BEFORE THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

- - -

In Re:

Regular Meeting.

_ _ _

PROCEEDINGS

before Chairman Bradley K. Sinnott, Director William A. Anthony, Jr., Deputy Director Dana Walch, and Board Members Zachary E. Manifold and Kimberly E. Marinello, at the Franklin County Board of Elections, 280 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, called at 3 p.m. on Tuesday, September 3, 2013.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
             Franklin County Prosecutor's Office
             By Mr. Nick Soulas,
 3
             Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
             Civil Division-Environmental
             373 South High Street
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                  On behalf of the Board.
 6
      ALSO PRESENT:
 7
             Ms. Suzanne Brown,
 8
             Executive Assistant to the Board.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
3
 1
                               INDEX
 2
 3
      ITEM
                                                          PAGE
      Approval of August 5 and August 19, 2013, Minutes
 4
 5
      Certification of Write-In Candidates
                                                            5
 6
      Non-Certification of Write-In Candidate
                                                            5
 7
      Candidate Withdrawals
                                                            8
      Certification of Replacement Candidate for
 8
        Columbus City Council
                                                            8
 9
      Certification of James Wynkoop
                                                           10
10
      Protest of Candidate
                                                           27
11
      Voter Registration Challenge Regarding
        Ms. Brenda McFarland
12
                                                           32
13
      Voter Registration Challenge Regarding
        Mr. Kenneth A. Miesse, Ms. Lashaun V. Marshall,
14
        Ms. Jessica Lauren Caudy, and Mr. Gary L.
        Kessinger
                                                           36
15
      Adjourn
                                                           41
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
```

Tuesday Afternoon Session, 1 2 September 3, 2013. 3 4 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Good afternoon. 5 would like to call the Franklin County Board of 6 Elections to order. I will do roll call. 7 Kimberly Marinello. 8 MEMBER MARINELLO: Here. 9 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Zachary Manifold. 10 MEMBER MANIFOLD: Here. 11 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Bradley Sinnott. 12 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Here. 13 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Mr. Sinnott will be 14 the Chair for today. We are all here, Mr. Sinnott. 15 The first item on the agenda is the approval of the minutes of the Board meetings of 16 August 5 and August 19. 17 18 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: I move that the Board 19 approve the minutes of the August 5 and August 19, 20 2013, meetings of the Franklin County Board of 21 Elections as submitted. 2.2 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second? 2.3 MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

24

Motion carries. I will pass for everybody's signature.

The next item on the agenda would be certification of write-in candidates. For that we have Mr. Mackey.

Mr. Mackey.

MR. MACKEY: You have before you Exhibit
A. There are nine candidates who filed sufficient
ballot declarations of intent to be a write-in
candidate. Staff recommends certification of these
nine individuals. They are paperclipped together to
the first one.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The first is Exhibit

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board certify the candidates listed on Exhibit A as valid for write-in candidates for the November 5, 2013, General Election ballot.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor say

aye.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Α.

Motion carries.

The next item on the agenda is the

non-certification of write-in candidates.

Mr. Mackey.

2.2

MR. MACKEY: I have one candidate filing a declaration of intent to be a write-in candidate that was not sufficient. She indicated on her declaration of intent to be a write-in candidate that she lived at an address different from the address that she is registered at making the declaration invalid.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: We have no documents related to that?

MR. MACKEY: Right.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: But the nature of the defect the address for the candidate that appears on the $-\!\!\!-$

MR. MACKEY: Declaration.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: -- declaration to be a write-in is different from the candidate's registration address.

MR. MACKEY: Correct.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Do we have a recollection of candidates from write-in status encountering that defect in the past?

MR. MACKEY: I don't have a recollection.

7

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I don't have a recollection.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: I didn't hear the question.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Have we excluded write-in candidates in the past?

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: I'm trying to think.

I'm quite sure when I was in your position I may have experienced that, but I don't recall right now.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Any candidate that wants to run under a petition effort way or by write-in must file as a candidate as their address where they are registered to vote, so I'm not aware of a time this has happened before but this would preclude a candidate -- exclude the candidate from being a candidate.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Sounds sensible to me.

I move the Board deny the certification of Renee

Eileen Powell-Albert as a write-in candidate for the

