages, and spirituous liquor, for on-premise consumption between -- on -- for sales on Sunday, between the hours of 10:00

Page 26

a.m. to midnight, and it further goes on to say that the holder -- that the restaurant is a holder of a D-5 and D-6 liquor permit. What's absent there is what the D-5 and D-6 allow you to do. Yes, it may be repetitive to what you're asking for, but for clarity to the voters they know that you already have the permit that would allow you to do this; you're asking for expanded sales, or Sunday sales, in this case.

Based on the what the secretary -the Secretary of State's Office has given us,
this permit, this particular petition, does
not describe what the D-5 or D-6 permit is to
contain, what the D-5 or D-6 permit does, so
it is not informing the voters of what's -what the permits are. The voter is left to
guess, what do they have? What are they
allowed to do now? Am I allowing them to do
more by increasing their -- am I giving them
a new permit? Am I -- what am I doing?

So, I mean, I see the policy behind the section of the statute. Unfortunately, this petition does not comply with it. The part they're seeking is the on-premise
 consumption for Sunday. And that is listed,
 to be seeking the on-premise consumption for
 Sunday.

So I think this can be distinguished, perhaps, from the scenario that your counsel has referred to, because it is not an applicant for a new privilege. They have these permits.

The other issue is this is -- and I'm sure you're well-versed. This is a reinterpretation of existing law. This same language has been adequate, has been sufficient, for both Board of Elections and for Franklin County voters for years. And that's because it does describe what is being sought. They're seeking beer, wine, mixed beverages, and spirituous liquor.

The change with this local option, that's why a 5-R, is to have that on Sunday, and to have it in certain respects on Sunday. And in this case, it's for on-premise during certain hours.

And so the concern is that, here,

Page 27

Page 29

MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may reply?

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: To

distinguish what I think has been described, when it says it doesn't describe that there's additional privileges that are being sought, the petition is pretty clear they're a holder. They're not applying for different permits. They are the holder of the D-5, D-6.

The local option also makes it clear that the only thing they're seeking is the Sunday sales from 10:00 a.m. to midnight of the privileges of the sale of beer. It lists the sale of beer, the sale of wine, the sale of mixed beverages, and spirituous liquor. Those are the things that are permitted to a holder of a D-5, D-6.

In this case, they're not applying for it. It's not as if they're coming and saying, I don't have anything, and so I want these privileges. In this case, they are a holder. They already have the privileges.

without a change in statutory language, without a change in case law, you know, by people who are elected and/or placed into positions to interpret the law, that there is now a change in provisions that have been adequate for both Board of Elections and for both voters, and in fact meets the current statutory requirements.

If you look at what those requirements are, it lists the address. It's been signed by 35 percent of the voters. It lists the types of privileges that are granted. It lists the types of permits. It lists what's been sought. All the premises of the statute are there.

The difference here -- not the difference, but the discrepancy here that I think we're arguing over interpretation, is where it lists it, but the voters still can understand everything from what's before you.

The voter can -- the language on the petition is shall sell beer, wine, mixed beverages, spirituous liquor, for on-premise consumption on Sunday between the hours of

