




Tuesday Morning Session November 22, 2019 10:13 a.m.

-     -         - 

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Looks like everybody is here. We'll take roll.

Kim Marinello.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Here.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Mike Sexton.
MEMBER SEXTON: Here.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Doug
Preisse.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And Brad
Sinnott.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Here.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We have a quorum.

The first item on the agenda is the provisional ballots, and I will call on Mel to give us a report.

MS . FUHRMANN: Good morning everyone. My name is Melissa Fuhrmann and I am here this morning on behalf of voter services.

The first order of business, you
should have in your packet a handout that says

November 2019 General Provisional Report that looks like this. And the first thing I need to ask for, I need to let you know that we had a total number of 2,374 provisional ballots cast in the November General Election. Of that number, 2,133 were approved.

I would like to ask for permission at this time to proceed with the opening of those ballots so my division can get started opening them, and then I'm happy to go through the rest of the report with you.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I think probably the first thing we need to do is approve the 2,133 , if the Board is inclined.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: As the Board knows, they're all reviewed by a bipartisan team to confirm they are valid for acceptance.

MEMBER MARINELLO: I move that the Board approve the counting of the 2,133 provisional ballots and that all provisional ballots deemed valid will be counted and included in the official canvas results for the November 5, 2019 General Election.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
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favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
If we could, could we go through the 234 that you are suggesting to be rejected?

MS. FUHRMANN: Absolutely.
Under the rejected category, eight of those provisional ballots were rejected because the address was invalid.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Which address?
MS. FUHRMANN: The address that the voter listed as their current address on the front of the provisional envelope.

134 were rejected because the voter was simply not registered anywhere in the State of Ohio, according to our records. Ten were rejected because there was no signature on the front of the provisional envelope. One was rejected because the date of birth field was missing. Six were rejected because the voter who cast the provisional ballot was deemed to have also voted absentee, and we
count the absentee ballot instead and reject the provisional ballot because you can't vote twice. Nine were rejected for the signature that was on the front of the provisional ballot not matching the signature that we had on file. And again, these signatures are reviewed by a bipartisan team and then they're submitted to Alicia and myself for further review, and then the last stop would be they're submitted and reviewed by David and Ed. And if we all agree that the signature is a mismatch, which was the case with these nine ballots, then the ballot is rejected.

MEMBER SEXTON: So all of those have been reviewed by you two and then by the Director and Deputy Director?

MS. FUHRMANN: That's right. `Three different sets of eyes.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The Board is always welcome and encouraged to look at those, as well, if you'd like to do that.

MS. FUHRMANN: 39 were rejected
because the ID on the front of the provisional envelope either didn't match or it was missing. And when I say ID, there was either the last four of a Social or a driver's license number that did
not match what we had on file or it was simply missing. And then 27 were rejected because the voter was in the wrong precinct in the wrong location, what we call a wrong church/wrong pew scenario. And the voter was informed of this fact and directed to where they needed to go, yet still decided to cast their vote in their incorrect polling location. For a total of 234 provisional ballots rejected.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Thank you, Mel. That was a good report.

Are there any questions from the
Board?
MEMBER SEXTON: Just one. So how
many are we going to review? Is that here?
MS. FUHRMANN: Seven.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: There are an additional seven --

Is that correct, Mel?
MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: -- that the
Board will review later in the meeting.
DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yeah. The 15 you mentioned is absentee.

MEMBER SEXTON: Okay.

MS. FUHRMANN: And just for your information, the seven all have to do with date of birth issues.

MEMBER MARINELLO: That's what I was going to ask was about the date of birth.

MS. FUHRMANN: Yeah. The seven, they all fall into that category.

MEMBER MARINELLO: What about this one that's rejected? Because there's nothing there?

MS. FUHRMANN: In that scenario it means that the date of birth field was simply left empty, it was blank. There was nothing.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So we're going to review some as we have before where the date of birth may be inaccurate or some issue with it, but where it's completely absent in one case we'll reject it?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
MEMBER SINNOTT: So Mel, in 2019
we're counting about 90 percent of all the provisional ballots cast. Is that typical?

MS. FUHRMANN: Mr. Sinnott, that's actually slightly higher than what we typically have, about an 80 to 85 approval rate. This time
it was slightly higher.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. And it looks as though the majority of the rejections are based on the voter not being registered or having already voted absentee.

MS. FUHRMANN: It's typically -- In my experience, the most common reason that a provisional ballot is rejected is because the voter is simply not registered anywhere in the state of Ohio. The second most common reason is typically because the voter is in the wrong church and the wrong pew. However, this time around, it turned out that --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: No ID.
MS. FUHRMANN: Yeah. They simply left that field blank or what they submitted didn't match what we have on file.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And those individuals at the time of voting provisional were given the option and directions that they could come into the Board of Elections to correct that by showing an ID. Is that --

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes.
MEMBER SEXTON: So when you say
those didn't match what we had on file, what would
be -- that's the Social Security number?
MS. FUHRMANN: Either the last four of their Social or their driver's license number. What they wrote on the front of the provisional envelope did not match what we had on our records here in Franklin County. And --

MEMBER SEXTON: Do we know how many of them were Socials and how many were driver's licenses? Or do we just -- do we track it that way?

MS. FUHRMANN: I do not have that information for you. However, I will say that because the provisional envelopes additionally count as a registration that those folks that fall into that category will be sent a letter by us here at the Board and we will inform them that the information they submitted on the front of their ballot didn't match what we had on file and could they please clarify that for us. So there is an opportunity for the voter to correct that with us.

MEMBER SEXTON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Very well.
MS. FUHRMANN: Happy to answer any other questions that you might have.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Anybody in the
room?
How many votes were cast in 2019 in Franklin County?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: In 2019 -Was it 184,000 ? I think 184,000 is what I recall.

MS. FUHRMANN: I think Mr. Mackey might have that information for you.

MR. MACKEY: 183,329.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you. Okay.
MEMBER SEXTON: I move that the
Board reject the counting of the 234 provisional ballots as identified by category in Exhibit A and that all the provisional ballots rejected will not be counted or included in the official canvas results for the November 5th, 2019, General Election.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
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Now I think it would be appropriate to release the staff to open those provisional ballots, if we could get a motion. And then we will need you back later to discuss those seven provisional ballots that require Board action.

MS. FUHRMANN: I intend to remain present.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Fantastic.
DIRECTOR LEONARD: Do we have the motion to approve the extraction?

MEMBER SINNOTT: Yes. There's going to be one right now.

I move that the Board authorize the
staff to extract the approved provisional ballots. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a second?

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
carries.
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The remaking of absentee ballots. I believe there are 15 . I will turn that over to Matt and to Gene.

MR. KELLY: Good morning. We are asking your approval this morning to remake 15 ballots that were run through our 850 machines but yet they wouldn't scan, as you can see.

MR. SHELL: That is why.
MR. KELLY: There's a few that were obviously twisted and torn a bit and there was other ballots that had some of the bars along the side that were skewed. So we're asking that we can go to the back room and remake these ballots so they are scanable and countable. We can let you --

MEMBER MARINELLO: They look bad to me.

MR. SHELL: I mean, I can give you a closer look if you wish. The post office did a lot of this damage when they went through their automated system at their post office.

MEMBER MARINELLO: I move that the Board authorize the staff to remake 15 absentee paper ballots and that the remade ballots shall be tabulated and included in the official canvas of the results for the November 5th, 2019 General

Election, with a report to be made to the Board later today.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The Motion carries.

And I believe there are two absentee ballots that need Board adjudication for voter intent.

MR. KELLY: We have Exhibit 1 and 2. These are two absentee ballots that we received that we could not obviously scan because of markings; but, number two, we could also not determine voter intent in races. Exhibit 1, specifically, there is tick marks in the bubbles, but yet we can't really determine or we'd rather have you guys determine voter intent in that race specifically. That's Exhibit 1. And then a second ballot there are markings across the ballot we would like you to review and specifically look at
the municipal court race where there have been two markings made and we cannot determine which race they were marking.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So on Exhibit 1 the question has to do with Columbus City Council?

MR. KELLY: Columbus City Council. I believe there's three marks in the bubbles there, but the marks are very light.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, to get the ball rolling, as $I$ pass this down to my colleagues, on Exhibit 1 I move that the Board count Exhibit 1 as votes for candidates Singratsomboune, White, and Baiman.

MEMBER SEXTON: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The Motion
carries.
MR. KELLY: Exhibit 2 has a number of races that have been marked outside of the bubble or near the bubble at least. We can tell
some of the races the intent, but we'd like you to review the entire ballot.

MEMBER SINNOTT: As I look at this, I can only see ambiguity as to the municipal court race involving Thomas and Dougherty.

MR. KELLY: That's the main race we'd like you to look at and all others as marked, I guess, just to verify that.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, I think it explains that all others are as marked.

On Exhibit 2 I cannot determine what is the voter's intent as to the municipal court race regarding candidates Thomas and Dougherty. So I move that the Board not count the vote cast in that particular contest.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion carries.

The next item on the agenda, Mel, is
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the seven provisional ballots for consideration by the Board.

MS. FUHRMANN: Good morning, again. Mel Fuhrmann, still for voter services. I'm back and ready to go over the seven provisional ballots with you.

These seven ballots all have in common that there is some discrepancy with the date of birth that the voter put on the front of the provisional ballot and the date of birth that we currently have on file here in Franklin County. Chapter six of the Election Official Manual issued by the Secretary of State states on page 6-5 the following: The month and day of a voter's date of birth on the affirmation statement must match the day -- the month and day of the voter's date of birth in the voter registration database unless one of the following two exceptions applies.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You're reading from what, again, Mel? Excuse me for interrupting.

MS. FUHRMANN: The Election Official
Manual, Chapter 6, Provisional Voting, page --
MEMBER SINNOTT: Do we as Board members have the text that you're reading from?

MS. FUHRMANN: I don't believe so.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We can certainly get that.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I think this is sort of tricky. So, you know, yeah.

MR. WHITE: Would the Board like a copy of that?

MEMBER SINNOTT: Only if it's real handy.