Office of Riverlea Village Council for the reasons

described by staff.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries.

24 DIRECTOR ANTHON

The next item on the agenda is candidate withdrawals. Mr. Mackey has that as well.

1

2

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

MR. MACKEY: Exhibit B before you has the names of three candidates, John Lively for Columbus City Council; Beryl Anderson, Gahanna City Council, Ward 4; and Robert Ragland, Southwestern City School District Member of the Board who have indicated to us by letter that they wish to withdraw their candidacies.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept the withdrawals of the candidacy listed in Exhibit B and that these candidates' names will not appear on the November 5, 2013, General Election ballot.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

That motion carries.

The next item on the agenda is certification of replacement candidate for Columbus City Council.

Mr. Mackey.

MR. MACKEY: Next item it doesn't have an exhibit but is stapled together there, three pages

including a withdrawal of Candidate Lively, the indication by the three members of the nominating committee on the Lively petition, and then the third page is the acceptance of the recommendation of the nominating committee for Mr. Schneider to replace Mr. Lively on the ballot at the General Election for Columbus City Council.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Just so the members of the Board know, we checked this with our legal counsel, the County Prosecutor, who in turn checked with the City Attorney's Office. Both the City Attorney and the County Prosecutor were in agreement that this all met state law and the charter of the City of Columbus and did recommend to us they believe it was all in order.

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept the Certificate of Choice by Committee to Fill Vacancy Nomination Form filed on August 19, 2013, nominating Nicholas Schneider as a candidate for Columbus City Council on November 5, 2013, General Election ballot.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

2.2

2.3

Motion carries.

2.2

2.3

The next item on the agenda is certification of James Wynkoop.

Mr. Mackey.

MR. MACKEY: Mr. Wynkoop's petition was originally found insufficient as he had only 31 of 32 required valid signatures. Upon learning this Mr. Wynkoop reviewed his petition and spoke with one of the signatories on his petition who contacted us to find out why we had him registered at the wrong address.

Upon our investigation of their voter registration record we found we incorrectly changed their address back to a previous address based on information obtained from the BMV download from the Secretary of State. So once we corrected that inaccuracy, that signature should have been valid and his petition is now valid. Staff recommends certifying his candidacy as valid.

MEMBER MARINELLO: He was only one signature away?

MR. MACKEY: He was one signature.

MEMBER MANIFOLD: There's no paperwork

with this one?

11 1 MR. MACKEY: No. 2 MEMBER MARINELLO: I move that the Board 3 certify James Wynkoop as a candidate for Canal 4 Winchester City Council for the November 5, 2013, 5 General Election. 6 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Second. 7 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor say 8 aye. That motion carries. 9 10 Now, we have candidate appeals. Mr. Mackey, do you want to walk us 11 through? 12 13 MR. MACKEY: I've included for you a 14 synopsis of some of the legal matters we'll be reviewing with the appeals today including the 15 statement for candidacy not being signed, circulator 16 statements being incomplete, or the legal mark of the 17 registered elector so you'll have those handy as we 18 hear the appeals. 19 20 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: The first one we 21 want to bring to your attention is John Harris who is 2.2 a candidate for Clinton Township Trustee. 2.3 Is Mr. Harris here today?

MR. HARRIS: Yes.

24

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Mr. Harris, would you come on up to the podium real quick, if you could.

Mr. Chairman.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Pardon me, Mr. Harris.

Before we hear from you what is the -- what is the problem in the staff's estimation of Mr. Harris's petition?

MR. MACKEY: Mr. Harris had two of his four part petitions that the circulator statement was incomplete and attached those for your review there. The number of signatures witnessed was not filled in so we did not count any of the signatures on those two part petitions which left him short of the required number of valid signatures. He had 13 of 25.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: So these two part petitions did not have a complete circulator statement, in particular the circulator didn't show the number of signatures being submitted.

MR. MACKEY: Correct.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: As you can see in what Jeff provided on the pertinent legal items, Revised Code 3501.38(A)(1) specifically does state

that the number of signatures contained within that part petition must appear.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Mr. Harris, you heard the concern about your petition.

MR. HARRIS: Yes, I did. What happened is when I filed the petitions, I didn't -- I purposely left those blank until I talked to the -- talked to the lady at the counter to see whether -- because there was a couple of them that I wasn't sure about to see whether I should include them in the total count. So it was blank when I approached the counter.

Before I was finished I was given this receipt which stated I had 51 signatures. When I saw that, I quite frankly forgot about the other and moved on. But this says I have 51 signatures on four part petitions.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: How many part petitions did you file?

MR. HARRIS: Four. Two of them had the work complete, and two I brought -- I don't know if you have the exhibit with you, but I brought an exhibit of one with me that shows exactly what -- what happened and what do --