Page 30 1 10:00 and midnight, because they are a holder of a D-5, D-6. It's all there. And it's been clear enough for the voters for years. 4 And I also submit to you that I understood that if's this frourn's ability to be able to go ahead and certify the language if if it meets all the statutory requirements, and I ask that you do that. 9 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a question. 11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. 12 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it says insert, including description of liquor permit, that's the problem? 13 says insert, including description of the permit, that's the problem? 14 problem, including the description of the problem, including the description of the language to problem, including the description of the language in the statute, if you pull the statute, says the type of liquor permit, that's the problem? 15 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem? 16 problem, including the description of the language in the statute, if you pull the statute, says the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales of the various of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of the type of liquor permit, including a description of liquor permit, including a description of liquor permit, including a description of liquor permit, including a descripti
2 of a D-S, D-G. It's all there. And it's 3 been clear enough for the voters for years. 4 And I also submit to you that I 5 understood that it's this forum's ability to 5 be able to go ahead and certify the language 6 if it meets all the statutory requirements, 8 and I ask that you do that. 9 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a 10 question. 11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. 12 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it says insert, including description of liquor 13 says insert, including description of liquor 14 permit, that's the problem? 15 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the 16 problem, including the description of the 17 liquor permit. 18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is 19 black and white. 19 looking at this, does the average voter know 21 what a D-5 or D-6 is? 22 what a D-5 or D-6 is? 23 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 24 didn't — no, not at all. Page 31 1 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's — 24 that's what's the statutory language. 3 And I understand the argument 4 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 4 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 6 always done it this way, well, 20 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 21 the past, and this is the interpretation 22 that—and actually, it's a correct 23 interpretation. It's actually not an 24 interpretation. It's actually not an 25 interpretation, it's a fall-out application 26 of 33(3)(3), 31 of 33(3)(3), 32 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 34 to the summarization that it's correct 35 of the summarization that it's correct 36 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Please. I 37 that — and actually, it's a correct 38 of MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I of description of liquor permit. D-S, of description of liquor permit, but it is any 39 the past, and this is the interpretation 40 that—and actually, it's a correct 41 the past, and this is the interpretation 42 that — and actually, it's a correct 43 that — and actually it's a correct 44 that — and actually it's a correct 45 that — and actually it's a correct 46 But the other thing is you're 47 reading the form, the petition form. That
2 of a D-S, D-G. It's all there, And it's 3 been clear enough for the voters for years. 4 And I also submit to you that I 5 understood that it's this forum's ability to 6 be able to go ahead and certify the language 7 if it meets all the statutory requirements, 8 and I ask that you do that. 9 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a 10 question. 11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. 12 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it says insert, including description of liquor 13 reprollen, including the description of the problem, including the description of the low problem, including the description of the low problem, including the description of the low promit. 18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is black and white. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, looking at this, does the average voter know what a D-5 or D-6 is? 20 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's — that's what's the statutory language. 3 And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yee, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation of the lot that — and actually, it's a correct linterpretation. It's actually not an interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation, it's a falt-out application of the stutte, if you pull the statute, sys they by the leghor permit held by the liquor permit held and it is the statute, sys they be of liquor permit, and it held by the liquor permit held by the liquor permit held and it is the story a description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in those sections. 10 the secretary of State goes to the extent of put a description on there? 11 that's held by the liquor permit held by the liquor permit held in the form, insert, include a description on there? 12
been clear enough for the voters for years. And I also submit to you that I understood that it's this form's ability to be able to go ahead and certify the language if it meets all the stautory requirements, and I ask that you do that. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a guestion. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it says insert, including acscription of liquor permit, that's the problem? PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem, including the description of the past and this is the interpretation, but the issue of we've alway done it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation. It's a correct interpretation; it's a flat-out application of the surface interpretation; it's a flat-out application of the testuntary requirement. you pull the statute, says the type of liquor permit applied for by the applicant or held by the the liquor permit holder as described. And it gives the code sections of the various permit, applied for by the applicant or held by the liquor permit applied for by the applicant or held by the liquor permit applied for by the applicant or held by the liquor permit applied for by the application of the liquor permit applied for by the application of the liquor permit applied for by the application of the liquor permit applied for by the application of the liquor permit applied for by the applied for by the application of the liquor permit applied for by the application of the liquor permit applied for by the applied fo
And I also submit to you that I be able to go ahead and certify the language if it meets all the statutory requirements, and I ask that you do that. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a question. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it says insert, including description of liquor permit, that's the problem? PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is black and white. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, looking at this, does the average voter know what a D-5 or D-6 is? BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I didn't – no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's — that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a laways done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the peast, and this is the interpretation. It interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object to the summarization that it's correct. But the other thing is you'r reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I's not a permit applied for by the applicant or held by the liquor permit holder as description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales of the various permits, including a description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales of the various permits, including a description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales of the various permits, including a description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales of bear or intoxicating liquor sales of the various permits, including a description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales intoxically in the savural preside
by the liquor permit holder as described. And it gives the code sections of the various permits, including a description of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the post interpretation, but the issue of we've and this is the interpretation; it's a flat-out application of the surface and this is the interpretation; it's a flat-out application of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each permit as provided in the subtorized by each permit as provided in the top of beer or intoxicating liquor permit authorized by each permit as provided in the subtorized by each permit approvided in the subtor
6 be able to go ahead and certify the language 7 if it meets all the statutory requirements, 8 and I ask that you do that. 9 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a question. 10 question. 11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. 12 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it 13 says insert, including description of liquor 14 permit, that's the problem? 15 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the 16 problem, including the description of the 16 liquor permit. 18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is 19 black and white. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, 10 problem, including the description of the 11 liquor permit. 19 black and white. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, 20 processed and 1
if it meets all the statutory requirements, and I ask that you do that. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a question. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it says insert, including description of liquor permit, that's the problem? PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem, including the description of the liquor permit. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, looking at this, does the average voter know 21 didn't – no, not at all. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's — that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, it's a flat-out application the past, and this is the interpretation. It's a flat-out application interpretation; it's a flat-out application of the stype of beer or intoxicating liquor sales and whore zeech permit as provided in those sections. It does not have a line saying, insert it here. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, right. This form is prescribed by the Secretary of State's Office. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Mm-hmm. I understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a page 33 description of your liquor permit. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's — that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument data's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that — and actually, it's a correct interpretation; it's a flat-out application of the type of beer or intoxicating liquor selections. It does not have a line saying. This fit mean all thoses sections. It does not have a line saying. It does not have a line saying. It does not have a line saying. It d
and I ask that you do that. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a guestion. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it assystement, including description of liquor permit, that's the problem? PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is be lack and white. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, looking at this, does the average voter know at a D-5 or D-6 is? BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I addin't no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a laway sone it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the pestagan of 33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Medidincluded include a description there. We included include a description there. We included include a description that and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's a fat-out application of the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, right. This form is prescribed by the Secretary of State's Office. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Medidin't and the secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of there; why would she tell you to put a description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Please. I want to understand this. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe the type of liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description of liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description of liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description of liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description of liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include
9 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I have a 10 question. 11 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. 12 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it 13 says insert, including description of liquor 15 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the 16 problem, including the description of the 17 liquor permit. 18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is 19 black and white. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, 10 looking at this, does the average voter know 21 looking at this, does the average voter know 22 what a D-5 or D-6 is? 23 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. 1 24 didn't no, not at all. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's 25 that's what's the statutory language. 26 always done it this way, therefore, we should 27 continue to do it this way, well, 28 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 29 the past, and this is the interpretation 20 that and actually, it's a correct 21 interpretation: It's a flat-out application 21 interpretation: It's a flat-out application 22 to the statutory requirement. 23 of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Me did include a description of rout liquor permit. 29 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Please. I 29 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. 11 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. 12 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, that answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, that answers that question of liquor permit. 29 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Help D-5, D-6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 DE
those sections. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it tags ays insert, including description of liquor permit, that's the problem? PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is be problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is be problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is beak and white. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, looking at this, does the average voter know at a D-5 or D-6 is? BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I and idn't — no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's — that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument at that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be allow a look it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, therefore, we should interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Me did it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Mell, right. This form is prescribed by the Secretary of State's Office. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Mm-hmm. I understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a she wouldn't want you to put a description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that description there. Wincluded it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I hards — DEPUT
BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it says insert, including description of liquor permit, that's the problem? PROSECUTOR PICCININI: That's the problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is black and white. PROSECUTOR PICCININI: I mean, 20 permit, down the according to the statutory language. PROSECUTOR PICCININI: I mean, 21 looking at this, does the average voter know what a D-5 or D-6 is? 22 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 23 didn't no, not at all. PROSECUTOR PICCININI: That's that's what's the statutory language. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, well, and with the past, and this is the interpretation that and actually, it's a correct interpretation: It's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Medid include a description on there? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, right. This form is prescribed by the Secretary of State's Office. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Mm-hmm. I understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of there on the form, insert, include description of there on the form, insert, include description of the understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, This form is prescribed by the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That
BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Because it says insert, including description of liquor permit, that's the problem? PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is black and white. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, 20 putting right there on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a may so done it this way, well, and a new interpretation, but the issue of we've a laways done it this way, well, and which are unifortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Mrn-hmm. I understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, right. This form is prescribed by the Secretary of State's Office. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Mrn-hmm. I understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of there, wouldn't want you to put a description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that ques
permit, that's the problem? PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is black and white. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, looking at this, does the average voter know what a D-5 or D-6 is? BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, therefore, we should routinue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation the past, and this is the interpretation of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Me did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe the type of liquor permit. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 14 right. This form is prescribed by the Secretary of State's Office. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Mm-hmm. I understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the Secretary of State's Office. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Menthere on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, DESCRIPTION of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 may be a liquor permit, but is ays description of liquor permit. Page 33 The prosecution of liquor permit. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 14 right. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Menthere on the form, insert, include description of the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of the extent of putt
PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's the problem, including the description of the liquor permit. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is black and white. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, looking at this, does the average voter know what a D-5 or D-6 is? BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 23 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 24 didn't - no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's 24 that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument 4 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 5 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Memhmm. I understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Page 31 Page 31 Page 33 descretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of there, wouldn't want you to put a description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included its a De-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6. DEPUTY D
16 problem, including the description of the liquor permit. 17 liquor permit. 18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is 19 black and white. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, 20 putting right here on the form, insert, 21 looking at this, does the average voter know 21 what a D-5 or D-6 is? 22 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 23 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 24 didn't no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's 24 that's what's the statutory language. 3 And I understand the argument 4 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 5 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 6 always done it this way, therefore, we should 7 continue to do it this way, well, 8 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 24 that and actually, it's a correct 25 to the axt. Alexander Savino: Marinet and actually, it's a correct 26 interpretation. It's actually not an 27 interpretation. It's actually not an 28 interpretation; it's a flat-out application 29 display of 33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Menhmm. I 20 understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 16 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Menhmm. I 21 understand that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the 26 putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a description on there, why woulds he tell you to put a 2 description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, 2 Commissioner? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, 2 D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers 1 that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 may be a liquor permit. but it says description of liquor permit. Page 33 description of liquor permit. Page 34 description of liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that question. If she put describe the type of liquor perm
17 liquor permit. 18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is 19 black and white. 20 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, 21 looking at this, does the average voter know 22 what a D-5 or D-6 is? 23 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 24 didn't no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's 25 that's what's the statutory language. 31 And I understand the argument 42 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 43 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 44 always done it this way, well, 55 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 66 always done it this way, well, 67 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 69 the past, and this is the interpretation 10 that and actually, it's a correct 11 interpretation. It's actually not an 12 interpretation; it's a flat-out application 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 14 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 15 to the summarization that it's correct. 16 But the other thing is you're 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 understand that. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the 20 putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. Now, if the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a description on there? 21 they would she tell you to put a 22 description on there? 22 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, 33 Cammissioner? 4 want to understand this. 4 Want to understand this. 6 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included include a description there. We included include a description of lequor permit. 10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, 10 D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. 11 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers 11 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a liquor permit, but it says 12 description of liquor permit. 13 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 14 moderstand that. 15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 17 materian that. 18 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHI
18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That is 19 black and white. 20 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, 21 looking at this, does the average voter know 22 what a D-5 or D-6 is? 23 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 24 didn't no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's 25 that's what's the statutory language. 3 And I understand the argument 4 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 5 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 6 always done it this way, therefore, we should 7 continue to do it this way, well, 8 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 9 the past, and this is the interpretation 10 that and actually, it's a correct 11 interpretation. It's actually not an 12 interpretation. It's actually not an 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 14 to the summarization that it's correct. 15 but the other thing is you're 16 But the other thing is you're 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory palment. 20 putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. 10 peruity of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of your liquor permit. 10 Now, if the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a description on there? 22 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, 24 description on there? 25 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. 30 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, 33 (B)(3)(A). 31 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers to the summarization that it's correct. 32 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 may be a liquor permit. but it says description of liquor permit. 32 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a putting right here on the form, insert, include description of liquor permit. 34 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a putting right here on the form, include description of liquor permit. 35 DePUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a putting right here on the f
19 black and white. 20 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, 21 looking at this, does the average voter know 22 what a D-5 or D-6 is? 23 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 24 didn't no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's 25 that's what's the statutory language. 3 And I understand the argument 4 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 5 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 6 always done it this way, therefore, we should 7 continue to do it this way, well, 8 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 9 the past, and this is the interpretation 10 that and actually, it's a correct 11 interpretation. It's actually not an 12 interpretation. It's actually not an 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that 14 misterpretation: it's a flat-out application 15 of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 16 but the other thing is you're 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 19 Secretary of State goes to the extent of putting right here on the form, insert, include description of tpour liquor permit. 20 Now, if the Secretary of State wouldn't want you to put a Page 33 Page 33 Page 33 description on there? 22 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, 23 Commissioner? 4 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Please. I 24 Wash to understand this. 4 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Please. I 25 WMS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describe the liquor permit. That answers of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 may be a liquor permit. 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: DEScription of liquor permit. 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 17 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But the describe the type of liquor permit. 18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: DEScription of liquor permit. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I mean, looking at this, does the average voter know what a D-5 or D-6 is? BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I didn't no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, therefore, we should rontinue to do it this is the interpretation that is is the interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation. It's actually not an logical interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object to the summarization that it's correct. But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a
21 looking at this, does the average voter know what a D-5 or D-6 is? 22 what a D-5 or D-6 is? 23 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 24 didn't no, not at all. 24 Page 31 25 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's 2 that's what's the statutory language. 26 And I understand the argument 4 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 5 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 6 always done it this way, therefore, we should 7 continue to do it this way, well, 8 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 9 the past, and this is the interpretation 10 that and actually, it's a correct 11 interpretation; it's a flat-out application 12 include a describe your liquor permit. 20 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? 21 Want to understand this. 22 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? 23 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. 24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. 25 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. 26 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. 27 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. 28 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object to the summarization that it's correct. 29 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 12 DESCUTTOR PICCININNI: If I may be a liquor permit. 20 DESCUTTOR PICCININNI: If I may be a liquor permit. 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
what a D-5 or D-6 is? BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I 24 didn't no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument 4 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 5 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 6 always done it this way, therefore, we should 7 continue to do it this way, well, 8 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 9 the past, and this is the interpretation 12 interpretation. It's actually not an 12 interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. That answers 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 14 to the summarization that it's correct 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit, but it says 18 not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. I didn't no, not at all. Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, well, the past, and this is the interpretation that ir- and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation. It's a flat-out application of there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there, why would she tell you to put a description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Please. I want to understand this. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included include a description there. We include a description there. We included include a description there. We included include a description there. We included includ
Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers to the summarization that it's correct. But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, Want to understand this. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. DESCRIPTION OF IT may, Commissioner? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. DESCRIPTION OF IT may, Tommissioner? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a
Page 31 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a Page 33 description on there? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
1 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's 2 that's what's the statutory language. 3 And I understand the argument 4 that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be 5 a new interpretation, but the issue of we've 6 always done it this way, therefore, we should 7 continue to do it this way, well, 8 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 9 the past, and this is the interpretation 10 that and actually, it's a correct 11 interpretation. It's actually not an 12 interpretation; it's a flat-out application 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). 14 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Me did 15 a DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, 16 D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. 17 Interpretation; it's a flat-out application 18 describes the liquor permit. That answers 19 of .33(B)(3)(A). 10 description on there? 10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, 11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, 12 Describes the liquor permit. That answers 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). 14 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 15 to the summarization that it's correct. 16 But the other thing is you're 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that — and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: If I may, Commissioner? want to understand this. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did miclude a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
that's what's the statutory language. And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, a unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that — and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that classified by the past, and this is the interpretation interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that classified by the past, and this is the interpretation interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that classified by the past, and this is the interpretation interpretation; it's a flat-out application classified by the past, and this is the interpretation classified a description there. But that — and actually, it's a correct classified by the past, and this. But that classified a description there. Classified a description there
And I understand the argument that's being made. I mean, yes, this may be a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, minortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a Commissioner? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Please. I DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a
a new interpretation, but the issue of we've always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object to the summarization that it's correct. But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a want to understand this. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included include a description there. We include a description there. We included include a description there. We included include a description there. We included include a description there. We include a description there. BEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
always done it this way, therefore, we should continue to do it this way, well, unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that and actually, it's a correct interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: We did include a description there. We included it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a
7 continue to do it this way, well, 8 unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in 9 the past, and this is the interpretation 10 that and actually, it's a correct 11 interpretation. It's actually not an 12 interpretation; it's a flat-out application 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). 14 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 15 to the summarization that it's correct. 16 But the other thing is you're 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We included 18 it's a D-5, D-6. 9 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, 10 D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. 11 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that 12 describes the liquor permit. That answers 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). 13 that question. If she put describe the type 14 of liquor permit, we did put it there. 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: 16 Description of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 17 may be a liquor permit, but it says 18 description of liquor permit. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
unfortunately maybe shortcuts were taken in the past, and this is the interpretation that and actually, it's a correct tinterpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object to the summarization that it's correct. But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a it's a D-5, D-6. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, Describes the liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
the past, and this is the interpretation that and actually, it's a correct tinterpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The D-5, D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
that and actually, it's a correct 10 D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. 11 interpretation. It's actually not an 12 interpretation; it's a flat-out application 13 of .33(B)(3)(A). 14 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that 15 to the summarization that it's correct. 16 But the other thing is you're 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that 10 D-6 doesn't describe your liquor permit. 11 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that 12 describes the liquor permit. That answers 13 that question. If she put describe the type 14 of liquor permit, we did put it there. 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: 16 Description of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 17 may be a liquor permit, but it says 18 description of liquor permit. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
interpretation. It's actually not an interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. BUARD MEMBER MARINELLO: But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: But that describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
interpretation; it's a flat-out application of .33(B)(3)(A). MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object to the summarization that it's correct. But the other thing is you're reading the form, the petition form. That is not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 12 describes the liquor permit. That answers that question. If she put describe the type of liquor permit, we did put it there. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Description of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 may be a liquor permit, but it says description of liquor permit. PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
of .33(B)(3)(A). 13 that question. If she put describe the type 14 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 15 to the summarization that it's correct. 16 But the other thing is you're 16 Preading the form, the petition form. That is 17 not the statutory requirement. 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 13 that question. If she put describe the type 14 of liquor permit, we did put it there. 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: 16 Description of liquor permit, but it says 18 description of liquor permit. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
14 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I object 15 to the summarization that it's correct. 16 But the other thing is you're 16 Preading the form, the petition form. That is 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 14 of liquor permit, we did put it there. 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: 16 Description of liquor permit, but it says 18 description of liquor permit. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
15 to the summarization that it's correct. 16 But the other thing is you're 16 Description of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 15 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: 16 Description of liquor permit, but it says 18 description of liquor permit. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
But the other thing is you're 16 Description of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 16 Description of liquor permit. D-5 and D-6 17 may be a liquor permit, but it says 18 description of liquor permit. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
17 reading the form, the petition form. That is 18 not the statutory requirement. 19 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 17 may be a liquor permit, but it says 18 description of liquor permit. 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
 not the statutory requirement. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It's not a 19 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: If I may
requirement when it says insert description 20 for the record
21 of the liquor permit? 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Correct me
MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: No. 22 if I'm wrong.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Why would 23 PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: No. If I
we put it on there if it's not a requirement? 24 may, for the record, for the record, I'm