MS. FUHRMANN: It is. I apologize for not having that for you.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: That's a good suggestion.
(Brief recess taken.)
MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you.
MS. FUHRMANN: Again, my apologies
for not having that ready.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: That's all right.
MS. FUHRMANN: Page 6.5 at the top, the month and day of the voter's date of birth on the affirmation statement must match the month and day of the voter's date of birth in the voter registration database unless one of the following two exceptions applies: One, the voter's date of birth in the database is 1-1-1800; or -- and this is the applicable section -- the Board of Elections
finds by a vote of at least three members that the voter has met all the other requirements of Division (B) (3) of Revised Code 3505.183. Note: The requirements of Division (B) (3) of Revised Code 3505.183 are: One, the provisional voter is registered to vote; two, the provisional voter is eligible to cast a ballot in the precinct and for the election in which the individual cast the ballot; three, the provisional voter provided all of the information required, i.e., printed name, signature, date of birth, and the current address, and provided identification on election day or during the seven days following the election; four, if the provisional voter provided their Social Security number, driver's license, or state identification number, that number is not different than the number contained in the statewide voter registration database; five, the provisional voter provided their current address; and six, if the provisional voter has been challenged they provided information necessary to resolve the challenge during the seven days following the election or the Board resolved the challenge in favor of the voter at a hearing.

So in the seven examples that I have
for you this morning, all of those requirements are met and we have a discrepancy in the date of birth. Usually the discrepancy is one digit of either the month, day, or year of the voter's date of birth. There is a discrepancy of typically one digit other than what we have on file.

Now, I have two examples this morning for your review in which we have no documentation on file at all to which to compare the date of birth.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: How is that?
MS. FUHRMANN: We have no
registration document, we have no absentee document, literally no documentation on file to which to compare --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You mean they're not registered?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Those documents just don't have the date of birth on them.

MS. FUHRMANN: That's right.
MEMBER MARINELLO: So they got registered without their date of birth?

MS. FUHRMANN: We don't have the documents on file.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: How do we know they're a registered voter?

MS. FUHRMANN: Because at some point they registered with us, they're in the database, but there are no documents on file. Maybe they never got scanned into the record. But there's simply no -- there's no documents on file to which to compare.

MS. HEALY: Can I just add
something?
So back in 2004 there was a conversion from a different system and some of the information did not convert over. So some of the information that we may have may be on microfiche. We just did not have the opportunity to go to look at the microfiche to see what the original registration is.

MEMBER MARINELLO: So will you let this voter know we need this information or have you or anything?

MS. FUHRMANN: Well, again, a provisional ballot also counts as a registration.

MEMBER MARINELLO: But you don't have a date of birth, so --

MS. FUHRMANN: We have the date of
birth that they have provided, but we have to take them at their word that this is, in fact, their date of birth. And if I may, I'm happy to show you.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: A provisional ballot constitutes a registration?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, sir. The front of the envelope does.

So they've provided us with a date of birth, but we have to essentially take them at their word that this is in fact their date of birth because we don't have anything to compare it to. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It provides for a registration for future elections, not this election. Does that make sense?

MEMBER SINNOTT: Any idea how many registered voters we have on the roster who we don't have a date of birth?

MS. FUHRMANN: I do not know the answer to that.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Is it common or --
MR. MACKEY: I don't remember when it happened, but prior to a certain date the date of birth was not a requirement on the registration. So people that registered before that time, that is
not part of the record.
MEMBER SINNOTT: A fairly recent change? Decades ago or generations ago?

MR. MACKEY: Probably a couple of decades at this point.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So presumably we have a bunch of people on the rolls for whom we don't have a date of birth.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What is the circumstance -- maybe I'm going down a rabbit hole -- whereby the date of birth would be listed 1-1-1800?

MEMBER SINNOTT: That's a default of some sort.

MR. MACKEY: I think that was part of the thing to address the people that didn't have a date of birth when we converted.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: It's a placeholder that was put in.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Yes.
MS. FUHRMANN: So I would venture to say that it is not the norm that we would not have the date of birth on file. For example, if you use these seven provisional ballots as a sample, only two out of the seven did not have a date of birth
on file document that we could compare to.
MEMBER MARINELLO: That's a lot, two out of seven, though.

MS. FUHRMANN: Again, it's just a sample; but I can't venture to say how often that occurs.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay, Mel. So on all seven of those, they fit within the 3505.183 (B) (3) exception?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, sir, they do. They meet all other requirements. There's nothing else deficient about their --

MEMBER SINNOTT: And if three board members find that to be true, then these ballots should be counted under the Secretary's directive?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, sir.
MEMBER SINNOTT: And for two of the seven there is no date of birth information in the Board's current records to match what has been placed on the provisional ballot envelope?

MS. FUHRMANN: That's correct. There is no document to compare it to.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Post-election that information would be used to update the voter's information.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Just so we're seeing, do you want to raise the other five?

MS. FUHRMANN: Yes, sir.
These other five do have documentation that I've printed out for your review so you can compare it yourself.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay.
DIRECTOR LEONARD: Some were -what? -- a transposition of numbers?

MS. FUHRMANN: Sure.
It's different variations on the same theme. For example, we may have a month and a day transposed on one of the examples. The rest of the examples I think we just have a variance of like one digit when it comes to the day or the year. We have one example there where somebody forgot the one for the date of birth of 1981. I mean, just about any conceivable scenario you can come up with on a date of birth mismatch is probably in there, but the important point here is that the affirmation statement meets the statute in all other respects under 3505.13 ( $B$ ) (3).

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Just for humor, I'll point out that the manual as you've read says, we can't count or you shouldn't count the votes if
the date of birth is inaccurate unless all the other provisions are met, including providing the date of birth. So I think we probably understand the intent of the wise legislators and administrators who created these documents and laws, but it's a little circular.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I think what that means is that they can literally put in anything. If they leave it blank altogether -CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I see what you're saying and I agree.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: If the
provisional voter provided all the other required information, signature, date of birth, address.

MEMBER SINNOTT: As for the seven provisional ballots for consideration, I move that the Board approve the counting of all seven provisional ballots, finding that they meet the requirements of Revised Code 3505.183 (B) (3) and that these provisional ballots be deemed valid and counted and included in the official canvas results for the November 5, 2019 General Election.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a second?

MEMBER SEXTON: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The Motion carries.

I would need a motion to authorize the extraction.

MEMBER MARINELLO: I move that the Board authorize the staff to extract the additional seven approved provisional ballots.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a second?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The Motion carries.

The next motion would permit the
staff to remake any of the ballots that they're opening that would require remakes. We have done that in the past so that they don't have to come back, unless of course they require voter intent. If they require voter intent, they would come back. If it's just a matter of them not being able to run through the machine, the Board could authorize the staff to remake those in a bipartisan effort.

MEMBER SEXTON: I move the Board authorize the staff to remake provisional ballots and that these remade ballots shall be tabulated and included in the official canvas results for the November 5th, 2019, General Election, with a report to be made to the Board later today.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
MS. FUHRMANN: Thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All in favor say aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
carries.
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There are six unscanned ballots from election day that we would like the Board to give approval for.

Jeff, you want to address that?
MR. MACKEY: So on the night of election six ballots came back to us that were not, to the best of our knowledge, counted at the polling location because of problems with the ballot and the bar codes or code channels or such things that --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So walk us through physically what we think happened.

MR. MACKEY: So we believe that the voter voted their ballot, and when they attempted to scan it there was an issue. Well, with four of them there was an issue with the bar code that prevented it from being scanned at the polling location.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So the machine kicked it out or --

MR. MACKEY: Right. Wouldn't be taken by the scanner, right. What should have happened was that the card got spoiled and the voter voted again using a different ballot to vote. That did not happen in this case, though, and it
came back to us.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So what happened to those pieces of paper?

MR. MACKEY: So they ended up, I believe, in most instances in a yellow provisional envelope that the provisional reconciliation determined should not have been, that they were regular voters. These two even got the X in the corner that would indicate the poll worker had given them a ballot because they thought they were a provisional voter. So these couldn't be scanned in the polling location so they came back to us. But they -- upon reviewing all the information we had, I believe that they were regular voters, these should have been counted at the polling location. So we would like to remake those ballots so that they can be included in the official canvas.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You just held up two different versions of the ballot. The thinner one is the one that goes into the --

MR. MACKEY: They all get read in the scanner, but this one would have been cast on the voting machine.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The other two -MR. MACKEY: Are paper ballots. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Gotcha.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: So for all practical purposes, it was poll worker error in putting them in a provisional envelope. That should not have occurred.

MR. MACKEY: Right. Yeah
DIRECTOR LEONARD: Right.
What should have occurred -- and the
machine could not read. It should have been treated as a spoiled ballot. The voter would get another ballot blank and cast their vote using the ballot marker or make their selections, print it again, and put it into the ballot counter. But it appears in this instance that the poll worker simply treated it -- put in envelope and the system processed it.

MEMBER SEXTON: So we just simply need to rescan them?

MR. MACKEY: Well, we need to remake them so that they will scan and then we'll scan those. They've all ended up on full-face ballots now.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I guess this is a category of spoiled ballot that we would have seen before we adopted the new machines?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: That is correct.

MEMBER SEXTON: I don't think we had anything like this in the primary.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: In the primary you wouldn't had have that because the paper ballot is in the machine.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Are you happy there's only six? I mean, do you think that -DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yes. I would say we would like to see none, but -MEMBER MARINELLO: That will happen. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We'll address that in training, as well, to our poll workers to make sure that they treat those correctly the next time.

MEMBER SEXTON: With that, I move the Board authorize the staff to remake six unscanned election day ballots and that each remade ballot shall be tabulated and included in the official canvas results from the November 5th, 2019, General Election with a report to be made to the Board later today.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a second? CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
carries.
The next item is the purchase of firewall protection. The Secretary of State gave each board of election $\$ 50,000$ for cyber security upgrades, and we are required to spend that $\$ 50,000$ on cyber security upgrades. One of those items that we would like to purchase is a firewall protection.