		T	
	Page 34		Page 36
1	going to we're actually going to read the	1	that she's thinking about.
2	entire section into the record here.	2	However, where the Secretary of
3	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: But has the	3	State told our Board not to operate that way.
4	Secretary of State recommended to us to deny	4	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Right.
5	this from being on the ballot?	5	And there's still an opportunity
6	PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: That's	6	for this petitioner to appeal this Board's
7	that's our that's what we're reading the	7	decision, not to the Board, but to take it
8	e-mails, the information we received from the	8	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: To court.
9	Secretary prior to the November election.	9	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: into the
10	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Because	10	court system, at which time the court may
11	they haven't met her requirements for this	11	have an entirely different
12	form?	12	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Opinion
13	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: They're not	13	than the Secretary of State.
14	saying this specific one. They sent out an	14	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: opinion
15	e-mail last fall when all the county boards	15	than the Secretary of State's interpretation
16	of elections began submitting their	16	or application of the law.
17	PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: Local	17	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: But I would
18	options.	18	think our Board needs to follow the
19	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: ballot	19	directions of the Secretary of State's
20	language for all the ballot issues, including	20	office. It would be like us not following
21	local options, and said at that time	21	one of their directives, and you're in
22	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: A	22	violation of and you need to do what the
23	description has to be in there.	23	Secretary of State's Office tells you.
24	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: the	24	PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: I won't
	Page 35		Page 37
1	description of what a	1	speak to that issue.
2	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: D-5 and	2	What I will speak to is what the
3	D-6.	3	law actually says.
4	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: D-5 means	4	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Okay.
5	has to be in there.	5	PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: And for the
6	Now, what they did what they	6	record, this is what the statute actually
7	said last year was because that their	7	says:
8	application of the law at that time was	8	I'm sorry.
1 ~	different than from what most boards had done	۵	
9		9	Okay. This is section 4301.333,
9 10	previously.	10	
1		1	Okay. This is section 4301.333, local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the
10	previously.	10	local option petition contesting a particular
10 11	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right.	10 11	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the
10 11 12	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after	10 11 12	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of
10 11 12 13	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state	10 11 12 13	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35
10 11 12 13 14	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after	10 11 12 13 14	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35 percent of the total number of votes cast in
10 11 12 13 14 15	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after that kind of that guidance came after the	10 11 12 13 14 15	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35 percent of the total number of votes cast in the precinct to the officer of governor at
10 11 12 13 14 15	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after that kind of that guidance came after the fact to put issues on the ballot last year,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35 percent of the total number of votes cast in the precinct to the officer of governor at the preceding general election for that
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after that kind of that guidance came after the fact to put issues on the ballot last year, but we've been following that, that	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35 percent of the total number of votes cast in the precinct to the officer of governor at the preceding general election for that office, and shall contain all of the
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after that kind of that guidance came after the fact to put issues on the ballot last year, but we've been following that, that application of the law, since then. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So we can have empathy for this petitioner.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35 percent of the total number of votes cast in the precinct to the officer of governor at the preceding general election for that office, and shall contain all of the following.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after that kind of that guidance came after the fact to put issues on the ballot last year, but we've been following that, that application of the law, since then. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So we can have empathy for this petitioner. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: I have great	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35 percent of the total number of votes cast in the precinct to the officer of governor at the preceding general election for that office, and shall contain all of the following. And then, you go down to (3)(a), the type of each liquor permit applied for by the applicant or held by the liquor permit
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after that kind of that guidance came after the fact to put issues on the ballot last year, but we've been following that, that application of the law, since then. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So we can have empathy for this petitioner. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: I have great empathy for her.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35 percent of the total number of votes cast in the precinct to the officer of governor at the preceding general election for that office, and shall contain all of the following. And then, you go down to (3)(a), the type of each liquor permit applied for by the applicant or held by the liquor permit holder as described in sections 4303.11 to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	previously. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: This Board and a lot of other boards across the state used their discretion, because it was after that kind of that guidance came after the fact to put issues on the ballot last year, but we've been following that, that application of the law, since then. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So we can have empathy for this petitioner. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: I have great	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	local option petition contesting a particular location. (B), section (B), says the petition shall be signed by the electors of a precinct equal in number to at least 35 percent of the total number of votes cast in the precinct to the officer of governor at the preceding general election for that office, and shall contain all of the following. And then, you go down to (3)(a), the type of each liquor permit applied for by the applicant or held by the liquor permit

	***************************************	T	
	Page 38		Page 40
1	intoxicating liquor sales authorized by each	1	PROSECUTOR PICCININNI:
2	permit as provided in those sections.	2	Prosecutor's position.
3	So the D-5 or D-6 is the type of	3	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The
4	permit that this applicant currently holds.	4	Assistant Prosecuting Attorney's position.
5	Then, it requires a description of what the	5	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Well, I just
6	D-5 or D-6 is what it does. And that's	6	got I think there's I think you ought
7	the that's the reading of the statute.	7	to pursue it, because it puts us in kind of a
8	That's the way the language is written.	8	precarious position as as a Board.
9	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: And it's	9	This is the Secretary of State's
10	clear on there.	10	form. They have sent us e-mails about how
11	PROSECUTOR PICCININNI: It it's	11	they wanted it done.
12	clear. So it says put the type down. That's	12	For me, I don't know why they don't
13	the D-5 or D-6. And then it's our	13	include the description, if they want it,
14	interpretation that you describe what the D-5	14	include all the nomenclatures for it, that it
15	and D-6 is. That's what's missing in this	15	just I think there is some confusion on
16	petition.	16	it. I mean, I kind of agree with you, but,
17	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any comment?	17	you know, I'm in a position where I think if
18	MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And I	18	the Secretary of State has given us direction
19	submit it all is there. It might not be on	19	that we have to follow that, that direction.
20	that line.	20	So the will of the Board is to
21	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am.	21	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Do
22	MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I submit	22	nothing.
23	that all of that's there. The difference is,	23	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: do nothing.
24	as I said before, it's not on the line, the	24	And then
	Page 39		Page 41
1	one line that you're referring to, on the	1	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: If if
1 2	one line that you're referring to, on the line that your counsel is saying that he	1 2	
	line that you're referring to, on the line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there.	1	someone if they were to put this issue on
2	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there.	2	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second,
2	line that your counsel is saying that he	2	someone if they were to put this issue on
2 3 4	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other	2 3 4	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the
2 3 4 5	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel?	2 3 4 5	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board.
2 3 4 5 6	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has	2 3 4 5	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action.
2 3 4 5 6 7	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied?	2 3 4 5 6 7	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, he said the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Right. To DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We need a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, he said the court would not be binded.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Right. To DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We need a motion to certify that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, he said the court would not be binded. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I'm not a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Right. To DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We need a motion to certify that. My recommendation would follow the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, he said the court would not be binded. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I'm not a lawyer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Right. To DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We need a motion to certify that. My recommendation would follow the Secretary of State's position.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, he said the court would not be binded. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I'm not a lawyer. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: He's not a lawyer. So, anyway, so, ma'am, what's going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Right. To DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We need a motion to certify that. My recommendation would follow the Secretary of State's position. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, he said the court would not be binded. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I'm not a lawyer. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: He's not a lawyer. So, anyway, so, ma'am, what's going to happen is we're not going to act on it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Right. To DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We need a motion to certify that. My recommendation would follow the Secretary of State's position. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, he said the court would not be binded. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I'm not a lawyer. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: He's not a lawyer. So, anyway, so, ma'am, what's going to happen is we're not going to act on it. MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	line that your counsel is saying that he wishes it was on there. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any other questions for counsel? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: This has already been denied? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The Board did not certify this to the ballot at its previous meeting. So if DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So if we don't want to certify it, it can do nothing. Then, will the next thing on the agenda be to certify that? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Right. To DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We need a motion to certify that. My recommendation would follow the Secretary of State's position. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	someone if they were to put this issue on the ballot would require a motion, a second, and an affirmative majority vote of the Board. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: And no action. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And as a director, she could go to court, if she chooses to. And the court is not binded. The Secretary of State MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: I can't hear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Oh, he said the court would not be binded. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I'm not a lawyer. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: He's not a lawyer. So, anyway, so, ma'am, what's going to happen is we're not going to act on it.

Page 42 Page 44 1 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: And that's is an issue of interpreting what is it that 1 2 been consensus? 2 they're asking us to do. And our thoughts 3 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. Well, yes. 3 are with you on that one. 4 4 I don't think you take a vote. We also are very interested in 5 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Is there 5 addressing the many problems with the voting 6 a -- why don't you call for a motion, and if 6 machines in Ohio. And we know that there are 7 a Board member wants to make a motion. 7 big problems with the machines. I personally 8 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 8 was involved in the audit for the Squire v. 9 9 motion to --Geer case, and audited 25 percent of your 10 10 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Certify the records, and I saw that it's a mess. And 11 petition. 11 Karen Cotton from the Franklin County Board 12 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: To certify this 12 of Elections admitted in court that she 13 local option to the March 4th Primary Ballot? 13 couldn't balance your books here. So there 14 (No response is heard.) are things that need a lot of improvement, 14 15 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Hearing no 15 and that's something we want to help you 16 motion, we're going to vow issue dead. 16 with. 17 MS. ALEXANDER SAVINO: Thank you. 17 In bringing that forward to today 18 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you so 18 in the paper ballot issue, and just playing 19 much. 19 with the math, from what was in an NBC report 20 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Now, we turn 20 that I was sent, the number in this says 21 to a discussion of Secretary of State 21 you're needing to spend about 200,000 dollars 22 Directive 2008-01, which I think all of the 22 on ballots. 23 Board members have received a copy of. If 23 The total voter registration, as of 24 not, I think we have a couple floating around this morning, the number that we were given 24 Page 45 Page 43 1 here. It's in your packet. 1 was 7,072 -- 772 -- 772,114. Let me just say 2 2 I believe there are some that number again, as I botched it: 772,114 individuals who would like to address the 3 3 registered voters for Franklin County. 4 Board on the matter of voting machines and 4 In doing the math, if you're 5 security and paper ballots. Now would 5 looking at spending 200,000 dollars, which is 6 probably be a good time to allow them to 6 what's in this -- this article refers to --7 7 address the Board, if the Board so chooses. it actually said about 180,000 dollars, but CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there anyone 8 8 if we round it up to 200,000, that's 23 cents 9 out there who would like to address it? 9 per voter that it would cost you to have 10 10 Come forward. Give us your name paper ballots in place. 11 and where you're from. 11 I don't know, if their numbers are 12 MS. SHAFFER: I'm Paddy Shaffer. 12 right, how that's going to correspond to the 13 and I'm the Director of the Ohio Election 13 totals that you -- what you decide. It's a 14 Justice Campaign. And we've seen just a few 14 number that we want to hear, though, when you 15 comments from the media coming in on that 15 decide how many ballots you need, what the 16 there are issues about you having paper 16 cost for them is, and how much does it work 17 ballots available, how many ballots, the 17 out to per voter. 18 18 Secretary of State's directive not being Because our concerns with the 19 clear, how many to interpret, how many you 19 machines are high, and if it is something in 20 20 the realm of 26 cents a voter to have paper 21 21 We've read the directive. We also ballots in place, we feel that it is worth 22 find it confusing. And we wish it was 22 the investment. clearer for all 88 boards of elections to 23 23 Thank you. 24 24 understand. So we do understand that there DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Can I ask a

			13 (Pages 46 to 49)
	Page 46		Page 48
1	couple questions, Mr. Chairman?	1	have confidence in electronic machines that
2	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you so	2	are certified by her office. You don't have
3	much.	3	confidence in them, don't certify them.
4	Yes, you can.	4	MS. SHAFFER: Thank you.
5	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Could you	5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
6	give us a number what you folks think we	6	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Okay. Now,
7	should print?	7	I'm not saying decertify them.
8	Jennifer says print 10 percent on a	8	MS. SHAFFER: That's what I'm
9	like election, which would be about, what,	9	saying.
10	Matt, 20,000, Jennifer's 10 percent?	10	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Okay.
11	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: If we did	11	However, how many votes did Jennifer get in
12	10 percent off of the 2004 Primary Election,	12	the last General Election here?
13	the public count, total ballots cast in 2004,	13	Couple hundred thousand?
14	was 197,073.	14	So she's got a couple hundred
15	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So 10	15	thousand people out there to support here in
16	percent of that would be about	16	the changes she wants to bring. We could
17	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: A little	17	have 200,000 people requesting paper ballots
18	under 20,000. 19,070.	18	on election day.
19	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: a little	19	So that's what I was trying to get
20	under 20,000 ballots. Okay. Which	20	a feel from what you folks what you think the
21	MS. SHAFFER: We don't think	21	number should be.
22	that's enough. I can tell you right off the	22	And the Board has a tough decision
23	top.	23	to make here today to figure out, because we
24	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I mean,	24	don't want to run out of ballots.
	Page 47		Page 49
1	that's Matt's concern. Okay.	1	MS. SHAFFER: No, you don't.
2	MS. SHAFFER: Yeah. That would	2	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Because
3	not be enough.	3	we'll be the bad people, not you, not
4	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: However, I	4	Jennifer.
5	got commissioners who have fiscal	5	MS. SHAFFER: No.
6	responsibility for the county that are	6	And the view of the voter on the
7	concerned about us printing the statutory	7	election boards in the state of Ohio needs to
8	requirements under another section, if you go	8	be raised quickly, drastically. The fact
9	all paper ballots. Which would be 772 plus,	9	that Ohio is the laughingstock of this
10	what, one percent?	10	country is not good. I mean, I had a friend
11	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: It would	11	contact me recently with problems with the
12	be	12	New York election, and he said, when he went
13	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So and	13	in and addressed it, he told them they won't
14	that's why we're having a real	14	even let them do this in Ohio. I mean,
15	MS. SHAFFER: Yeah. It's a	15	that's sad.
16	quandary.	16	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So back to
17	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: problem	17	my original question
18	deciding what do we print.	18	MS. SHAFFER: So but your
19	MS. SHAFFER: The directive is	19	question here with it
20	very hard to understand.	20	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: What do you
21	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I was	21	think we need?
22	telling the Board members, I mean, I got the	22	MS. SHAFFER: I would love to see
122	Secretary of State saying she's going to	23	the machines gone and paper ballots for
23 24	request a paper ballot, because she doesn't	24	everyone. And I know we're not going there