Do we have -- I believe in here we have --

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Well, Carolyn
Gorup from our office and David and I coordinate with the data center on a pretty regular basis about what needs exist to upgrade the network system and ensure that we're in compliance with the Secretary of State's directive.

And the data center is recommending
that we acquire an additional at firewall. And again, we've been in contact with the Secretary of State's office, as well. But our data center feels the need that this firewall is needed, again, in order to help us be in compliance with the Secretary of State's cyber security directive and that it is something that we can use to use the Secretary of State's $\$ 50,000$ grant to purchase.

The data center went through -- they sought price quotes for the equipment, and three companies were approached. Again, the data center utilizes different purchasing avenues that they have. They sought quotes from three companies. Two responded with quotes, one responded that they were not going to quote this equipment. And the purchase order that you have in front of you is the purchase order for the lowest price solution. Let's see. It's done off of a state term purchasing cooperative. It's not state term pricing in the normal sense. The county belongs -the county data center belongs to different contracting or cooperatives so that they give us the best pricing operations, and these were purchased through one of those purchasing cooperatives.

MEMBER SINNOTT: This sounds like an unusual situation where the Secretary is saying, here's $\$ 50,000$ to spend on cyber security and do with it what you think most important.

How did we go about prioritizing?
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The
Secretary of State helps us prioritize, too. We run these by the Secretary of State, Diane Grosso. She's in charge of the program. And she either tells us, yes, that's a legitimate spending of the $\$ 50,000$ or we'd like to see you spend it on this first. And actually, that is the case in this situation, they suggested the firewall and then the next, the multi-factor authentication.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So we're not only getting authorization from the Secretary's office, but we're getting a recommendation of what priorities should be?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: That is correct.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So in laymen's terms what is this?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: It's essentially a defensive mechanism in terms of preventing external bad actors from penetrating the county's
system, a firewall. I mean, what a firewall does in a forest fire is it's that break.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We do have firewalls already, but this is an additional firewall between the Board of Elections and the data center.

MEMBER SINNOTT: And then what is the multi-factor authentication?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Multi-factor authentication is essentially a dual password. Right now every employee in order to access the Integrity software system has to input a password, and also it's utilizing the existing password that you put in when you log into the computer. What this does -- Many of you may be familiar with multi-factor authentication when it comes to your credit cards, your banks. When you go in to input your information or you put your log-in first and then it requires you to go through a second step before it will actually allow you to access your account. In the same manner, Board of Elections employees will have to not just input their password, but they'll have a second authentication factor that they're going to have to input in order to access the system.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE:
Interestingly enough, I asked the same question of Carolyn, what is multi-factor authentication. She explained it to me. That evening I heard on the news that Disney had been hacked and the reason that they were able to be hacked was because they didn't have multi-factor authentication. I was, like, wow, I never even heard of it until that day, and then that night literally last week they are hacked.

MEMBER SINNOTT: It looks as though we'll spend 29,000 and 50,000 .

DIRECTOR LEONARD: So far. There will be an additional approximately about 1,600 that we'll use to do the background checks through BCI, and then there's --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: There's possibly some caging.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Possible caging,
as well. But then also there are five UPS essentially backup battery units we would utilize for our servers, and that's -- we are the process of getting price quotes for that. And Carolyn expressed to me that without question we'll be able to utilize. If we use the Secretary of State's
funding for that type of equipment that we will easily be able to make sure that we utilize all the funds that are available from the Secretary of State's office. That battery backup essentially makes sure that -- We actually had an incident on election day where our servers went down and failure of the UPS, the backup battery.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So there will be additional proposals in the future.

I move that the Board authorize the staff to purchase firewall equipment in the amount of $\$ 17,754$ from CDW-G to increase network security and comply with Secretary of State Directive 2019-08.

> DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a
second?
MEMBER SEXTON: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
carries.
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MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board authorize the staff to engage EMCS to create a multi-factor authentication capability for Integrity login at a cost not to exceed $\$ 11,550$ to increase voter database security and comply with Secretary of State Directive 2019-08.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
carries.
The next item on here is the Prime 3SG contract which involves election night web application.

Director, do you want to address that?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: The second document you have that references contract amendment number one is what is asked for approval. 3SG Prime has been providing us with support for the last several years, developed the application
for the election night display that all of you see and we've gotten a lot of compliments on the functionality of that web application. We're at the point where they were seeking to no longer support it and -- but they want to give us that web application, donate it to Franklin County, and they want to take the tax write-off for it. I approached Harold Anderson who handles reviewing contracts and he indicated it was not something out of the ordinary, that there had been other instances. So the agreement simply is that they're going to donate the software and we agreed to accept that donation of the software application. The data center has been working with us over the last couple of elections. And actually during the time that Prime 3SG was working with us directly on the application the data center was working side by side with them so that the data center is able to take over supporting us with this application going forward.

## DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And that

happened this last election, we operated without 3SG and it went seamlessly.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Sounds like a fine idea. As long as we're talking about what people
see on election night, I notice that in 2019 we had a 22 percent turnout. We didn't have our votes counted until about 11 o'clock or so. In 2020 there will be a whole lot more people voting. Are we concerned about 2020, given the speed of counting in 2019?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Yes. And you what was great about that, if I can say, is that everybody in past elections because setup and take-down, closing the polling locations, took longer for larger locations, they came in staggered. This election, because it was so easy for the Board because of our new machines to close, literally all the cars got here at the same time and we weren't prepared for it.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So what happened when all those cars were lined up?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: They backed up all the way to Karl Road.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: What happens is the drive-thru area is located on the east side of building. There are two drive-thru passageways. And the VLMs, the two-person teams that come back from each polling location, they are queued up behind the building. And so it starts -- well, it
was starting at Karl Road coming in. And essentially once you get past the Kroger, the backup at Kroger, it splits into two lines and starts forming to queue up into the drive-thru area. We've got ten teams of people who work to get all that material out and --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So what are you thinking about doing?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: More lanes.
DIRECTOR LEONARD: More lanes.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: More tents to break up people, more staff.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Antone has been working on that already. We've been looking at it, what happened, how we improve it. I mentioned the idea of we simply start sending teams of people out between the lines of cars and simply getting the sticks with the results and verifying with the poll workers in the car that you have everything you're supposed to have. So if you didn't bring back the ballot box, if you didn't bring back the chute from the ADA compliant machines or you didn't bring back the USB sticks from the ballot counters, then we know that as soon as possible so we can start sending them back to get that information. But if
they have the book -- there's a binder that we get from everything voting location manager that has all those USB sticks in it -- that we start working the line to get that ahead of time so that somebody's not sitting in line for 45 minutes.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: When you can walk out and get it?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yeah. When we can walk out and get it and bring it back. And we can give some kind of receipt so that when they get to that point in drive-thru line they can show somebody that I gave that to somebody already.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Why do they need to say in line?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Because there's other stuff that needs to come back. But what that does is when they get to that point then you're just taking it out. Right now what we do is we take it out and we're sorting through to pull out the things that we need right away.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Which worked in past elections because they were staggered and we weren't rushed. This time it's, like, holy cow, we're a victim of our own success. Because the machines, the close-down, we heard from all of our
poll workers how easy it is so they all got here at the same time.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: We are looking at ways to improve that election night drive-thru and exploring different options that are available to us to move that quicker. And again, the other part, too, is if somebody doesn't have everything that we start that process of sending them back out sooner. Because sometimes what will happen is if you have a late arrival and you find out they've been sitting in line for 20 minutes or a half hour only to get to front of line to be told you need to go back and get something.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yeah. But aren't the machines being collected, as well?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: No. The machines don't get collected until the next day or -- Well, we spend the next two weeks after post-election sending our trucks out to pick up the machines. The thing they bring back election night is the box from the ballot counter, that big ballot scanner. There's a box underneath that. There's a chute that attaches to the ADA machine and then there's a binder with all of the USB drives and then there's a whole bunch of other stuff, workbooks and --

There's a lot of other material that comes back that's brought back by the poll workers. So we are definitely working on that.

MEMBER SINNOTT: We had almost 12 months to work on this. And from a management perspective, this needs to be a matter of the greatest priority because there is this one particular moment when the eyes of everyone interested in central Ohio politics turns to how well the Board is doing counting votes. And we were stymied in 2019 because of this phenomenon in the parking lot.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: That's why I say the idea of going out -- instead of somebody sitting in line in their car with this binder waiting to get to the front of the line, we go out and get it. Because again, number one, to make sure they got it and that they have everything and it allows us to get it processed and we can start processing those results quicker.

MEMBER SINNOTT: That is the one moment where we are center stage in our core central function of counting votes. Thank you for that explanation. It was interesting.

MEMBER SEXTON: I move the Board
authorize the Director and Deputy Director to execute the Prime 3SG agreement and accept the donation to the Franklin County Board of Elections of the election night web application.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a second?

MEMBER SEXTON: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I'm going to
do roll call.
Kim Marinello.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Mike Sexton.
MEMBER SEXTON: Yes.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Doug
Preisse.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm going to
abstain.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And Brad
Sinnott.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Yes.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
carries.
The next item on the agenda is the background check policy.

Do you want to address that,

Director?
DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yes.
The Board members at the last meeting discussed the background check policy that's a requirement of the Secretary of State's security directive, Directive 2019-08. And one of the elements of that directive is that each board is required to adopt a policy for conducting background checks. The directive specifies that all permanent employees of the board of elections must be subject to a background check and all vendors that perform sensitive services for the board.

After the last meeting we had further conversations with the Secretary of State's office because two questions that seemed to be still outstanding were, one, whether or not we were required to do a background check through BCI, and the second, whether or not felony convictions were an automatic disqualification from employment with the board of elections.

With regard to $B C I$, we have had conversation -- And you may want to interject some of the conversations you've had, Deputy Director, with the Secretary of State's office. But I
believe that there is the authority to conduct the -- Well, their information that they provided us at the time, there were some forms that they provided us that did make it clear that the background checks needed to be done through BCI. Then the question became, well, does the Secretary of State have authority to have those background checks done by BCI. And it's my understanding that they believe they do based on the directive.