Page 50 Page 52 this time. speak, and let her explain to the whole state 1 2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: But this --2 what's in front of you. With paper ballots 3 yeah. This election right now, the Secretary 3 coming, you have access to an expert in the 4 of State has not decertified our electronic 4 field. She's a retired attorney. She knows 5 5 machines. She said also put in paper her stuff. 6 ballots. 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, I 7 7 So what does your organization appreciate her wanting to do that, but what 8 8 think we need? is the pressing demand for my Board members, 9 9 they have to vote on today to decide --What is your recommendation? 10 MS. SHAFFER: We did not discuss 10 MS. SHAFFER: I know. 11 this as a group to give you a number on that. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: -- how many 11 12 I was not prepared for that today. Coming 12 paper ballots do we put out along with our 13 from me --13 electronic voting machines that have been 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, we 14 certified by the Secretary of State, that 15 have to vote today. 15 work. That's where I need your help. 16 MS. SHAFFER: I would say 100 MS. SHAFFER: Coming from me 16 17 personally, though, I would like to see 17 percent. 18 enough paper ballots for everyone, and I 18 And I think those machines need 19 would like to see the money raised to pay for 19 decertified, and I think the State of Ohio 20 them, because you simply don't deliver the 20 needs to do lawsuits for a recall. 21 voting machines or need the technicians. You 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, we 22 would save money. 22 can't decertify machines. Only the Secretary of State can decertify them. 23 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So you want 23 MS. SHAFFER: I know. But in --24 24 to see about 800,000 ballots printed. Page 51 Page 53 1 maybe the counties could do it individually, MS. SHAFFER: I would love to have 2 2 but a recall and a refund. that. Keep the machines in the warehouse, 3 and use that money that you would use to 3 And know that we've already 4 deliver them, technicians, and all that 4 delivered product liability lawsuits on 5 5 November 30th to the Governor, the Secretary stuff, and let's go to paper right now. 6 6 I also understand from talking to of State, the Attorney General, and the State 7 Jo Anne Karasek today about this issue, she 7 Senate to the Controlling Board. They have 8 is the statewide coordinator for the 8 sample lawsuits that if they took that 9 9 Hand-Counted Paper Ballot Initiative, and action, they've got a template to work from. 10 when I talked to her about that she had 10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And I 11 concern on me even bringing that up, that the 11 understand all that stuff is going on, but 12 voting machines stay in the warehouses and 12 that's out of my Board members' hands. 13 13 MS. SHAFFER: That's out of it? aren't delivered, because it's her estimate 14 you guys would need three months to do it. 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: My Board 15 15 And she's very happy to explain to you what members' job right now is to figure out how 16 needs done. She's looked at it extensively. 16 many ballots do we put out on this election 17 She's also talked to Matt about 17 coming up less than a month and a half away. 18 having a table next week at the Ohio 18 MS. SHAFFER: Well, it's all part 19 Association of Election Officials meeting, 19 of it, but it's all something, for the line 20 and was told it would be 500 dollars. I 20 of work you're in, you should all be aware of 21 21 think it would be the best investment that and where do we go.

22

23

And with the problems with the

machines, does Ohio step to the front of the

line for a refund, or are we at the back of

22

23

24

the O -- OAEO could ever do if you, rather

than charged her, if you welcomed her in,

provided her with a table, allowed her to

Page 54 Page 56 1 the line when there's no money left to ask 1 my name is Leonard Samuelson, live in 2 2 Clintonville, and I'm actually representing 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I think you 3 myself as a software engineer. Mostly, I'm 4 need to direct that to the Secretary of coming to talk about the machines, and, you 4 5 5 know, to describe where we're coming from. State. 6 MS. SHAFFER: And it's 6 My 30-year career has been spent 7 7 something -- we have, we will, and we building embedded computer systems intended continue to, but it greatly affects what you 8 8 to control real hardware. Currently, my 9 9 software is flying unmanned aerial vehicles 10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: But we 10 for a company in Dublin. All right. I've 11 appreciate you coming in and wanting to help worked in Bell labs. I've worked in systems 11 12 doing air -- aircraft cockpit flight control 12 13 MS. SHAFFER: We do. 13 systems. 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Because we 14 To the best -- based on the study 15 do need help. We do. that I have done of these machines, they are 15 16 MS. SHAFFER: We do. not built according to any of the common, 16 17 And that is not the only directive 17 well-understood standards of real-time 18 that's a mess. The directive on recounts, systems design. We need to make sure that 18 19 that the recounts for Ohio are no longer 19 whatever systems we do use are well-audited, 20 random, is an absolute disaster. 20 well-managed, and well-written. 21 Also, that the BOE's in every 21 And what I'm asking for here, I'm 22 county already know which precincts will be 22 supporting various friends of people here, my 23 audited, and I'm appalled. request is that we provide a mechanism to the 23 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Okay. 24 24 voters by which they can be confident that Page 55 Page 57 Mr. Chairman --1 1 the systems that they've been using, be they 2 MS. SHAFFER: And I hope that they 2 computer-based or manual, are well-audited 3 get better with writing the directives. You 3 and trustworthy. And in any way that I can, 4 guys have enough. 4 I want to -- I'd like to offer my assistance, DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I don't 5 5 if I can, to anyone. 6 have anymore questions, but some of the Board 6 I don't really know what that 7 members might ask questions. 7 means. I'm way out of my comfort zone here. 8 Mr. Chairman, thank you for letting 8 I'm a programmer, have been for 30 years, but 9 me ask that. 9 I would like to advocate strongly, whatever 10 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: You're so 10 mechanism is used in this process, please 11 make sure it's verified, audited, and done in welcome. 11 12 Any other questions from other 12 public, so that we can understand what we 13 people? 13 have seen. 14 MS. SHAFFER: We have several other 14 And if there's any -- any questions 15 speakers that would like to address you. 15 I can answer, I'd be glad to. 16 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. 16 **DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE:** 17 Any questions for this speaker? 17 Mr. Chairman, I just want to make sure that 18 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No, I 18 he realizes the process. 19 don't. 19 The Secretary of the State of Ohio 20 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All right. Next 20 certifies what machines we can use, what 21 speaker? 21 voting methods we can use, the procedures for 22 Give us your name and the agency 22 setting up the machines, the manuals, the 23 you represent, please. 23 training. We can only use what the Secretary MR. SAMUELSON: Certainly. First,

of State certifies. Okay. So if you have

24

Page 58 Page 60 1 concerns with the machines, that you don't Secretary of State says we can. 2 2 think they should be certified, you need to MR. SAMUELSON: Including paper, 3 3 address those concerns to the Secretary of right? 4 the State of Ohio, because that person 4 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Excuse me? 5 decides what type of machines my Board of 5 MR. SAMUELSON: Including paper? 6 Elections can use on election day and what 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: If she 7 type we can't use. She has the statutory 7 tells us can't use paper, can't use paper. 8 authority to certify these machines and say 8 She controls the voting methods. we can use them; or decertify them, we can't 9 MR. SAMUELSON: Okay. Has she said 9 10 10 paper ballots are not an acceptable means 11 And as long as these machines are 11 for -certified by the Secretary of State's Office, 12 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: No. Right 12 and we have confidence in these machines, 13 13 now, she said to add paper ballots to your we're going to use them. electronic systems. 14 14 15 MR. SAMUELSON: So you have the 15 MR. SAMUELSON: Okay. ability to make that choice yourself. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Which might 16 16 17 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: No. 17 solve your problem. If you have a concern 18 MR. SAMUELSON: And you -- at this 18 about the electronic machines, the paper 19 ballot will be out there for people like you 19 who are concerned about it. 20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, our 20 21 choice is by whatever the Secretary of State 21 MR. SAMUELSON: Mm-hmm. Yeah. certifies, tells us, our choices are. 22 22 Mostly we're concerned --At this time right now, the 23 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: But if you 23 24 24 Secretary of State, for this coming election, really want the electronic machines Page 59 Page 61 completely out of Ohio to where boards of 1 has said we can use the machines, they've 1 2 been certified by our office, and/or a paper 2 elections do not have an opportunity to even 3 ballot. And that's what we're going to do, 3 choose that as a choice for their citizens to 4 for every voting location. We're going to vote, I would direct your energy and efforts 4 put the machines out, because they're 5 5 to the Secretary of State, who controls what 6 certified by her office that they work, and 6 systems we can use in the State of Ohio. 7 7 paper ballots. MR. SAMUELSON: Okay. But you 8 8 MR. SAMUELSON: And I'm here to can't ---9 9 advocate strongly for having sufficient paper DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: But you 10 ballots --10 said you didn't understand all this. I'm 11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Okay. 11 just trying to focus you where your energies 12 MR. SAMUELSON: -- to cover the 12 and efforts could best be applied. 13 need. Especially --13 MR. SAMUELSON: It has been my DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And we 14 14 assumption that the Board of Elections is 15 appreciate your concerns on that. 15 permitted to print and use paper ballots. MR. SAMUELSON: Okay. That's --16 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Yes. 17 that's really --17 MR. SAMUELSON: It is my 18 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: As far as understanding, perhaps I'm wrong --18 19 if you're really concerned about the DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. 19 20 machines, I think you need to direct your 20 MR. SAMUELSON: -- but that the 21 attention and your energy to the person who Board of Elections is capable of choosing the 21 decides what machines my Board members and 22 22 audit methods that they use to verify the 23 our Board of Elections can use. We can't use 23 election. 24 any elections system here in Ohio unless the 24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Yes.

Page 62 Page 64 1 MR. SAMUELSON: Whatever systems focused where they can make that difference. 2 2 have been used. I'm here to advocate for a MR. SAMUELSON: Okay. 3 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We can only high-quality, business-level audit of the 4 go by their rules and their directives. 4 process ---5 5 MR. SAMUELSON: But you can also DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Okay. 6 MR. SAMUELSON: -- a classical 6 lead, I assume. 7 7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Sure. statistical methods audit that manufacturers 8 8 MR. SAMUELSON: Is that not true? use everyday successfully. 9 I mean, we all use computers here. 9 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: We can 10 We know how they work. Okay. What's true. 10 what? 11 though, is that we always validate the 11 MR. SAMUELSON: Lead? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Lead, veah. 12 results. Okay. And I'm here to ask, beg, if 12 13 necessary, that that's what happens here. 13 MR. SAMUELSON: Yes. 14 All right. I am a computer 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: See, 15 programmer. I use these machines every 15 sometimes you try to lead too much, though, 16 single day. I'm perfectly fine with 16 and it gets you in a little hot water, 17 computers. I also understand, though, that 17 though, with some office holders. 18 we, as citizens, citizens as people who use 18 You know, ultimately, she's the 19 computers, every day, we verify the results 19 head election official in the state of Ohio. 20 MR. SAMUELSON: I certainly have to of what we're doing. All right. And I'm 20 21 21 here to ask that the Board of Elections in agree with that. And I'm not --22 Franklin County perform the same kind of 22 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I have all 23 23 the respect in the world for her, but she has quality operation that is a classical and 24 24 a job, and we have a job, and our job is to standard approach in business today. Page 63 Page 65 1 1 follow the statute and her directives. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Are you 2 2 familiar with our auditing and verification MR. SAMUELSON: That's fair. 3 3 process after election? If there's anything I can do, I 4 Are you familiar? 4 speak business and statistics fairly 5 fluently, though my real business is, in 5 MR. SAMUELSON: I have studied it, 6 fact, software implementation. 6 and my one concern, I've heard this, you 7 know, based on the -- what -- what is it, 7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: All right. 8 Directive 30, of 2007? 8 MR. SAMUELSON: So if there's 9 9 anything I can do, I'm available. Actually, My opinion about auditing is that 10 it be done through random selection after the 10 we have an e-mail address. You sent me 11 election has occurred. If that random 11 e-mail this morning. I'd be more than happy 12 selection takes place before the election, 12 to answer any question you might have. 13 it's possible for people to concentrate their 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Are there any 14 effort and make sure that they know which 14 questions for Leonard Samuelson? 15 precincts and/or which systems to audit. 15 (No response is heard.) 16 I would advocate that a proper 16 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: If not, thank 17 random selection technique be used, so that 17 you so much for your presentation. 18 that can't be known ahead of time. That's a 18 MR. SAMUELSON: Okay. Thank you 19 standard process in business, as well. 19 very much. I appreciate it. 20 **DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: That** 20 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Thank you. 21 21 auditing process also has to be approved and BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Thank you. 22 22 certified by the Secretary of State's office, CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there someone else in the audience that would like to get 23 too. We can only do it the way she wants to 23 do it. So a lot of your energy needs to be 24 up and speak? 24