And, then, if you would -- Deputy Director, you had mentioned you talked to Patrick Piccininni, counsel up there.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: He mentioned that the reason that $B C I$ was required and that fingerprinting is required is because now, since the 2016 elections, that we are under the auspices -- elections are under the auspices of Homeland Security and they require that level of background check for full-time employees. DIRECTOR LEONARD: As a critical infrastructure.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And we are required by the Secretary of State and confirmed with other boards of election, they're all using BCI, they're all using fingerprints. And then to
the other issue of felony convictions, the Secretary of State's office as they sometimes do asked us to talk to our county prosecutor. And I believe -- I don't want to speak out of turn, but I believe our county prosecutor believes that felony convictions are a non-starter and that the Board does not have discretion in that. And we talked to Andrew King who is with the Secretary of State's office and he said I cannot give you advice but I wouldn't disagree with your county prosecutor.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: I did reach out to some other counties about their approach with regard to felony convictions. Hamilton County, their policy that they've adopted has a specific prohibition that essentially says all applicants with past felony convictions are not eligible for appointment. Applicants with past misdemeanor convictions will not be automatically disqualified. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is that eh ORC on this felony matter or --

DIRECTOR LEONARD: As we discussed the last time, there was two statutory provisions that we were looking at, 3501.27 , which is the statutory provision that said that election official is geared towards precinct election
officials. But it says that an election official shall not be -- a conviction of a felony can't be an election official. Then when you read 3501.10, I believe it is, that specifies -- . 01 (U) defines election official. 3501.01(U), that section defines what an election official is. And that definition of an election official includes employees of the board of elections. So when you read the two in conjunction with one another, that an election official cannot be a convicted felon and then it defines an election official to include a board of elections employee then that's the requirement. And the conversation with the Secretary of State's office is the logical conclusion is, wait, you can't have a precinct election official with a felony but you could have a full-time employee with a felony. Cuyahoga County, they don't have a written policy, but -they said they don't have a specific written policy but they have a prosecutor's opinion they relied on to not hire convicted felons. Right now Montgomery County still has not stopped it, but they relied on an opinion from 2006 that they received from their prosecutor.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: But they
will be required to have a policy before the first of the year.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yeah.
Some other counties that -- I was given the policy from a couple of other smaller counties. Suzi O'Brien from the Secretary of State's office provided those to me. And some of those are just ambiguous about it, very brief, very ambiguous about felony convictions.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So what we believe is that the secretary has directed that there be the BCI employee background check for all regular board employees?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yes.
MEMBER SINNOTT: And that a felony conviction would preclude board employment. And that if an employee would not submit to the BCI background check that an employee could not continue employment at the Board.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: That's correct.
MEMBER SINNOTT: We're all in agreement about that.

MEMBER SEXTON: There a question in terms of how does this work if someone has a felony convictions? I mean, my concern about this is it
seems like if you're 18 years old, you get convicted of a felony, you pay your debt to society, and then sometime later you might get expunged. I mean, is this -- it kind of seems like a lifetime ban from working at the Board of Elections after the conviction. And I'm just curious, are there ways to -- is there expungement? Do we know at all or have any advice on that from the prosecutor's office?

MR. LECKLIDER: I'll be honest, I don't know whether a background check captures expunged convictions. Sitting here I don't know the answer to that question.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: And I don't know for sure whether an expungement means the offense never occurred. I mean, sealing it, you're simply sealing it from public view. But is an expungement as if the offense never occurred or is it simply not available to view? And to your point -- I haven't considered that -- will a BCI check find an offense expunged.

So the other aspect of it is in
section two of -- it's on the bottom of page two -for our operational purposes David and I, because we are required by the directive to conduct the BCI
background check for all full-time employees, that that's the methodology we will use for full-time employees, but for seasonal staff, part-time staff the directive doesn't require it to be done by BCI. And so for those instances a background check would be done through OPENonline because, again, it doesn't -- from an operational standpoint when we bring in six new seasonals on a particular Tuesday during the election cycle for us to go through this whole process of getting it arranged for fingerprinting, it just -- it becomes cumbersome for us. So to be able to do an OPENonline background check without a fingerprint requirement, we would like to pursue that method for processing seasonals and part-time because, again, it's just operationally more efficient.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And background checks aren't required on those individuals at all.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: But we feel we ought to.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So you're proposing that we add that on top of what the Secretary of State is saying we have to do?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Correct.

But that was in the original that I presented at last board meeting except everybody was going through OPENonline. What we've done is just created a different system. For full-time, they're required BCI.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Section 6?
DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yes. Section 6, paragraph (A) (2).

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. Pardon the interruption.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Because the directive requires full-time employees to be background checked through BCI, that's part of our policy. And since we're not required to do that for part-time and seasonal employees, we're going to do the background check through OPENonline because operationally we feel it's a better method for us, it's quicker, and --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And this has to happen with everybody in both categories in the fourth quarter of every year immediately preceding a presidential year. So that's now.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Right. So that would be the plan.

So that being that we update it
every four years, because if something happens in the interim. So whether you started with us six months ago or three and a half years ago, you still have to go through another background check.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And that's also a requirement that our background checks be done for full-time employees before the first of the year.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So when -- I don't want to get too far in the weeds here, but because we've had some inquiries and concerns. When an employee submits to this process, there's a file created at BCI and/or here or where? DIRECTOR LEONARD: We'll get -CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is that public information?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: We will get a report back and that will be part of a file. At this point, it wouldn't be placed in the person's personnel file. There would simply be a file of all the background checks made.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And that file will probably be maintained at BCI and here?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: I would imagine BCI would maintain a copy of it. I don't know what
they do.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is that public
information?
DIRECTOR LEONARD: That, I can't answer.

MR. LECKLIDER: No.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We're getting a "no" from counsel.

MR. LECKLIDER: Well, the conclusion report that is generated by $B C I$ and provided to the board of elections, I believe that the board of elections can maintain it in a separate file separate from the personnel file and that would not considered a public record. So if someone requested a personnel file of an individual, I believe the Board can legally maintain a separate file within this confidential information and it is not disclosable. And I would have to believe the same applies to the BCI.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And the other thing, that's not coming to Director or I; that's coming to human resources. So we won't have access to it unless human resources finds something that requires them to bring it to the Director and I. And likely, if that happens, we would then
bring that to the Board.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.
MR. LECKLIDER: And if I may add, to the extent that there's information being maintained by the county human resources department, then, of course, they maintain confidential information on every employee that is protected that is not disclosed. I mean, health related information and so forth is a prime example.

MEMBER SINNOTT: If we adopt this policy today, by what point would BCI background checks be complete? Do you we know?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE:
December 6th, is that when they're coming in?
DIRECTOR LEONARD: December 5th they're going to be here to do the scans. They do not do fingerprint cards, you know, ink and paper fingerprint cards, they will do a fingerprint scan. And so they've reached out to them about how we can do this in a much more orderly fashion since we have 44 full-time employees. And they will come to our facility in the conference room and simply do the fingerprint scan at that point.

MEMBER SEXTON: This covers
full-time and part-time employees, but not poll workers?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: We don't do background checks for poll workers. Poll workers, part of their application is attestation that they are not convicted of a felony. But the background checks, again, full-time employees will get a background check through BCI; part-time and seasonal and temporary employees, they will be through OPENonline.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And poll workers, not anything other an attestation. What about members of the Board of Elections?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: That I'd have to verify. The initial reaction and response I got from our liaison from the Secretary of State's office is that board members would have to, but I -- I want to get --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We're not employees.

MEMBER MARINELLO: We did a background when we --

DIRECTOR LEONARD: That's why I want to get clarification from more than just our liaison.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I thought we already did a background check.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: In order to be appointed you would have to undergo it.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Have we heard anything about how long it takes BCI take to get back?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Ed had the specific conversation. We were told they would expedite it.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Our counsel was pointing out the fact that the provision does -- we do have a provision in our policy that requires -it's an affirmative duty to report. So employees if are convicted of an offense during the interim period, from the background check conducted now, they are required to notify the Director or Deputy Director they have been.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Do we have an expectation as to when BCI will get back to us, then? We know the process will be complete by first week of December here, but when do we get the reports back?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: I don't have that information off the top of my head. They recognize
the time frame that we're under, though, and will work with us on that and it will be a priority to them.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I move that the Board adopt the employee/vendor criminal background check policy as presented and set up an account with BCI to perform employee background checks as mandated in Secretary of State Directive 2019-08.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a second?

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion
carries.
At this point, the only item we could discuss -- we're waiting for results -- the only thing we could do at this point is we could either recess or we could discuss the 2020 communications plan.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do we have a
sense of if we were to recess how much time that would --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I can go back and ask.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I mean, it makes a difference whether any of us want to go downtown for meetings or not.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Let me go ask.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you.
(Brief recess taken.)
DIRECTOR LEONARD: Well, again, in terms of the communications plan, I've spent time putting this together. Hopefully all the board members if not today between now and our next meeting will have the opportunity to review it all.

But I mean, I don't think it takes a genius to know that our 2020 election is going to be the biggest election this organization has ever faced. Our voter rolls right now for the November 2019 election are what they were in 2016. They are what they were in 2008. So they are -our registration rolls at 843,000 registered voters are going to only grow and they're going to grow substantially between now and the November 2020
election. And the turnout is likely to be larger than it was in 2016 or 2008; but even in raw numbers it's definitely going to be larger. And we need to be encouraging voters to use every method available. So, again, not to just advertising, but every communication method that we have. One of the reasons we got to do that is to avoid congestion here at early vote center. We've seen the construction of the Bank of America and the Burger King as well as the fact that Home Buys is occupying the old Kroger space. If that construction took up 256 spaces and then you add to it what Home Buys is taking and even adding back in all the extra spaces that we got from the re-striping and the resurfacing of the parking lot, we're still 163 parking spaces short of where we were in 2016 and even in 2016 we were packed to the gills. We had people parking on the grass, they were parking on service roads, they were parking -they were backed up on Morse Road. Even our CPD representatives are warning against the fact that we could have it backed up all the way to 71. That's how concerned they are. And they are the ones directing the traffic. We've had wait times as long as an hour plus for the early vote center,
and we are doing everything we can to improve that. We've done work on the parking lot. We've done work with the mock election that we did to try and find ways to maximize the through put of voters at the Board of Elections, but we still need to be encouraging people to utilize the vote by mail system to its fullest capacity, we need to be encouraging voters to use the entire 28-day period of early vote instead of simply showing up on the weekend before the election. Because, again, if we do, we're going to be swamped. And the Board of Elections has a great reputation for the early vote center and the way it operates and we don't want to spoil that with having it being way too congested at the early vote center. One rationale is to reduce provisional voters. I mean, we were all here when we got criticized about provisional voters and the high number of provisional voters we have. And the three primary reasons people fail to -- or that they end up having to vote provisional is they haven't updated their registration or haven't registered, they fail to bring the correct ID or they fail to go to the right polling location. Those are the types of things that a public education effort and an
advertising and communication plan can help rectify. And again, these are -- since the 2016 election and now when you include this year -- this election's numbers of provisionals, we have over 48,000 provisional voters that have voted since the '16 election. And now over 6,000 of those were rejected. Provisional voters showed up at the polls and wanted to cast their votes and their votes were rejected because either they didn't have the right ID, they hadn't updated or registered, or they were at the wrong location and they were rejected because of that. Since the 2016 election, 2017, '18, and '19, we've had 45,000 people vote provisional and of those -- I'm sorry -- 48,000, and of those over 6,000 got rejected because of those reasons, didn't have the right ID, didn't update their registration or register, and they didn't show up at the right voting location. So again, we could be doing better, and part of that is educating the voters. Our voter registration rolls, since 2016 we've 24,000 18-year-olds join the voting rolls. We've had over 16,000 naturalized citizens since 2016. So we have new people who are joining the ranks. We had 164,000 new registrations in Franklin County and it doesn't
even consider all the people who either moved within Franklin County or who moved here from another county into Franklin County. So these are all people who need that information and we should be the ones to be providing that. One of the things about the impact on polling locations is that I think *Steve Buhlen would tell you and many of the folks who train our poll workers is that the biggest thing that gums up the works on election day, some of the biggest issues we have is provisional voters, that they have to kind of take them off to the side, you've got to confirm whether or not they should be voting provisional, and then that whole process, and it slows things up at the polling location and creates headaches at the polling location and it creates headaches for the people who don't have to vote provisional. So by educating the voters about voter ID, by encouraging voter ID and making sure they confirm their voting location, those types of messages we can help reduce and improve the impact at the polling locations so that operations at the polling locations are smoother. And then post-election benefit. Chairman Preisse, you had mentioned you weren't aware that the affirmation is a
registration form. That's the end of the process, is having to process all of those. So in the last presidential when we had 23,300 provisionals, those 23,000 affirmation envelopes had to be processed so that we updated all that information post-election. We have the election night verification and accounting process to make sure that we've accounted for all the provisional ballots, that we do a very labor intensive verification process. Bipartisan teams have to verify all those provisional ballots. And then after it's all said and done we have to -- that's all got to get compressed within that 21-day period. And then, finally, you have to data enter all that. So the high number of provisional ballots causes a lot of issues for us both at the polling location and as an operational aspect, not to mention the actual impact it has on voters. I mean, people who have to vote provisional feel like somehow it's not getting to get counted, that they aren't sure. And so by reducing that, we can help give greater confidence to people who are coming in to cast their votes.

And then one of the other issues that I raise that we ought to be considering as we
prepare is the risk of disinformation from a standpoint of protecting the election to combat disinformation. Secretary of State's office has expressed concern about the risk of disinformation campaigns, people being misled about where they vote or other requirements. And the Senate intelligence committee has recommended that public education campaigns be undertaken in order to ensure that voters know where to go to the polls. It's not just about letting them know it's election day, it's about making sure that they're ready for election day with all the right information. And Franklin County has already been targeted twice before. In 2016 we had the viral story out there about the fact that ballots were found in a warehouse in a basement in downtown Columbus that were already filled out for Hillary Clinton. And then in 2018 we had a viral video out there that had to get smacked down by the Department of Homeland Security with the assistance of the Secretary of State's office, a viral video that got out there. So we're already being targeted, our voters have been targeted, and we have to be proactive to prepare our voters to be ready for this upcoming election. So that's why I laid out
the four options that I laid out for you. Again, I'm not one option over another. I just wanted to provide you with options.

The first funding option is a full funding option for both elections, both the primary and the general election. The idea is that there's a message that needs to be communicated early in the process and that is at the time of early vote. Because, again, we want to encourage voters to show up earlier in the process as opposed to later in the process. That by encouraging people to vote early, earlier, will help take the stress off. So if you look at the funding option on the color spreadsheets, the early vote is in the tan. And in the primary election that early vote advertising campaign would begin February 17th, at the beginning of the early vote cycle, and continue on to March 10th. And again, the idea being you want to start that early in the process so you encourage people to take advantage of early vote as early as possible. Then the components of it include broadcast television, over-the-top, which is a method of advertising in streaming services, there's cost for production, radio, radio production, digital. And then for the primary, if
you go down that same column, further down there is the voter ID and voter location campaign, advertising campaign, and that would be beginning March 11th through the morning of election day. And that part of that campaign is a different message, and that message is ensuring voters know where to go and know what to bring, that they know where their voting location is, that they know what they voter ID they need to bring so we can, again, cut down on the number of provisional voters by making sure the voters know as best as possible what voter ID they need to bring and how they can be ready by checking their voting location to ensure they know where they've supposed to go to vote. And then on the far column it has the general vote, the columns on the right of the spreadsheet for option one. Those lay out the same advertising campaigns or the same advertising messages for the period right before early vote starts in the general election. And the period right before election day down below in the right-hand corner is the general election costs for advertising for the same voter identification, voting location message that would be in the primary. And then on the second page of the
funding option number one is, again, a separate message for voter registration. And this would be, again, encouraging people to update their registration, get registered to vote, make sure that their registration is updated, and that time frame would be in the period before the close of registration. And so because you have different messages that need to be communicated at different times, that's why it's laid out the way it is.

And then funding option two is essentially the same as funding option one, with the exception that newspaper advertisement are reduced -- or eliminated, I should say, which then brings the cost for funding option two down to 808,000.

Funding option three is the same as one with the exception of in the primary it eliminates the early vote message. I think it's beneficial to communicate to individuals about the need to vote as early as possible and get people in that mindset of taking advantage of the full 28 days of the early vote cycye. But if we had to reduce the cost and we had to eliminate a message, the early vote message for the primary may make the most sense to eliminate. Because the idea being is
that if you reduce the amount being spent on a message you're essentially watering down the impact of the message. So the better course is not to water down each of your messages by reducing the amount of advertising, that it's of more benefit to simply decide which message you can eliminate and reduced that in total. So option three eliminates the early vote message, but still emphasizes a voter ID message and a voting location message for the primary.

And then the fourth funding option is strictly a primary -- or I'm sorry -- a general election message which would have a message about voter registration, making sure people are registered and updated, another message for early vote that would encourage people to take advantage of the full 28 days, and to encourage people to take advantage of the mail. And then the third message -- and again, option four is only for the general election and the same -- another message at the end that would focus on voter ID and voter location. So, again, it provides specific messages for specific time periods that are relevant to those time periods. So there's no sense giving an early vote message at the very end; you want to
give the early vote message at the beginning of the cycle to encourage people to utilize it towards the beginning of the cycle.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So Ed, to understand the cost of this plan to the taxpayers, in looking at option one, what we would have to do is add together all of the figures in the tan and all of the figures in the blue and all the figures in the green?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Right. The totals appear in the bottom column at the bottom of what is -- at bottom of the green you'll see a total for primary and general, and you go all the way down that column to the very back. Chairman Preisse I think found it on the back of the first page. And so it's the bottom right column of the spreadsheet. And you'll find that the same with option two. With option three it's in the bottom right column that aggregates all of the figures.

MEMBER SINNOTT: And again, referring to option one, all of this money would be spent on commercial television time, radio time, social media ads, newspaper, and consultants?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Right.
MEMBER SINNOTT: All right.

And then, do you envision the advertisements dealing with the requirements of Ohio election law for voting to be recitations of the provisions of Ohio law or that we be encouraging the listener or viewer to go to the Board's website for detailed information?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: It would be encouraging people to -- because, again, you're limited to a 30-second message or even on some digital even a shorter period of time. So it really would be directing them to either a 1-800 number -- and that's really one of the things I reference in the narrative portion of the communication plan is actually utilizing voteohio.gov, the toll free number for the Secretary of State. Because, again, at the voteohio.gov address a person can update their registration, verify they're registered, check their polling location, they can check their polling location in Franklin County, they can get to it it through voteohio.gov. They can get information about voter ID, essentially all the information that we want to communicate, I think by doing voteohio.gov. Again, it's a lot simpler than saying vote.franklincountyohio.gov. And so from
that standpoint. But it would be more directing people. And I think it would give deadlines, and the fact it's easy to do encourages them to do it, to verify that they are registered and making sure they update, probably telling them that when they move they have to update their registration. Because sometimes people don't realize that, well, I registered to vote. Well, yeah, but you've moved since the last election. So something simple like that that might be a message that would be -- but, again, you have to confine it to essentially a 30 -second spot.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So because of the brevity of the message, necessarily we'd be directing people to go to the Board's website or call the Board?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Yeah.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Thank you.
I move that we recess until
2 o'clock.
DIRECTOR LEONARD: And I do want to extend my appreciation for the opportunity to give you a little more insight into this.

MEMBER SINNOTT: You're welcome.
MEMBER SEXTON: Second.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries. We're in recess until 2 o'clock.
(Board recessed at approximately
11:49 a.m.)
(Board reconvened after recess at approximately 2:11 p.m.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: We are back from recess.

I'm going to ask Matt Kelly to give us a report. And then I also believe we have -why don't we do the remake -- or the voter intent first and then we can get that moving. Does that make sense?