Page 68 Page 66 1 Give us your name and the 1 And I want you to take your 2 organization you're representing, if you are. 2 responsibility as a Board of Elections 3 MS. BLAKELY: I am Teresa Blakely 3 seriously and not believe these vendors. I 4 4 mean, I don't have 10,000 to contribute to I'm a psychologist. Leonard is my husband, 5 and I've managed to pull him into the 5 somebody's campaign Diebold people are giving 6 6 election protection area. to, you know -- contributing to Blackwell's 7 I have not been much of a political 7 campaign. Matt Damschroder takes 10,000 and 8 activist until in 2003 when I heard that Ken 8 gives it to the Ohio Republican Party. I am 9 9 Blackwell was planning on purchasing sick and tired of this pay-to-play that we 10 electronic voting machines that did not have 10 spend millions of dollars on voting machines 11 a paper trail, and I thought that was absurd. 11 that we cannot use now, because they cannot 12 12 I mean, I know how easily computers crash, be trusted. They're not safe for democracy. 13 and it just didn't make any sense to me. 13 And I want -- I want people to be 14 And since that time, I've been 14 accountable. 15 15 gathering research on election protection And that's all I have to say. 16 kinds of issues, and what I am struck by is 16 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you very 17 the disconnect between the kinds of things 17 18 that I hear from Matt Damschroder saying that 18 Any questions for Teresa? 19 19 Is there anyone else in the we can trust these machines, and everything 20 else that I read, the Brennan Report, the 20 audience that would like to address the 21 General Accounting Office, Avi Ruben. There 21 Board? 22 are DVD's out there: Invisible Ballots, 22 Making your mind up? 23 23 Hacking Democracy. Come on up. Give us your name and 24 I want to know if the people on the 24 your organization. Page 67 Page 69 1 Board of Elections are paying attention to 1 MS. PARKS: My name is Victoria 2 2 the larger picture about these voting Parks, and I am an active member of the Ohio 3 3 machines. We are not buying voting machines Election Justice Campaign, and I share your 4 that are a secured protected group. It's 4 concerns. You have a big job before March to 5 5 part of the subset of the voting machines 6 6 that are being shown to be unreliable, easily And regarding Secretary of State's 7 hacked. 7 Directive 1 on the agenda, I understand she's 8 I welcome Jennifer Brunner's 8 got a tough job, and I'd like to say that I 9 EVEREST Report, and I want to know if those 9 applaud her efforts with project EVEREST to 10 people on this Board have read that report, 10 get to the truth about electronic voting. 11 and whether you still maintain that these 11 And if you want an answer about how 12 voting machines are secure and reliable? 12 many paper ballots to print, I would say 100 13 I mean, that -- that totally 13 percent. It is already an established fact, 14 boggles my mind. I hear -- I hear Bennet 14 and at least it was six months ago, and I'm 15 saying to the press, you know, I haven't read 15 sure the number is higher now, because of the 16 the report, but these voting machines are 16 testing in California, and Colorado, and 17 fine. I mean, I think that is absolutely 17 Florida, and now in Ohio, that over 70 18 absurd that in the face of mountains of 18 percent, at least six months ago, of citizens 19 evidence. I mean this has been since 2003 19 do not trust electronic voting. So what I 20 there have been numerous reports saying that 20 would say to the Board here, Mr. Damschroder 21 these machines are extremely fraud-friendly 21 and Mr. White, and Board members, I would say 22 and unreliable, and then I hear what sounds 22 respectfully, be ready for 100 percent,

23

24

low.

because voter confidence is at an all-time

23

trusted.

like vendor PR about these machines can be

Page 70

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And if voters had a real reason to feel confident, they would come out to help the whole process, and assist you in doing what you have to do, which is help the people, assist the people, in the administration of our elections.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

22

23

So being that voter confidence is low, a very high majority percentage I can safely say of Americans do not trust electronic voting. As has been demonstrated, they have real reason to feel that way. Given that, I would argue that you need to be ready for 100 percent of paper ballots. And you have a real problem with these machines. I don't envy you your position, having to do this great task, but in my opinion, and it is an informed one, I believe that you would be wise to spend money on paper for a possible 100 percent paper count.

Because elections are different now. There are no more just-trust-us elections. Those days are over, because elections are not about just-trust-us. They are not about just trust. They are, as you

to have voter confidence. We want to have

- 2 confidence in you. We want to have
- 3 confidence in your Secretary of State. We
- 4 want to have confidence in the transparency,
- 5 the checks and balances, and the chain of
- 6 custody of our ballots. And it is nothing
- 7 less than we deserve. It is our only
- 8 franchise as citizens. We cannot have our
- 9 elections privatized to the extent that they
 - have been. And obviously, we have seen the dangers of a privatized election where just a

handful of people, who may be partisans, have

control over our election process.

What we need is a standard of best practices with paper ballots, using methods that secure transparency, and a hand-count. I'm not saying hand-count the whole county or the whole state. I'm saying give us an in-precinct hand-count of 10 percent of the paper ballots selected randomly. And I mean truly randomly, however you need to do it, to reach 10 percent. Give us that in the precincts. Let us, we, the people, establish

Page 71

Page 73

- 1 know, about checks and balances,
- 2 transparency, a secure chain of custody. 3 These are all things that create honest
- 4 elections. These are things that would

5 restore voter confidence.

> And the Secretary of State has -has a very difficult task ahead of her. I personally support her. I thank her for conducting project EVEREST testing. It's something that's been needed for many years.

And finally we know. And finally, the election reform, the election justice activists have been vindicated.

And so we need to deal with truths here. We have a problem with machines. We have a problem with HAVA, that forced these machines on all of us, and made the taxpayers pay for faulty equipment made in Manila where the quality control testing was shaking the machine to see if any screws fell out.

21 Well, this kind of quality assurance is not the kind of assurance that the voters of Ohio want. That's no assurance at all. What we want is assurance. We want

1 of the people.

Thank you very much for letting me

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you, Victoria.

and verify a true vote count. It is the will

5

6 Is there any questions for

7 Victoria?

8 (No response is heard.)

> CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there anyone else who would like to come before us?

Yes, ma'am. Give us your name and your organization.

I don't think that works

(indicating.)

MS. LUPO: Oh, it's not on.

Can you hear me? Does it work?

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: No, I don't

think so.

MS. LUPO: Marian Lupo, I'm on the West Side of Columbus, Ohio.

Thank you for your time. Thank you for your patience. And I'll be brief.

We, several of us, are from the

Ohio Election Justice Campaign. You may not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 1 know what that is. That's a statewide
- 2 coalition of concerned citizens. Here in
- 3 Franklin County, we represent a number of
- 4 people and -- and the particular group that's
- 5 not here today, because they can't be here
- 6 today, are a number of people that were not
- 7 able to vote in 2004, for example, because
- 8 they were at work when they couldn't stand in
- 9 line. And that would include people from my

community, which is the West Side. Those aredisabled people, the elderly people, a great

number of minority people.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

9

15

16

17

Now, I know the decision you make today has to do with 2006 [sic] primary, and it may seem remote from 2004. I'd like you to consider that in your deliberations today, that having 100 percent of the ballots at the precincts would avert any tragedy like we witnessed in 2004.

Also, I'd like to add that in terms of the machines, although it's true that the Secretary of State certifies those machines, my understanding is the county paid for those machines.

Page 76

In any event, if cost is a concern with 100 percent of the paper ballots, I'm fairly certain that the Franklin County Board of Commissioners would be happy to help out with this, because --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Can you come to our budget meeting?

MS. LUPO: Excuse me?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Can you come to our next budget meeting?

That's where we got to go in a couple weeks to ask them for money for these paper ballots.

MS. LUPO: Well, actually, we were planning to go, and we were planning to go just to support this. We understand that you were thinking of doing 100 percent. And if you do do that, then, of course, we would.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And Jennifer, the Secretary of State, doesn't think we need 100 percent.

MS. LUPO: But apparently, the County Prosecutor does.

DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: I don't

Page 75

Page 77

1 Is that correct?2 DIRECTOR DAMS

2 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The initial

quantity of -- of voting machines that the

Secretary, the previous Secretary of State,
required that this county buy, the county had

to pay about 165,000 dollars of that initial

bill, and then we bought an additional - DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: 1

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Thirteen.

DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: -- number of voting machines that was a little over a

voting machines that was 11 million dollars. So --

12 MS. LUPO: So part of it was HAVA

13 money?

DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Yes.

MS. LUPO: And I think I'm raising that, because the machines are -- I feel like it's almost a crime on the boards and on the

18 citizens. The machines are not going to last

for very long. They're, frankly speaking,

20 junk in the computer world. And if you were

to say, okay, this is almost comparable to afraud on the people, and we want our money

back, then it seems to me the county could

24 sue for that money back.

think the County Prosecutor has provided
direct counsel to the Board yet. It's just
been --

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Newspaper articles.

DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Yeah. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Yeah. It's been in the media.

DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: So there will be an opportunity for the Prosecuting Attorney or Assistant Prosecuting Attorney to provide that counsel today if the Board so chooses.

MS. LUPO: We learned of this meeting -- thank you. Thank you very much.

We learned of this meeting thanks to NBC yesterday. And so you have a handful here of us.

If we had advanced notice on a meeting, there would be many more citizens, including people who had trouble voting in 2004 and in 2006 on the machines that would speak to the Commissioner. And if you would give us that opportunity, we would take it.

		,	21 (Pages 78 to 81
	Page 78		Page 80
1	We feel very strongly about it.	1	that?
2	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Well, we	2	MS. SHAFFER: A standard No. 2
3	have to go to the Commissioner's Office in	3	pencil.
4	the next couple of weeks and ask him for	4	MS. LUPO: They always work.
5	funding for these, the printing of these	5	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: There's
6	ballots, because prior to our budget being	6	erasers, though. There's lots of erasers
7	produced for 2008 we didn't know there was	7	attached to them.
8	going to be a directive coming from the	8	MS. SHAFFER: Get small ones.
9	Secretary of State mandating us putting paper	9	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I mean, I
10	ballots out at all polling locations.	10	don't know how you defend against some of the
11	So whatever number we come up with	11	breaches with the paper ballot, too.
12	here today, we got to get funding for it.	12	MS. SHAFFER: I would like to see
13	MS. LUPO: We can make that	13	them in a visible box at the precincts,
14	commitment. The director is Paddy Shaffer,	14	loaded into it, hand-counted before they ever
15	but we can we were planning to do it	15	leave the precincts, and you have totals.
16	anyway.	16	And it happens in other countries. They keep
17	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I don't	17	the precinct levels small. We would need to
18	think the Commissioner is going to be too	18	bring in a second count, a second group,
19	happy if we go in and say we want to print,	19	fresh poll workers that are not tired, but
20	you know, 100 percent of the registered	20	that are fresh for the day, and have the
21	voters here in Franklin County, considering	21	ballots hand-counted at the precinct.
22	what percent might come out and vote in the	22	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So we
23	primary. I mean there's just so many	23	should ask the Commissioners for funding for
24	variables in there.	24	pencils, too, then, not rely on
	Page 79		Page 81
1	That's why I say it's a really	1	MS. SHAFFER: To be very serious
2	difficult decision for this Board to make	2	with this, Denny
3	today on how many to print.	3	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I'm
4	MS. LUPO: Well, even if it wasn't	4	serious.
5	100 percent	5	MS. SHAFFER: if you give us the
6	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And then	6	date of that Commissioner's meeting, we have
7	just not just the printing is not also a	7	several issues we'd like to address. If
8	concern; the security of them.	8	you're going to look at providing paper
9	You know, I was thinking the other	9	ballots for the citizens of Franklin County,
10	day, what if what if somebody goes to a	10	that we really have them, that we're no
11	magic shop, and buys invisible ink, and plugs	11	longer relying on these trash machines, which
12	them into the voting places, and people are	12	is my opinion on them, we will help you. So
13	marking their ballots with invisible ink that	13	you just give us the date and time, and
14	disappears in three hours?	14	advance if possible, and we will fill that
15	Well, how would we defend something	15	room.
16	like that?	16	MS. LUPO: Anymore questions?
17	MS. LUPO: Well, I don't know.	17	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Are there
18	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: You guys	18	anymore questions for Marian?
19	that are advocates for paper ballots, how do	19	(No response is heard.)
20	I defend somebody from slipping invisible ink	20	MS. LUPO: Thank you.
21	pens into a voting booth?	21	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you,
			Manion
22	MS. SHAFFER: How about a No. 2	22	Marian.
	MS. SHAFFER: How about a No. 2 pencil? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: What's	22 23 24	Is there anyone else out there that would like to speak before this body?