MR. KELLY: I was just going to report on what we did and then --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Have at it.
MR. KELLY: As authorized by the Board, we went into the back scanning room and we scanned and delivered 2,140 ballots to the
tabulation room. And out of that we had 40 remakes, 13 ballots were from provisional envelopes, 27 of the ballots that we scanned in back had to be remade because it just wouldn't scan through the machine, there were gutter marks and other issues. So with your preauthorization we went ahead and remade those ballots and scanned those. But out of all those ballots we did have one ballot that could not be scanned and we need the Board to adjudicate the intent of the voter. And I will pass this ballot up to you. Because there is unclear intent in a number of races on this ballot. While some of the races there were clear mark-throughs in the bubbles, we would specifically like you to look at municipal court races with a mark under Candidate Thomas and Candidate Green, those two races; the township fiscal officer Shirkey; educational service center candidate Glitt; Groveport Madison school board candidate Snyder; and number seven tax levy, the for. Those are very light markings and they may have been pen pauses or marking pauses. And we would like some answers on those, please.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So the ones you say
you need --

MR. KELLY: The municipal court races.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Dougherty, Thomas,
Green?
MR. KELLY: Correct.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Did you say Kirk?
MR. KELLY: Township fiscal officer. MEMBER SINNOTT: That's Shirkey.

MR. KELLY: Educational service center race, Glitt. Groveport Madison school board, Snyder. And then the number seven tax levy under the for. There are markings there, but we're not sure of intent

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, as to the voter intent on the one ballot in question, because it is the -- I find a clear marking and it is the only marking in each of these races, I move that the Board count that as votes for Thomas, Green, Shirkey, Glitt, Snyder, and for the levy.

MEMBER SEXTON: Can you read those a little slower, Brad?

MEMBER SINNOTT: Absolutely.
I move that the Board as to the questioned races count votes for Thomas, Green, Shirkey, Glitt, Snyder, and for the levy.

MEMBER MARINELLO: I will second that.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: There's been a motion and a second.

All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
And if we can have a bipartisan team run that back and then we will --

I'm going to run back just to see how long that's going to take to enter that.
(Discussion off record.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Okay. We are waiting for the 49-page report. We have one copy. We need several more.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: We've settled on December 9th at 10 a.m. for the rescheduling of the regular board meeting.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The next
item is the certification of the November 5th, 2019

General Election.
Jeff, you want to speak to that? MR. MACKEY: You have before you the official results report. If you have any questions about it, we can go over those; but otherwise, I think we are --

MEMBER SEXTON: I have a question starting out because it's different than what I was just told. I asked the question of how many registered voters do we have in Franklin County as of today, and I was told it was 843,000 . This report says registered voters total 798,310 . So where's the --

MR. MACKEY: That would have been as of the close of registration.

MEMBER SEXTON: Close of registration?

MR. MACKEY: 30 days before the election.

MEMBER SEXTON: So this is -- we've registered 45,000 people in --

MR. MACKEY: I don't know where that came up. The difference would --

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Don't know if
that's active voters or total registration, and it
looks like it's the active voter rolls. That number looks like it would be active voters and not the total voter registration roll.

MEMBER SEXTON: So the registration is -- this is actually the active registered voters, not the registered voters?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: I don't know for sure, but the figure looks to me like it would be active registered voters versus all registered voters, inactive and active.

MEMBER SEXTON: I don't want to hold this meeting up, but if someone could just explain before I leave today. Because we're getting calls and if you call here and you ask how many registered voters there are, and they'll tell you 843,000. Now I'm getting a report that says 798,000. I just need clarification. Sorry. Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: No. That's a
good point. I noticed the same thing and would like to hear the response.

MEMBER SEXTON: The second question is -- because I know there's some people here that are interested in this report -- in terms of accounting a city that overlaps into another
county, Licking County, let's say. These numbers for -- say, Reynoldsburg, for instance, these are the Franklin County numbers that we are certifying and not what's included in Licking County and Reynoldsburg. Is that correct?

MR. MACKEY: That's correct.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It was my understanding that Licking County would have their board meeting tonight at 4:30. Is that -MR. MACKEY: We'll have numbers Monday.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Same hold true for --

MR. MACKEY: Delaware is not meeting until Monday, and they also had time scheduled for Tuesday if they needed it.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: Delaware, Dublin, Westerville.

MR. MACKEY: Dublin, Westerville, council AND school boards.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: I'm thinking of our recount watch districts.

MR. MACKEY: Yeah. For the gallery, I am making additional copies of this. They should be along shortly.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: That number of 798 is active voters, and the total voters is 840,564.

MR. MACKEY: Make that correction before we post anything.

MEMBER SEXTON: We're on certification.

Mr. Chariman, I move that the Board certify and declare as final the official canvass of the votes cast at the November 5, 2019 General Election as submitted by staff.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries. We're certified.
They're passing down a document that requires signatures for certification from the Board members as well as the Director.

The next item on the agenda is the selection of voting locations for recounts.

And Jeff, if you can go through those that are recounts. And I believe on the overlaps it requires the Ohio Secretary of State to order the recount. And so what we will do in any of those races that we envision could possibly be a recount, once we get Licking County results we will go ahead and select those today just so that we don't have to come back for a subsequent meeting. Jeff, go ahead.

MR. MACKEY: I have at this point identified four races where we will require recounts. Do you want to start with our tie or do you want to save that for the end or --

The first one would be Worthington City Council, if it we want to turn to page 16. It says vote for three. First place is Bonnie Michael, second place is Peter Bucher, third place is Doug Smith at 1,497 votes, fourth place is a tie at 1,496 votes. There's a one-vote difference between the declared elected candidate and the declared defeated candidate. One half of one percent would have been 55. So it's well within that.

And I have here slips of paper for each precinct. And actually, I guess we have to
make a motion to conduct the recounting.
MEMBER SINNOTT: What are we doing? I'm sorry.

MR. MACKEY: Because of the races within the one half of one percent --

MEMBER SINNOTT: Got that.
MR. MACKEY: -- we are going to have to select the precincts to do the hand count that's equal to five percent of the vote.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: A recount is not the entire district involved. It is --

MR. MACKEY: Do a hand count of five percent of votes cast and we're going to decide which precinct we're going to choose to do that in. And then as long as that matches, we will scan the rest of the ballots just like we did the first time for the official.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So we're doing a recount in a suburban race, but what we're going to do is a hand recount of five percent of the vote?

MR. MACKEY: At least five percent, yes.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And were you telling us that 55 votes constitutes?

MR. MACKEY: 55 votes would have
been one half of one percent.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: In the
Worthington council race?
MR. MACKEY: Right. The one vote difference that I've identified there is well within that.

MEMBER SINNOTT: And then every vote that is not hand recounted will then be scanned again and that is how we will accomplish the so-called recount?

MR. MACKEY: Correct.
DIRECTOR LEONARD: That's the statutory method for conducting a recount. The five percent is hand counted and compared to the electronic report of the results. If there's a discrepancy --

MEMBER SINNOTT: So as one of us chooses your slips of paper identifying precincts, then you'll be able to tell us how many precincts are needed in order to get to the five percent?

MR. MACKEY: Correct.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: If it matches, we go with a full automated recount. If the five percent doesn't match, what happens?

MR. MACKEY: I'm not completely
clear on that at the moment. It's never happened before, so we've never had to worry about it. I'm sure there is direction.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, the results from the Worthington city council race require a recount. So I move that the Board conduct a recount in that race by precincts, using the precinct names for each of the precincts in Worthington.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think that's what we needed.

MEMBER MARINELLO: I second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
Let's select our precincts.
DIRECTOR LEONARD: Which Board
member would like to select?
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We've always
deferred to Kim in the past. I don't know if
that's sexist or outdated or what.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Well, she's been reliable.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Worthington 04-A.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: So we got
what we needed for that race?
MR. MACKEY: Yes.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay.
MEMBER SEXTON: For clarity, if the
five percent doesn't match then what's the next step?

MR. MACKEY: Like I said, we've never had to do that before so I'm not sure. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You'll let us know.

MR. MACKEY: You will know if that happens.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. The next one.

MR. MACKEY: Village of Urbancrest. Turn to page 21. Nikky Ziglar-Zimmerman had the most votes, 69. Second place in the vote for two was tied at 52 between Henry Warr and Deborah Larkins-Jackson. One half of one percent of the 126 total calculation is only sixty-three hundreds
of a vote, but because it's a tie it doesn't matter. So what we're going to do first I think is decide who the winner is by a coin toss.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And then have a recount?

MR. MACKEY: And then order the recount.

DIRECTOR LEONARD: If then the recount changes anything, then it changes the winner; but if there's no change and it remains a tie, then you've already decided by coin toss who the winner is. And that's the requirement.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Where do we draw the advice of the coin toss?

MR. MACKEY: It is in the code.
MEMBER SINNOTT: Revised Code?
MR. MACKEY: I don't know the statute. Sorry.

MEMBER SINNOTT: It's a state statute that tells us to do it like that. Okay. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Should we identify a candidate as heads and another candidates as tails?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: The last time I recall reading the minutes of a tie vote, the Board
decided which candidate was tails and which was heads and the coin was tossed.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Somebody want to make a suggestion on who is heads and who is tails?

MEMBER SINNOTT: I move that for the purpose of the coin toss to determine the winner the council contest in Urbancrest, that Deborah Larkins-Jackson be heads and Henry Warr be tails.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

MR. MACKEY: Silver dollar here.
Susan B. Anthony is the head and the eagle is the tail.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Do I want to do it?

MEMBER SINNOTT: Yeah.
MEMBER MARINELLO: The heads is

Susan B. Anthony. Right?
MEMBER SINNOTT: Yes.
MEMBER MARINELLO: So Susan's picture is heads, so it's Deborah Jackson.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So the result of the Urbancrest village council race requires recount. I move that the Board conduct a recount in the Urbancrest village council race by precincts using the precinct names for each of the precincts in Urbancrest.

MR. MACKEY: Urbancrest is one precinct so we will hand count the whole thing. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye. (Vote taken.)

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
MR. MACKEY: Prairie Township fiscal officer, page 29, Sherry Henning is currently the declared elected candidate. Dan McCardle is behind by ten votes. One half of one percent of that race
is 10.04 . So ten is within recount.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: So we're looking for a motion to do a recount in that race. And again, refresh us, what -Prairie Township is --

MR. MACKEY: Prairie Township fiscal officer.

MEMBER SINNOTT: The result from the Prairie Township fiscal officer race requires a recount. I move that the Board conduct a recount in the Prairie Township fiscal officer race by precincts using the precinct names for each of the precincts in Prairie Township.

MEMBER SEXTON: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
Does that require a draw?
MR. MACKEY: Yep.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Prairie H.

MR. MACKEY: Good.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And do we have one more, Jeff?

MR. MACKEY: Yes. Two more, technically. These are both drawn in the event that a recount is ordered by the Secretary of State.

The first one is the Hamilton -- I'm sorry -- the Hilliard board of education.

MEMBER MARINELLO: What page are you on?

MR. MACKEY: I'm on page 35.
Now, unique to this race is even though it is an overlap to Union County there are no voters in Union County. So we do know the final outcome of this race, though the Secretary still needs to order the recount. In the event that that happens, we can draw our tiles here.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: So I wrote the motion potentially on both races.

MR. MACKEY: With Reynoldsburg and Hilliard?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Yeah.
So we could just do, I guess, one of them, the Hilliard city board of education.

## Correct?

MEMBER SINNOTT: Let's see. In Hilliard we have no vote from outside the county. Right?

MR. MACKEY: Correct.
MEMBER SINNOTT: And then you have two candidates who are within 43 votes of each other?

MR. MACKEY: That is correct. The difference between third place and second place is 43 votes.

MEMBER SINNOTT: So that would be --
MR. MACKEY: One half of one percent
was 82 votes. So well within that margin again.
MEMBER SINNOTT: I think the suggestion is that we do these by a separate motion?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I think so, yes.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Kim, you got this or do you want me to give it a shot?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I think we're just dealing with Hilliard city school board.

MEMBER MARINELLO: The results from the Hilliard city school board requires a recount.

I move that the Board conduct a recount in the Hilliard city school race by precincts using the precinct names for each of the precincts in the Hilliard city school district.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there a second?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Hilliard 01-D.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Do we need
another one?
MR. MACKEY: Yeah. We're going to need four or five probably. Go ahead.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Columbus 69-J.
MR. MACKEY: Okay.
MEMBER MARINELLO: More?
MR. MACKEY: Yeah.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Hilliard 01-F.

MR. MACKEY: That's four percent now.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Columbus 77-E. MR. MACKEY: That's plenty. Thank you.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And then the
final race we'll draw for recount is Reynoldsburg City Council, Ward 2.

MR. MACKEY: And that you will find on page three. We will have significant numbers from Licking County on this one, so don't use this as a barometer of who has won or lost at this point.

MEMBER MARINELLO: We won't have those until Monday?

DIRECTOR LEONARD: The election night result showed two votes separating the candidates, if I recall correctly.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Is there any explanation required in that? Are we looking for a motion or --

MR. MACKEY: Yeah. So the motion should probably read in the event of a recount.

MEMBER MARINELLO: In the event that the Secretary of State orders a recount in the

Reynoldsburg, Ward 2 member race, I move that the Board select precincts using the precinct names for each of the precincts in the Reynoldsburg City Council, Ward 2.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in
favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
And we do need to draw. Correct?
MEMBER MARINELLO: Reynoldsburg
02-C.
MR. MACKEY: That's sufficient.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Thank you.
The final item on the agenda is the post-election audit that's required by Secretary of State Directive 2012-56, and it requires us to select a countywide office race.

Is that correct, Jeff?
MR. MACKEY: Correct.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: So probably
one of our judicial races. I don't know if somebody wants to suggest.

MR. MACKEY: You'll find those on pages four and five.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Use Thomas
Dougherty?
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Make a motion. I heard no rumblings.

MEMBER SEXTON: This is just for --
MR. MACKEY: Once we have completed the recount, we are required now because it's a new system by the Secretary of State to do an audit of the entire election. So we will do that on a countywide basis and --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And we'll
start that on December 10th. Correct?
MR. MACKEY: Assuming the recounts are completed by then, yes.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Okay.
MEMBER SEXTON: One of these four judicial races?

MR. MACKEY: Yes.
MEMBER SEXTON: Well, I would move that the Board set the post-election audit to begin December 10th until completed and that the audit be
conducted in accordance with Secretary of State Directive 2012-56 and be held at the Franklin County Board of Elections, 1700 Morse Road, and would select the race for the judge of municipal court between Stephanie Mingo and William Sperlazza as the audit race.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
Unless there is any other business to come before the Board --

I'm sorry. You're right. I apologize.

MR. MACKEY: We're not going to do precincts this time. I think they have to decide --

Did that motion include doing it by
LID?
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I don't think we
need to do it that way. I mean, we don't need a motion, do we?

MEMBER SINNOTT: No.
MR. MACKEY: If we did it by precinct it would take an hour.

MR. MACKEY: Green is the hundreds place, the red is the tens plays, and the blue is the ones place. Each LID has a three digit number assigned to it. It will be the number that's upright there. So the four.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Four.
And just the bottom number?
MR. MACKEY: Yeah, just the bottom
number.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Red six, and three blue.

MR. MACKEY: That one is outside the range of our number of LIDs. So try again, Kim. MEMBER MARINELLO: 105; 170.

MR. MACKEY: We're at . 37 percent right now.

MEMBER MARINELLO: 398; 126; 198;
215; 443; 284; 134; 233; 349; 405; 404; 349.
MR. MACKEY: That was a duplicate.
MEMBER MARINELLO: 418; 364.

MR. MACKEY: That's got it. Thank you.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Now am I ready for --

Do we have any other business?
DIRECTOR LEONARD: I wanted to clarify about the tie vote because one of the Board members had asked about it. The Ohio Election Official Manual provides that the statute provision is a tie vote is to be broken by lot and then it goes on further to define lot to include flipping of a coin, drawing straws, drawing names from a hat, or actually splitting a deck of cards. So just for that clarification.

MEMBER SINNOTT: I move we adjourn.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries. We are adjourned.
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## I. PURPOSE/REASON:

The purpose of this policy is to establish standards within the Franklin County Board of Elections (hereinafter the BOE) regarding criminal background checks, and to set uniform procedures for conducting investigations and evaluating investigation results to make suitability determinations for general employment and access to voting equipment and software.

## II. AUTHORITIES:

A. Section IV, Page 5, Ohio Secretary of State Directive 2019-08 issued June 11, 2019
B. Ohio Revised Code (ORC) Section 3501.11(D), (E), and (P)
C. ORC Section $3501.01(\mathrm{U})$ and $3501.27(\mathrm{~A})$

## III. SUPERSEDES:

Any previous policy on background checks.

## IV. SCOPE:

A. This policy applies to all applicants selected for and offered positions with the BOE, including all current BOE employees.
B. This policy also applies to current employees (full or part-time), seasonal employees, and temporary service personnel who have or will have access to voting equipment and software.
C. The Human Resources specialist assigned per the BOE contract with County Human Resources Department along with the Director and Deputy Director are responsible for implementing the procedures contained within this policy and ensuring they are equally applied to all final candidates or employees working for the BOE.
D. This policy also pertains to the requirement of SOS Directive 2019-08 that all vendors and contractors to submit.

## V. DEFINITIONS:

Candidate - As used in this policy, a candidate refers to any individual, whether or not currently employed by the BOE, who has submitted an application or resume for a position at the BOE.

Conviction - As used in this policy, a conviction means a finding of guilty, no contest, or the imposition of a sentence by a judge or jury in any federal, state or local court.

Employee - As used in this policy, an individual who is currently appointed to a full-time position at the BOE, a part-time position of less than 30 hours per week, a "seasonal" position of no more than 40
weeks per year, a PEO trainer position that conduct poll worker training on a per class basis or an employee of a temporary service agency used to supplement BOE staff.

Favorable Adjudication - With the exception of a felony conviction by a current or prospective employee as that is defined in this policy, if either the determination that a final candidate or employee has no criminal conviction or record of criminal activity or the outcome of a background check of an individual with a criminal conviction or record of criminal activity when it is determined by the Human Resources Specialist employed by BOE, Director and Deputy Director, or the Board by a majority vote that the conviction or record of criminal activity does not prevent the individual from holding or continuing to hold a position having access to voting equipment and software. This term is used interchangeably within this policy with the concept of suitability.

Final Candidate - A candidate becomes a final candidate at the time the BOE makes this individual a conditional offer of employment.

Voting Equipment and Software - Means voting equipment upon which the BOE conducts logic and accuracy testing (including ExpressVote ballot marking devices, DS 200 ballot scanners and ExpressVote kiosks), ballot production and tabulation software, and voter data management software systems (Integrity and/or Tenex Election Desk software).

## VI. PROCEDURES - CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECKS:

## A. INTRODUCTION:

1. The BOE requires that final candidates submit to a background check which is conducted in accordance with state and federal law. Background checks ensure that the BOE does not employ any individual who is barred from county, state or federally funded employment because of the conviction of certain crimes. The BOE is also responsible for assuring that those individuals who have criminal convictions are suitable for the applied for position with the BOE. Employment in a position with the BOE is contingent upon successful completion and favorable adjudication of a criminal background check.
2. All candidates for full-time, permanent employment/appointment with the BOE or current full-time permanent employees shall undergo a statewide background check conducted through the County Sheriff's office using the Bureau of Criminal Identification and Investigation ("BCII") system. All other candidates or employees (whether part-time, seasonal, PEO trainer or temporary service agency employee) who will have or have access to voting equipment and software are required to have an initial state, local and federal criminal background check conducted by the OPENonline LLC, a Franklin County-based provider. Regardless of when an employee was hired, all employees shall undergo a background check in the manner based upon their employment status in the fourth quarter of every year immediately preceding a presidential election year if remaining in a position that has access to voting equipment and software.

## B. REVIEWING A CRIMINAL RECORD:

Except in instances outlined below in Paragraph 1 of this Section or unless otherwise required by law, a record of criminal conviction(s) will not automatically disqualify a final candidate or employee from being appointed to or maintaining a position with the BOE.