Page 82 Page 84 1 Give us your name and the 1 I have more concern about someone 2 organization that you're representing. 2 going out to a magic shop and buying a bunch 3 3 MR. STANTON: My name is Dan of invisible ink pens, and slipping them in 4 Stanton, and I'm also affiliated with this 4 targeted precincts, and then we go count 5 5 group over here, the Ohio Election Justice these paper ballots on election night, and 6 Campaign. 6 the ink disappeared. 7 7 And I've been concerned with these Every system has a breach in it. I 8 8 elections issues since 2004 when we had the told somebody the other day, banks get robbed 9 hearings in the Statehouse on how to decide 9 every day. So if a bank gets robbed, should 10 10 what to use for our elections. And it became we do away with all our financial 11 apparent that there was a partisan shift 11 institutions in this country, and go back to 12 here. We had Democrats that wanted a really 12 the barter system? 13 strong audit trail, and we had Republicans, 13 No. Let's tighten up the -- the 14 for some reason, that didn't want any paper 14 EVEREST report, there's some good things in 15 15 trails. that report. We need to tighten up security. 16 This isn't a partisan issue. This 16 We need protocols, so that all counties handle it the same way. Let's address the 17 is about elections. This is about votes. 17 18 This is about the will of the voters, not the 18 security problems --19 will of the elections officials. Remember, 19 MR. STANTON: You're an official on 20 this is a democracy. 20 the Board of Elections. 21 What's going on here? 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: -- where 22 We see all these studies. The 22 you have them. 23 EVEREST Study, the California Study. We're 23 I mean, we run eight elections here 24 with machines, and don't have any problems. decertifying machines right and left. And 24 Page 83 1 yet, I hear elections officials. I just 1 We sent 150 machines up to a county in 2 heard you tonight: I still trust these 2 Northern Ohio; they have problems with them. 3 machines. You shouldn't. 3 MR. STANTON: I totally disagree 4 What legacy are you leaving here? 4 that you don't have problems with them, You're a citizen of Ohio. You're going to 5 5 because --6 6 have grandchildren. What are you going to DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Oh, you're 7 say to them? You know, my job was to rig 7 going to have some problems. 8 elections for the State of Ohio; is that what 8 MR. STANTON: Because the studies 9 you're legacy is going to be? 9 are telling you, the academics, the computer 10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I didn't 10 experts, we got Leonard here to tell you that 11 say I trusted these machines. I said I have you can't trust those machines. 11 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Does the 12 confidence in them. Okay? 12 13 EVEREST report say that you can't --MR. STANTON: What's the 13 14 difference? 14 MR. STANTON: Why are you 15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I've had 15 denying --16 eight elections with these machines. 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Does the 17 MR. STANTON: That's semantics. 17 EVEREST report say we can't trust our 18 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Listen. 18 machines, or does it say there's possible 19 You've spoken. 19 breaches in the security? 20 MR. STANTON: I'm sorry. 20 MR. STANTON: You don't know when 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I've 21 you have problems. That is the problem with 22 experienced eight elections with these 22 the machines. If you knew you had a problem, 23 machines here. And I have not seen a breach 23 that would be great, but since you have no

24

way to check on it, that's the problem.

in the elections. Okay? Not one breach.

Page 88 Page 86 1 If you require 100 percent ballots, over 150 days. They still have not agreed to 1 2 that is going to tell the whole citizenry of 2 meet with us. We have tried and tried. 3 the world, Ohio is going to be right up on 3 We are totally stonewalled. We have some of front on the top of its pedestal in saying 4 4 the best election activists and attorneys in 5 I -- I demand democracy. I stand for 5 the country that want to go there and talk 6 democracy. I'm -- I'm going to offer 100 6 with her, and they will not let us in. They 7 7 percent ballots here. I'm going to find the will not hear our voices. They do not answer 8 funding. I'm going to find the pencils. 8 many of our record requests. 9 That's smoke in mirrors, all this funding 9 And recently, with the Attorney 10 10 General's Office, we got a first meeting with 11 If you can send billions of 11 Michael Deemer and Christopher Geidner were 12 billions of dollars in Iraq to try to run a 12 supposed to be there regarding election 13 democracy ---13 issues. We got our first meeting. They 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I'm not 14 promised us a second one right away. That meeting was December 17th. Now, they're 15 going to have Iraq here. 15 16 MR. STANTON: -- you -- you can't stonewalling us. And then, we can't get a 16 17 tell me you can't find a few thousand dollars 17 second meeting with the Attorney General's for a paper ballot. That is so disingenuous. 18 18 Office. We had 16 members of the Ohio 19 It's laughable. 19 Election Justice Campaign there that day with 20 And you can't tell me you guys --20 three of the AG's staff attorneys. They 21 you guys can speak your mind. We have, since heard our very serious concerns, everything 21 22 August 8th of 2007, 150 days asked for a 22 from local issues to the Homeland Security 23 meeting with Jennifer Brunner. You're asking 23 lock down in Warren County, and the fact that 24 us, oh, go to Jennifer Brunner. Voice your 24 there still has been no investigation. Page 87 Page 89 1 So know that we are trying really 1 concerns. 2 What does that tell you? 2 hard to improve Ohio's elections systems on 3 3 We've got a thiefdom here. We so many directions that it would make your 4 can't voice our concerns. We have no -- we 4 head spin. And if any of you are interested, 5 5 have no voice. So we go to the Attorney we would be happy to come back and let you 6 6 General. We have a meeting at the Attorney know what we've been working on, because it 7 General's Office to express our concerns. We 7 very much affects all of us in the image of 8 have a conspiracy here. We have no checks 8 Ohio and the world. And we're trying to 9 and balances, unless those checks and 9 correct that. 10 balances are going in your back pocket. 10 So when you ask us to take it to 11 That's all I have to say. 11 the Secretary of State's office, the next 12 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you very 12 time you talk to them, please ask them to 13 much, Dan. 13 open the door, because we would like to come 14 Is there anyone else out there that 14 in. 15 would like to address the Board? 15 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Thank you. MS. SHAFFER: Yeah. I would just 16 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The reason 17 like to clarify a couple things Dan just 17 why I was trying to steer the gentleman to 18 brought up. He said 150 days, and he 18 the Secretary of State's Office was because 19 mentioned August 8th. 19 he said he was kind of new to all this. And 20 And so that you understand, because 20 his concerns with these machines being

21

22

23

24

certified and audited, I was trying to

explain to him that's who certifies the

machines, not our board. And we can only

choose what they say we can choose from.

21

22

23

you had said for us to take our concerns to

the Secretary of State, on August 8th, 2007,

State and the Attorney General. It's been

we requested a meeting with the Secretary of

Page 92 Page 90 1 MS. SHAFFER: Denny, we very much 1 have one system. Okay? 2 understand that. And --2 MS. SHAFFER: Well --3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: I was 3 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Just right 4 trying to -- because he said he was new; he 4 now, our poll workers are at maximum 5 didn't understand. 5 overload. Now we got to educate them on two 6 6 MS. SHAFFER: Well, we also elections systems, you know, paper, machines. 7 7 understand, in all the problems we have Let's have one system. I don't 8 resolving there, the chances are good you 8 care what system I use. And just make 9 have problems there. We also know there are 9 whatever system you use secure, so people 10 some really good people in there that are 10 like you are confident in the machines. But 11 very much approving on what we're doing. We 11 having multiple systems now is just even adding more complication, confusion, 12 have -- we have a mixture in there. There's 12 13 some people that cheerlead us when we show 13 frustration on people out there. 14 14 MS. SHAFFER: Well, as a fear up. 15 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And I think 15 issue, I hope that today is the last time we 16 some of the directives coming out of the 16 ever hear disappearing ink and erasers on 17 Secretary of State's Office, she is listening 17 pencils as a reason not to go to paper 18 to groups like you. I think her approach to 18 ballots. We know that the ink on the tapes 19 the paper ballots in the precincts is because 19 on the voting machines disappears. Okay. So 20 of some of the advocating stuff that your 20 you guys actually already use it. 21 groups have done out there. 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right. 22 MS. SHAFFER: It's years of our 22 MS. SHAFFER: The other thing we 23 work. 23 know is even the Opti-Scan ballots aren't 24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So maybe 24 safe, if you understand what happened in Page 91 Page 93 1 1 she's not giving you a meeting, but some of Clermont County. They had stickers, like 2 her actions she's listened to some of your 2 garage sale stickers, stuck on the ballots 3 groups. Or why would she say put all these 3 over the Kerry votes. And if you've never 4 ballots out here? 4 seen one of those, Matt, I'd be happy to 5 5 provide you with photos that I took myself of You know, I was telling Matt, kind 6 of jokingly, Matt, you guys agree. Matt 6 the Clermont County ballots. The Secretary 7 7 wants 100 percent ballots printed. Okay. of State has those in her possession and 8 He's in trouble with the Secretary of State 8 refuses to investigate. 9 because he wants that right now. Okay. 9 So the Opti-Scan is not safe. Your 10 MS. SHAFFER: Well, we'll help you. 10 machines have disappearing ink. So since all 11 We'll help you all we can with it, Denny. 11 of it has problems, I'd like to see us 12 And know that they really need to listen to 12 simplify, go back to paper and pencil. 13 all of us that are concerned. It would be 13 Thank you. 14 better. As if you had a troubled family, a 14 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there anyone 15 counselor would likely suggest you sit down 15 else? 16 at the table, and you talk about your issues, 16 And then we're going to have to 17 and you resolve it, versus we all stood on 17 close this, because we have to get on with 18 our own end of the room, and we all turn our 18 our board meeting. 19 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I'd like to backs to each other and refuse to have 19 20 discussion. You can't resolve it that way. 20 make a comment. 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And my 21 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. Yes, 22 position now is I think any system can be 22 ma'am.