If a final candidate or employee has a criminal conviction, the Human Resources Specialist assigned per the BOE contract with the County Human Resources department, with assistance, if required, from County Prosecuting Attorney's Office, will determine whether the final candidate or employee is or remains qualified and suitable to hold the position, given the criminal conviction record. When a full-time employee or a candidate for full-time employment is, based upon the Suitability Chart, subject of an individualized analysis, the final determination regarding qualification or suitability will be made by the Director and Deputy Director or the Board by a majority vote.

1. Disqualifications from employment provided by law or policy: The following will disqualify a final candidate or employee from appointment, working or continued employment at the BOE:
(a) Refusal to consent to a background check, including unusual delay in executing the consent to conduct a background check;
(b) Any federal or state felony conviction; or
(c) Any conviction (felony or misdemeanor) for any federal or state election law violation. See ORC Section 3501.27(A) and 3501.01(U).
2. Misdemeanor criminal convictions considered for positions with access to voting equipment and software:

When a position includes access to voting equipment and software, the BOE will engage in the individualized analysis below when reviewing any misdemeanor conviction involving a crime of theft, dishonesty or fraud, including the following: theft, identity fraud, embezzlement, forgery, burglary, robbery, or any equivalent crime in another jurisdiction.
3. General relevance of misdemeanor criminal convictions to employment at the BOE:

Even when an employee, final candidate or employee will not, in the course of employment, have access to or use voting equipment and software, the BOE will conduct an individualized analysis on a final candidate or employee, as is set forth below.
4. Individualized analysis: (See Suitability Chart)
(a) Unless otherwise provided by federal or state law, to determine whether a final candidate or employee is or continues to be suitable for a position, the BOE will conduct an
analysis of the duties of the position and the crime(s) committed, along with the following, which is not intended to be an exclusive list:
i. Relationship of the criminal record to access to voting equipment and software used or accessible in the position;
ii. The nature of the work to be performed;
iii. The time that has lapsed since the conviction;
iv. The seriousness and specific circumstances of the offense, including the type of harm that the final candidate or employee caused, and/or the legal elements involved in the specific crime committed;
v. The number of offenses;
vi. Whether the candidate or employee has pending charges;
vii. Any evidence of rehabilitation or contrition;
viii. Any other relevant information, including that submitted by or on behalf of the final candidate, employee or other information obtained by the BOE.
(b) Assessing a criminal background check with arrests: Since arrests are not evidence of the commission of a crime, they cannot serve as the basis for a denial of employment or continued employment. However, if the identity of the employee or final candidate is confirmed, and if there is corroborating evidence of criminal activity involving acts identified in section VI (B)(2), above, these may be considered when performing the individualized analysis in determining suitability for a position with access to voting equipment and software.

The Director and Deputy Director or the Board by a majority vote will determine from these factors, and other information deemed appropriate, whether there is a significant relationship between the duties that the final candidate, employee, seasonal employee, or temporary service personnel would perform for the BOE, and the crime(s) committed and/or criminal record and whether this renders this individual unsuitable for the job or access to voting equipment and software as a matter of business necessity. The final decision whether to withdraw a conditional offer of employment or to seek termination of employment based on this analysis will be made by the Director and Deputy Director or the Board by a majority vote.
5. Notification of automatic disqualification or unsuitability.
(a) If the Human Resources Specialist assigned to the BOE, Director and Deputy Director, or the Board by a majority vote determines in accordance with Section VI (B)(1) that a final
candidate, employee, seasonal employee, or temporary service personnel's criminal record automatically disqualifies or renders the individual unsuitable or no longer suitable for the position, the individual will be notified immediately.
(b) The final candidate, employee, seasonal employee, or temporary service personnel will be provided a copy of the background check information upon which the Director and Deputy Director or the Board by a majority vote relied, a copy of this policy, and will be provided the reason why the criminal record rendered this individual disqualified or unsuitable for employment with the BOE.
(c) The final candidate, employee, seasonal employee, or temporary service personnel will also be informed of the right to dispute the accuracy and/or relevancy of the criminal record within eight (8) business days. This individual may dispute the accuracy or relevance of the criminal record by providing verified information indicating that the criminal record is inaccurate or by providing information that demonstrates the irrelevance of the criminal record to the duties of the position being sought or maintained.
(d) Once the BOE has received the final candidate, employee, seasonal employee, or temporary service personnel's dispute and accompanying information, the Director and Deputy Director or the Board by a majority vote shall make a final determination about the disqualification or suitability. After a final decision has been made about the disqualification or suitability of a final candidate, employee, seasonal employee, or temporary service personnel, there is no further appeal.

## C. REPORTING OF KNOWN OFFENDERS

Should the results of the criminal background check disclose outstanding warrant(s), violation(s) of probation or parole, or any other similar outstanding actions against a final candidate, employee, seasonal employee, or temporary service personnel any pertinent information supplied as part of the background check process will be forwarded to the County Prosecuting Attorney's Office, for appropriate action on behalf of the BOE.

## D. AFFIRMATIVE DUTY TO INFORM

All employees of the BOE, regardless of classification, have the affirmative duty to notify the Director or Deputy Director of any conviction (misdemeanor, felony or traffic) that occurs any time after employment with the BOE commences. This notification requirement includes convictions for offenses that occur between periods of seasonal employment. The BOE may undertake an individualized analysis of the offense and its impact upon continued employment with the BOE. Failure to notify the Director or Deputy Director within 30 days of conviction may result in disciplinary action, including possible termination of employment. This section does not place an affirmative duty upon any employee to notify the Director or Deputy Director of a coworker's conviction.

## V. CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECK OF VENDORS AND CONTRACTORS

The Director and Deputy Director shall be responsible for reviewing on an annual basis the list of all Board of Elections vendors and contractors to determine which vendors perform sensitive services for the Board and therefore required to have criminal background checks conducted. "Sensitive services" is defined by Directive 2019-08 as meaning "those services that (i) require access to customer/consumer/agency employee information, (ii) relate to board of elections or Secretary of State's computer networks, information systems, databases or secure facilities under circumstances that would permit modification to such systems or (iii) involve unsupervised access to secure facilities."

Per Directive 2019-08, vendors and contractors may be required to pay for any background check services or may attest that a background check has been completed and that no ineligible criminal offenses have been committed. Such criminal background check attestation shall be completed by January 30,2020 and, at a minimum, during the $4^{\text {th }}$ quarter of the year immediately preceding each presidential election year thereafter or at any interval required by the Ohio Secretary of State. Any new vendor or contractor providing sensitive services shall be required to submit such criminal background check attestation prior to execution of any contract or engagement of services.

Those vendors and contractors determined by the Director and Deputy Director to perform sensitive services shall be notified of the requirement to submit attestation to the fact that all employees of the vendor or contractor have been subjected to a criminal background checks and that no ineligible criminal offenses have been committed. An "ineligible criminal offense" for purposes of this provision of the background check policy will be interpreted to mean any felony conviction or conviction of any federal, state or local election law violation.

All vendor and contractors that fail to submit the requisite attestation of compliance with this background check policy shall be ineligible to perform work for the Board of Elections. Any vendor or contractor may request exemption from the exclusion from employment with the Board of Elections. The vendor or contractor must supply detailed information regarding the circumstances justifying an exemption from the attestation requirement that "no ineligible criminal offenses have been committed." Such exemption must be granted by a majority vote of the Board.

All vendor or contractor attestations or other documentation pertaining to criminal background check eligibility shall be maintained by the BOE Fiscal Officer with the all other contract documents for the vendor or contractor.

| EMPLOYMENT SUITABILITY CHART |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SECTION | ISSUES | ACTION |
| (B)(1)(a) | Refusal to consent to a background check, <br> including unusual delay in executing the <br> consent to conduct a background check | Disqualification |
| (B)(1)(b) | A felony conviction (federal or any state) | Disqualification. |
| (B)(1)(c) | Any conviction of any election law whether <br> federal, state or local. | Disqualification |
| (B)(3) | General relevance of criminal misdemeanor <br> convictions to employment at BOE. | Engage in individualized <br> analysis (B)(4) |


| (B)(4) | Tier 1 - Must be misdemeanor offenses <br> - Any loyalty or terrorism issue, <br> - Patterns of conduct (alcoholism/drug addiction; financial irresponsibility /major liabilities; dishonesty; unemployability for negligence or misconduct; criminal conduct) <br> - Drug manufacturing/trafficking /sale <br> - Serious violent behavior (e.g., rape, aggravated assault, arson, child abuse, manslaughter, domestic violence) <br> - Criminal sexual misconduct <br> - Illegal use of firearms/explosives <br> - Hatch Act violation <br> - Employment-related misconduct involving dishonesty, criminal or violent behavior <br> - Major honesty issue (e.g., extortion, armed robbery, embezzlement, perjury) <br> - Misdemeanor theft, forgery, deceit | Engage in individualized analysis <br> (1) If the violation occurred within five years of the employment application to BOE. If older than 5 years from the date of application, the offense is not disqualifying and no further analysis is conducted. <br> or <br> (2) If there is a pattern of criminal violations (three or more occurrences), the conduct must begin prior to last seven years, but the pattern may extend beyond the last seven years. If pattern begins after 7 years from the date of application, the misdemeanor offenses are not disqualifying and no further analysis is conducted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |


|  | Tier 2 - Must be misdemeanor offenses <br> - DWI/OVI/DUI <br> - Drug-related offenses <br> - Disorderly conduct (Assault, Criminal Mischief, Harassment) <br> - Employment-Related Misconduct Involving (Attitude/Personality Conflict/Attendance) <br> - Bad check | Engage in individualized analysis: <br> (1) If the violation occurred within three years of the employment application to BOE. If older than 3 years from the date of application, the offense is not disqualifying and no further analysis is conducted. <br> or <br> (2) If there is a pattern of criminal violations (three or more occurrences), the pattern of conduct must begin prior to last five years, but may extend beyond the last five years. If the pattern begins after five years from the date of application, misdemeanor offenses are not disqualifying and no further analysis is conducted. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