23

24

BOARD MEMBER PETREE: There was a

comment made about the Diebold machines. We

23

24

breached, whether it's a paper ballot or

electronic thing. I just think we should

		T	
	Page 94		Page 96
1	do not have Diebold machines in Franklin	1	one? Okay.
2	County. And as a matter of fact, this Board	2	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The next
3	sued the Secretary of State, who was Kenneth	3	item on the agenda is Secretary of State
4	Blackwell at that time, to have a variety of	4	Directive 2008-02, which I think has been
5	different machines come in here to be tested,	5	provided to all of you, I think today, and
6	and for us to make a choice. And so we don't	6	perhaps even via mail previously.
7	have Diebold.	7	I just want to remind you that this
8	MS. SHAFFER: I'm aware of that.	8	questionnaire from the Secretary of State is
9	You're an S & S county.	9	due back to the Secretary of State's Office
10	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes.	10	on January the 24th.
11	Thanks.	11	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: 24th, yeah.
12	MS. SHAFFER: There are, I think,	12	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: 24th.
13	48 or 58 Diebold counties.	13	There's a couple of questions, and
14	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: For this	14	the directions prohibit the Board members
15	election, we're ES & S and paper.	15	from discussing the completion of the
16	MS. SHAFFER: Good.	16	questionnaire with each other or with the
17	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes.	17	Director or the Deputy Director. So if you
18	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: So let's move on	18	would each please take care of that in your
19	to the meeting.	19	own time over the next week and a half, that
20	MS. LUPO: Are you considering	20	would be helpful.
21	getting any funding from ES & S for the	21	Denny and I will complete the
22	Autotronic (phonetic)?	22	portion of the directive that asks for
23	Because EVEREST found very serious	23	information from the Director and Deputy
24	problems with the ES & S DRE.	24	Director to sign together as to the number of
	Page 95		Page 97
1	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All right.	1	voting machines, the tabulators, et cetera.
2	Let's move on with the meeting.	2	So we'll we'll answer those questions and
3	I guess before the Board now is the	3	get those to the Secretary of State in a
4	directive from Jennifer Brunner.	4	timely fashion.
5	At this time, we could take a vote,	5	
6	or we could bear from local but I'm about	1	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All right.
	or we could hear from legal, but I'm about	6	Thank you so much.
7	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be	6 7	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we
8	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks,	1	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to
8 9	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion.	7 8 9	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th.
8 9 10	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning	7 8 9 10	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay.
8 9 10 11	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for	7 8 9 10	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you
8 9 10 11 12	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The	7 8 9 10 11	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions?
8 9 10 11 12 13	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure.
8 9 10 11 12 13	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet the requirements of the Directive 2008-01.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Under
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet the requirements of the Directive 2008-01. Is there a second to my motion?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Under fiscal?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet the requirements of the Directive 2008-01. Is there a second to my motion? BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Under fiscal? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: No.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet the requirements of the Directive 2008-01. Is there a second to my motion? BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Under fiscal? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: No. Fiscal, you can take that.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet the requirements of the Directive 2008-01. Is there a second to my motion? BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in favor, say aye.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Under fiscal? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: No. Fiscal, you can take that. I'll deal with the ones on the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet the requirements of the Directive 2008-01. Is there a second to my motion? BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in favor, say aye. (Board votes aye unanimously.)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Under fiscal? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: No. Fiscal, you can take that. I'll deal with the ones on the audit log.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet the requirements of the Directive 2008-01. Is there a second to my motion? BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in favor, say aye. (Board votes aye unanimously.) DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Motion	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Under fiscal? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: No. Fiscal, you can take that. I'll deal with the ones on the audit log. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Got it.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ready just to go ahead and take a vote and be done with it. If that's okay with folks, then I will make the motion. I move that the Board was planning to print about 50,000 paper ballots for provisional voting on election day. The Board directs the Deputy Director to provide to provide an additional 30,000 paper ballots on election day voting to meet the requirements of the Directive 2008-01. Is there a second to my motion? BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in favor, say aye. (Board votes aye unanimously.)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you so much. So the Board has so noted, and we will perform that function and get them in to her by the 24th. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you want me to explain the next two resolutions? DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Sure. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Those are all on the changes. DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Under fiscal? DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: No. Fiscal, you can take that. I'll deal with the ones on the audit log.

				26 (Pages 98 to 101
ſ		Page 98		Page 100
	1	previous directive on the printing of paper	1	next two weeks, as we start doing data entry
İ	2	ballots. We need a resolution to go ahead	2	for the absentee ballot applications in the
	3	and let that contract for the 80,000	3	summer when we start getting a large volume
-	4	provisional ballots that the Board just	4	of voter registration applications.
-	5	authorized that we print, and then also the	5	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any questions
	6	number of absentee ballots that we'll be	6	for Matt, anything on this?
١	7	printing, and so give the Director and the	7	Any questions?
	8	Deputy Director the authority to award the	8	I don't have any.
	9	contract to the lowest and best bidder in the	9	I will entertain a motion, then.
	10	Board's name.	10	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman?
	11	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: I'll accept a	11	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am.
- 1	12	motion.	12	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that
- 1	13	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:	13	the Board authorize the Director and Deputy
- 1	14	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board authorize	14	Director to enter into a contract with the
- 1	15	the Director and Deputy Director to let a	15	James Group for 151,300 dollars using State
- 1	16	contract for printing of optically scanned	16	Term Schedule Number 533470-0, to automate
- 1	17	paper ballots for the absentee voting and to	17	the data entry process for absentee ballot
- 1	18	meet the requirements of the Directives	18	applications and voter registration
- 1	10 19	<u>-</u>	19	applications coming from Deputy Registrars of
- 1	20	2007-12 and 2008-01, and to award, in its	20	the Bureau of Motor Vehicles.
- 1		name, a contract to the lowest and best	21	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a
- 1	21	bidder for said printing. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a	22	second?
- 1	22		23	
- 1	23	second?	24	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.
ļ	24	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.	24	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in
		Page 99		Page 101
	1	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in	1	favor, say aye.
ı	2	favor, say aye.	2	(Board votes aye unanimously.)
	3	(Board votes aye unanimously.)	3	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: And motion
١	4	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The motion	4	carries.
	5	carries.	5	DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The next
1	6	The next item, as you'll recall at	6	two resolutions on your agenda is to address
١	7	a couple previous meetings last summer, when	7	a couple issues that were brought to mine and
1	8	we submitted for your review the budget	8	Matt's attention. I don't know if you were
ı	9	proposal for income year 2008, one of the	9	watching Channel 4 News two nights ago where
	10	projects that we wanted to implement for this	10	the Secretary of State, after being a little
	11	year was to purchase software that will	11	steamed at Matt, resolved in the interview
1	12	that will do assist us in the automatic or	12	that they were investigating some ballot
- 1	13	automated data entry required for absentee	13	irregularities from the last election here.
-	14	ballot applications and voter registration	14	What happened after the last
- 1	15	applications. There is a vendor on State	15	election, I will recall from the Secretary of
- 1	16	Term contract.	16	State herself saying that she went to vote,
- 1	17	And you'll recall from the last	17	with her husband, the same polling location,
- 1	18	Board meeting, with the law change we were	18	and on different machines, and the ballot
- 1	19	able to square up. We'll now start taking	19	style was different. One listed a candidate,
	20	making purchases off of the State Term	20	one didn't list a candidate, in a particular
- 1	21	schedule. So this contract, this resolution,	21	race, judicial race. There was a contravercy
- 1	22	would allow us to procure that equipment	22	over it.
- 1	23	using the State Term Schedule contract, have	23	THE REPORTER: I'm having a hard
- 1	24	it in place, to begin using, really, in the	24	time hearing.
- 1				

Page 102

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: It was a ballot style difference with the two separate voting machines in the same election. She said a candidate was on the ballot on her -- on her voting machine, and her husband said that he was or wasn't.

So I had a discussion with her on that matter. And I said, Jennifer, I don't really know any way possible that could happen, because the flashcards are burnt countywide to have the ballot styles on them. And I said, if that happened, you know, you stumbled onto something that's huge, and I think you should look into it. I just don't know any way it could happen with my knowledge or people that -- that I know that would have experience. I said it would take some type of cooperation with the vendor to get the codes, poll workers, people who do the programming here.

So she decided to look into the matter, and while she was looking into it there was two issues that came up with the people who were out at the warehouse looking

and slow the machine down.

2 If you'd like to talk to the clerk, 3 she's here.

Since the Secretary of State brought this up in a public view, I think it's important that we do it in a public view, so you all totally understand, the public understands, what happened there.

I think Carla is here if you have any questions for her. She was the one that was told to turn the audit log off, by the vendor, who was under contract by the Secretary of State that decided to buy these consulting services.

DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Do you have any questions for her?

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Go ahead.

MS. PATTON: The reason why it was turned off, as you know, we switched the way we did things in mayor as far as absentee. We did one style per split, which meant we had 1,213 different styles of ballots out there.

When we get to a certain point in

Page 103

Page 105

at the machines and stuff. Number one, the vendor, who was under contract through the Secretary of State to supply us consulting service setting up these machines and setting up the databases, either that election -- or, no, either the election prior to that or two elections prior to that, told our clerk to turn off the audit log to make the machines operate quickly.

What the audit log does is takes an audit of everybody that goes into the database, what changes they make, and who's made the changes. And the reason why the vendor, as to my understanding, told the clerk -- I think the clerk is here with us. You can ask questions of her. It was slowing down the process of setting up the new database for the new election.

So if you move on this resolution, this basically says that the audit log will never be turned off, and that there will be a backup of that audit log when it will be set up the next election, so that it doesn't continue to eat up the memory in the machine

the database, after all the information is input into the system, we have to merge the information to create the ballot styles. With this audit log turned on, it was taking well over two hours to merge this data. Therefore, we're sitting idle for two hours.

It was suggested by our consultant that we turn the audit log off in order to be able to merge that a little quicker, which it did, in turn speed up the progress.

After we start merging, we don't make any changes as far as any candidate names, any issues, anything. The only thing that gets changed would be maybe the preference of the style number that goes onto the ballot. And even then, it's just a matter of us trial and error to get the right layout, the right numbers, to go onto the bottom stub of the ballot.

So any and all information that was input into the system that would have changed any names or anything would have logged prior to that log being turned off.

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Any questions

Page 109

Page 106

for Carla?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

5

6

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt and I were not aware that the log was turned off, was not aware that the vendor that was contracted to the Secretary of State to consult us through this process told her to turn the log off.

But I think it's important that the log stays on, no matter how much longer a time it takes, in case there is any other question on the integrity of the system and someone doing something, that there is always an audit log maintained.

So I think for the protection of the Board and to satisfy the Secretary of State's concerns that I think you all need to pass a resolution that the audit log is never turned off.

MS. PATTON: I will say that I have found where how to archive that log, which will clean it out, and what our procedure now will be to archive those logs from election to election so that the only information pertaining to the current election is being

carries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: The next resolution addresses another concern that was brought to our attention during their review of the so-called differences on the ballots. And that is that the Secretary of State has an issue that we don't L & A test every machine we have when we put out for elections. And we follow the, again, certified by the Secretary of State's Office procedure, that the vendor's manuals and setup, for setting up for an election.

For example, in the Squire trial, the vendor actually testified that we go above and beyond the protocol that the Secretary of State has certified for setting these machines for elections. However, current Secretary of State has voiced to me that she has a concern we don't L & A test all machines

So the next directive, because I asked her, when I spoke to her the other night, as far as the audit log and the L & A testing, that since we have an election we're

Page 107

written. Anything else prior to that will be archived to the server in a separate file.

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Okay. Thank

4 you.

> DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: So I'd recommend you move on that.

7 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All right. So, 8 I will entertain a motion.

9 **BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:** 10 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt the 11 following policy: At no time shall any 12

employee or contractor of the Board of 13 Elections disengage the audit manager 14

function of the voting system, and before 15 beginning the programming of the database for 16 any and all election the audit records from

17 the previous election shall be archived. 18 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a

19 second?

20 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second. 21 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in favor, sav ave.

22 23

(Board votes aye unanimously.) 24 **DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Motion**

setting up now for, within the next month and

1 2 a half, our people are in the process of 3

utilizing the database that the audit logs act upon. Also, with L & A testing we're in

5 the process of doing on those machines, 6

please send a directive out, because we're in the process of that right now. It doesn't do

any good for us to go through directive now after the election coming up. So this is a

10 resolution for you Board members asking her 11 to give us a directive, since she has 12

concerns that we don't L & A test all the machines.

Now, we test all the machines for calibration and all that. It's just that we test -- we L & A test one machine per voting precinct.

And I tried to explain it in layman's terms. It's kind of like I go buy two pairs of shoes, because they're on sale. They're the exact same shoe. I normally don't try on both pairs. I just try on one, then take the other one, box it up, and cash it out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

The machines are all the same. The L & A test is testing the memory card in the top of the machine to make sure the PEB in those are all working in the governor. If you got a problem, you're going to catch it there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7

8

9

10

11

22

However, she expressed a concern we don't L & A test. So this resolution would address her concerns on that matter and ask for directions from her office as far as how do you want us to do it.

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All right.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Right now,
we're following the vendor's guidelines,
their protocol, for the L & A testing.
And as I said, in the Squire test,

And as I said, in the Squire test, the Squire suit from last year, the vendor actually said we go above and beyond theirs, but it seems to be a concern on the Secretary of State that that's not enough, so we're asking her, in this resolution, tell us what you want, and we'll give you what you want.

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All right. Any questions for Denny?

Page 112

of just reading into the record we can give you all a copy of it again, you can read it, and we can attach it as an exhibit to these proceedings.

CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Well, why don't you do that.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: And the only reason why I really brought that up, Board members, was that we'll do it however it's certified or however the Secretary of State tells us to do it. And right now, we're going above and beyond the certified vendor's recommendation that's certified by the Secretary of State, but for some reason the Secretary of State has indirectly told me that that's not enough.

So this next resolution would ask the Secretary of State to put in writing, how do you want us to do it? We will do it the way you want to do it.

BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Seems clear. CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: I will entertain a motion, if there's no more questions for Denny.

Page 111

Page 113

MS. SHAFFER: Yes, if I can ask.
I was there at the Squire trial,
and that was not what came out. Your -- your
voting machine vendor may have said that.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Yeah.
That's what I said.

MS. SHAFFER: But what Rebecca Mercuri said, in dealing with the other issues brought up, was that your machines were not even certified to be used. The components in them, the separate components, had never been certified to be used as a group.

12 13 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WHITE: Matt, you 15 might want to -- could read that for me. 16 This is just testimony from the vendor who 17 was under contract at the Secretary of State 18 Office at that time to supply local board of 19 elections who were using ENS machines 20 consulting services for setting up elections 21 and dealing with the changeover from our old

DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: It's four pages of testimony, so I think maybe instead

system to our new electronic system.

BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman, I would like to make a motion, please.

3 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am.
4 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that
5 the Board authorize the Chairman of the Board
6 to issue a letter to the Ohio Secretary of
7 State communicating that, one, it has been

represented to this Board that the present
standard operating procedure for pre-election
testing of its voting machines exceeds the

minimum recommendations by its vendor; two,that the Board understands from a recent

media report that the Secretary of Statebelieves the Board's present standard

operating procedure for pre-election testing of its voting machines to be inadequate; three, that the Board desires to have and

comply with the standard operating procedure for pre-election testing of its voting

machines that satisfies the Secretary of
State; and four, that the Board respectfully
requests that the Secretary of State provide

a detailed step-by-step procedure for
pre-election testing by Friday, January the

			30 (Fages 114 CO 117)
	Page 114		Page 116
1	25th, 2008, that the Board can use on Monday,	1	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Ms.
2	January 28th, 2008, when it begins	2	Petree.
3	programming machines for the March 4th, 2008	3	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes.
4	primary election.	4	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Chairman
5	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a	5	Anthony.
6	second?	6	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes.
7	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.	7	We all set for first motion, so we
8	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in	8	can kind of move on?
9	favor, say aye.	9	I'll make the first motion.
10	(Board votes aye unanimously.)	10	I move that Mark Essary be
11	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Motion carries.	11	reassigned to the Voter Services Department,
12	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The next	12	and that Robin Link be reassigned to
13	part of the agenda, Mr. Chairman, is	13	Petitions and Financial Filing Department,
14	regarding personnel matters, and the Board	14	effective January 13th, 2008.
15	may want to go into Executive Session for a	15	Is there a second?
16	period of time to discuss personnel matters.	16	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: I'd like to make	17	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in
18	a motion that the Board move into executive	18	favor, say aye.
19	session to discuss personnel matters.	19	(Board votes aye unanimously.)
20	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.	20	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Now, we have
21	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a	21	another motion to make for personnel.
22	second?	22	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes,
23	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Seconded by	23	Mr. Chairman. I move that Barbara Burns be
24	Carolyn Petree.	24	reassigned to Voter Services Department, at
	Page 115		Page 117
1	Roll call: Mr. Colley.	1	her current rate of pay, and that Debbie
2	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Yes.	2	Cotner be reassigned to Precinct Elections
3	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER:	3	Officials Department, effective January 13th,
4	Ms. Marinello.	4	2008.
5	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.	5	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a
6	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Ms.	6	second?
7	Petree.	- 7	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.
8	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Yes.	8	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in
9	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Chairman	9	favor, say aye.
10	Anthony.	10	(Board votes aye unanimously.)
11	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes.	11	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: I'll go ahead
12	(Board enters Executive Session.)	12	and make that. I move that the hourly rate
13	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All right. I	13	of all full-time employees of the Franklin
14	move that we come out of Executive Session.	14	County Board of Elections shall be increased
15	There were no votes taken, no	15	by three percent effective January 7th, 2007,
16	motions made, or no that's about it: No	16	and that Chris Bidwell shall be changed to
17	votes taken. No motions were made.	17	\$13.94 per hour; Debbie Cotner to \$14.43 per
18	Is there a second?	18	hour; Mark Essary to \$16.60 an hour; Roland
19	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.	19	Gamino, \$16.83 per hour; Jane Hanley, \$15.87
20	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: Mr. Colley.	20	per hour; Margalyn Kisor to \$14.90 per hour;
21	BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Yes.	21	Robin Link, \$16.83 per hour; Donna Miller to
122	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER:	22	\$14.42 per hour; Matt Rushay to \$14.43 per
22		l	
22 23 24	Ms. Marinello. BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Here.	23 24	hour; Brenda Umbstaetter to \$19.23 per hour; Stan Wayne to \$26.44 per hour; Todd Wedekind

31 (16	ages ito co izi,
Page 118	Page 120
1 to \$27.50 per hour; and that Harold Fielder, 1 BOARD MEM	BER COLLEY: Abstain.
	AMSCHRODER:
3 excluded from this resolution. 3 Ms. Marinello.	
4 Is there a send? 4 BOARD MEM	BER MARINELLO: Yes.
5 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Second. 5 DIRECTOR DA	AMSCHRODER: Ms.
6 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in 6 Petree.	
7 favor, say aye. 7 BOARD MEM	BER PETREE: Yes.
	AMSCHRODER: Chairman
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Motion carries. 9 Anthony.	
10 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: The next 10 CHAIRMAN A	ANTHONY: Yes.
one, we'll have to do a roll call vote, so	er motion for David
12 that Ms. Marinello can abstain. 12 Magers.	
1	BER MARINELLO:
· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e that the hourly rate for
· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	reased to \$22.36 per hour,
16 \$27.50 per hour and \$51.85 per hour 16 effective January 7th,	•
	ANTHONY: Is there a
18 Is there a second? 18 second?	
19 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second. 19 I'll second that.	I guess I can
20 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: We'll do a roll 20 second that.	C
21 call vote. 21 DIRECTOR DA	AMSCHRODER: Yeah.
22 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: 22 Roll call: Mr. (Colley.
]	ANTHONY: Roll call.
•	BER COLLEY: Yes.
Page 119	Page 121
1 DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: 1 DIRECTOR DA	MSCHRODER:
2 Ms. Marinello. 2 Ms. Marinello.	MASCI MODER.
	BER MARINELLO: Yes.
· i	MSCHRODER: Ms.
5 Petree. 5 Petree.	
	BER PETREE: Abstain.
1	
	MSCHRODER: Chairman
8 Anthony. 8 Anthony.	MSCHRODER: Chairman
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN A	NTHONY: Yes.
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN AND 10 Now, I'll entertain a vote on 10 DIRECTOR DA	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think,
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN AND 10 Now, I'll entertain a vote on 10 DIRECTOR DA	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 13 those are effective Janu 14 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 14 2007.	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 13 those are effective Janu 14 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 14 2007. 15 the hourly rate of Suzanne Brown be increased 15 BOARD MEMBER	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not BER PETREE: Oh, yes.
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 13 those are effective Janu 14 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 14 2007. 15 the hourly rate of Suzanne Brown be increased 15 BOARD MEMBER	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not BER PETREE: Oh, yes. MSCHRODER: So we may
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 13 those are effective Janu 14 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 14 2007. 15 the hourly rate of Suzanne Brown be increased 15 BOARD MEMB 16 to \$28.61 per hour for 30 hours of work per 16 DIRECTOR DA 17 week, effective January 7th, 2007. 17 want to make a motion	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not BER PETREE: Oh, yes. MSCHRODER: So we may and a second vote
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 Now, I'll entertain a vote on 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 13 those are effective January 7th and 14 2007. 15 the hourly rate of Suzanne Brown be increased 15 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 16 to \$28.61 per hour for 30 hours of work per 16 DIRECTOR DA 17 week, effective January 7th, 2007. 17 want to make a motion 18 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 18 amending all those presented the suzanne and 19 chairman and 1	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not BER PETREE: Oh, yes. MSCHRODER: So we may and a second vote
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 Now, I'll entertain a vote on 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 13 those are effective January 14 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 14 2007. 15 the hourly rate of Suzanne Brown be increased 15 BOARD MEMB 16 to \$28.61 per hour for 30 hours of work per 16 DIRECTOR DA 17 week, effective January 7th, 2007. 17 want to make a motion 18 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 19 January 7th, 2008.	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not BER PETREE: Oh, yes. MSCHRODER: So we may and a second vote
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 13 those are effective Janu 14 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 14 2007. 15 the hourly rate of Suzanne Brown be increased 15 BOARD MEMB 16 to \$28.61 per hour for 30 hours of work per 16 DIRECTOR DA 17 week, effective January 7th, 2007. 17 want to make a motion 18 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 18 amending all those presented 19 second? 19 January 7th, 2008. 19 January 7th, 2008. 10 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Second. 20 I apologize.	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not BER PETREE: Oh, yes. MSCHRODER: So we may and a second vote evious resolutions to
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 Suzanne Brown. 11 as I just found this last 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 12 looking back, I made d 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 13 those are effective January 14 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 14 2007. 15 the hourly rate of Suzanne Brown be increased 15 BOARD MEMB 16 to \$28.61 per hour for 30 hours of work per 16 DIRECTOR DA 17 week, effective January 7th, 2007. 17 want to make a motion 18 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 18 amending all those presence 19 second? 19 January 7th, 2008. 19 January 7th, 2008. 10 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Second. 10 DIRECTOR DA 17 part to make a motion 18 amending all those presence 19 January 7th, 2008. 10 January 7th, 2008. 10 January 7th, 2008.	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not BER PETREE: Oh, yes. MSCHRODER: So we may and a second vote evious resolutions to
9 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 10 Now, I'll entertain a vote on 11 Suzanne Brown. 12 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Mr. Chairman? 13 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Yes. 14 BOARD MEMBER PETREE: I move that 15 the hourly rate of Suzanne Brown be increased 16 to \$28.61 per hour for 30 hours of work per 17 week, effective January 7th, 2007. 18 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 19 second? 10 DIRECTOR DA 11 as I just found this last 12 looking back, I made d 13 those are effective January 14 2007. 15 BOARD MEMB 16 DIRECTOR DA 17 want to make a motion 18 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a 19 second? 19 January 7th, 2008. 20 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Second. 21 CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Do another roll 22 call vote, please.	NTHONY: Yes. MSCHRODER: And I think, resolution, I was late errors. All of uary 7, 2008, not BER PETREE: Oh, yes. MSCHRODER: So we may and a second vote evious resolutions to

	Page 122	
1	that we mentioned January 7th, 2007, that the	
2	record reflect the date will be January 7th,	·
3	2008.	
4	BOARD MEMBER PETREE: Second.	
5	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: All those in	
6	favor, say aye.	
7	(Board votes aye unanimously.)	
8	DIRECTOR DAMSCHRODER: And that is	
9	it.	
10	And I apologize for a very long	
11	meeting, but there was a lot of it was a	
12	good opportunity to hear from the public.	·
13	Is there a motion to adjourn?	
14	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Is there a	
15	motion to adjourn?	
16	BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I make a	
17	motion to adjourn.	
18	CHAIRMAN ANTHONY: Second.	
19	All those in favor, say aye.	
20	(Board votes aye unanimously.)	
21		
22	Thereupon, the proceeding was	
23	adjourned at 6:16 p.m.	
24	** ** ** **	
	Page 123	
1	CERTIFICATE	
2	The undersigned do hereby certify that	
3	the foregoing proceedings were digitally	
4	recorded, electronically transmitted, and	
5	transcribed via audible playback, and that	
6	the foregoing transcript of such proceedings	
7	is a full, true and correct transcript of the	
8	proceedings as so recorded.	
9	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
10 11	my hand and affixed my seal of office at Columbus, Ohio, on this day of	
12	day of day of 2008.	
13	, 2000.	
14		
15	ELEGRA R. DAVIS	
	Certified Digital Reporter	
16	Notary Public - State of Ohio.	
17	My commission expires October 2, 2009.	
18		
19 20	ADELE L. MOOREHEAD	
1	Certified Digital Transcriber	
21		
22		
23		