```
1
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: You can approach.
                  CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: We have it,
2
3
     Mr. Harris. Thank you.
4
                 MEMBER MARINELLO: Including the number
5
     of signatures that he witnessed is -- even if you had
6
     one questionable, you witnessed the questionable one.
7
                  MR. HARRIS: I witnessed them all, yes.
8
                 MEMBER MARINELLO: And that's what
9
     that --
10
                 MR. HARRIS: I didn't intentionally leave
11
     it blank, you know, to cause any confusion. It was a
     question that I had before I turned it in, and quite
12
13
     frankly, like I said, when I saw the receipt for the
     petition, it said 51, I forgot all about it.
14
15
                  CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Any other questions
     for Mr. Harris?
16
17
                 MEMBER MANIFOLD: I don't think I have
18
     any.
19
                 MEMBER MARINELLO: No.
20
                 MEMBER MANIFOLD: Unfortunately we see
21
     this all the time. You have got to fill it all the
2.2
     way out, and we're constrained on what we can and
23
     can't accept. It's unfortunate. I know the Chair --
     the Chairman that's missing today always talks about
24
```

an instance where he had this happen to him so and it's unfortunate.

2.2

2.3

MR. HARRIS: Okay. Is that it?

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Would the record be more complete if we acted individually on each of these, or would it be sufficient for a Board member to move the granting of the appeal only in the event that the Board member wished to propose that?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Mr. Harris has already been denied certification to the ballot by this Board at our previous meeting due to this issue. If the Board -- I think the way we have handled this before, Bill, correct me if I am wrong, if the members of the Board do not feel they can grant his appeal, there's no real action the Board we feel has to take.

Certainly you can make a motion if you would like to, whichever way you want to go. The only way we felt there was a need to do a motion is if you did want to grant his appeal and certify him to the ballot.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Okay. That's helpful.

Mr. Harris, I think that will be all from
you right now. We have a few to work through.

16

1 MR. HARRIS: Okay. CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Let's move on to the 2 3 next one. 4 MR. HARRIS: Okay. 5 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Thank you. 6 MR. HARRIS: Thanks. 7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: The next one is 8 Dewitt Harrell and this is for Gahanna Jefferson City School District. 9 10 Is Mr. Harrell with us? 11 MR. HARRIS: Yes. 12 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Would you come on 13 up to the podium for us. DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We should have all the 14 witnesses state their names for the record. 15 16 MR. HARRIS: Dewitt Harrell, 1157 North Creekway Court, Gahanna, Ohio, Gahanna Jefferson 17 18 School Board. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: And, Jeff, if I 19 20 am reading your notes correctly, this is the sort of 21 same issue -- no, it's not. I'm sorry. Go ahead, 2.2 Jeff. Why don't you take it so I don't mess it up. 2.3 MR. MACKEY: Mr. Harrell did have a 24 different issue in that two of his part petitions

Candidate Mr. Harrell failed to sign the declaration of candidacy on the front so we were not able to validate signatures off of those two part petitions which left him short valid signatures. He had 69 of 75 of the remaining part petitions which were complete.

2.2

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Mr. Harrell, do you understand the issue?

MR. HARRELL: I do. Thank you,
Mr. Chair, and thank you, Jeff, for that summary.

I do have a similar situation as the previous candidate. However, two of my six forms I failed to sign as a candidate, but I did sign those two as a circulator of those signatures where I did invest the time to go out and meet with lots of folks in my neighborhood community and create relationships with them.

Where I failed was signing the two blank spaces as a candidate and just failed —— I too did get a receipt of 105 and I only needed 75 so I too thought I was in good shape. I just turned in forms for review. Talk about an intimidating process to somebody who is new to the process. I did take over the vacated seat by Heather Bishoff in Gahanna. She

went off to State Rep -- as State Representative. I interviewed with two other candidates and found my way on the Gahanna School Board. I want to be on it so.

2.2

2.3

But my signature on -- is on two of
those -- is on that form, just not in the space where
it needs to be. The other opportunity was I think I
had 10 or 12 folks' signatures who were invalid and
for a host of different reasons and at least one of
those signatures did not -- could not be recognized.
With that correction I'm five short.

I had three college kids who handwrote their names in as opposed to signing it, and then I would be two short. And then one young lady moved on my block, she did not put her new — her old address, and she put her new address in so she is a bona fide voter in Ward 1, but she moved over to a different ward so she would be able to vote.

See how close I am? So I thought it was worth the time to come in to request your consideration to allow me to be on the November ballot.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Thank you,
Mr. Harrell. Are there any questions from the Board?

19 1 MEMBER MARINELLO: No. CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: I believe that it is a 2 3 statutory requirement that the candidacy statement be 4 signed by the candidate? 5 MS. PATTON: That is correct, yes. 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: 3515.09. 7 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Let's now visit the 8 matter of Linda Jarrett. DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: For the record 9 10 would you state your name and address for the record. 11 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: I'm sorry, before we 12 hear from Ms. Jarrett would you summarize the 13 issue --14 MR. MACKEY: Certainly. 15 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: -- having to do with 16 her candidacy? 17 MR. MACKEY: Ms. Jarrett's petition, I've 18 included all three pages that we received, there is one front -- we would call the front part petition 19 and two reflecting the backsides where she collected 20 21 signatures. The issue here is on neither backside was the number of signatures witnessed or filling out 2.2 2.3 the circulator statement.

24

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: This looks to be the

same substantive issue as is with Mr. Harris's.

MR. MACKEY: Correct.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Very good.

Ms. Jarrett.

2.

2.2

2.3

MS. JARRETT: Do you have the letter I wrote because I kind of summarized everything I did? Having used the computer to obtain my petition, I printed it out and found that they had a front and back page. Next, there was a two-sided thing but it printed out just two pages. Since I realized that I needed 25 signatures I actually went ahead and printed the second page out or a copy of it at home, and then I took my candidacy page, my first page, and my declaration page, I should say, and took that and the two second pages and I got — had all the signatures signed, and then I went ahead and signed them.

I did not fill in the numbers on each page which was the two second pages, and I didn't do that because I thought they had to be verified. And then so I didn't go ahead and fill that in thinking that they were already listed by number and they were all good signatures and I was the only one that had taken all of those and so I signed everything else so

the only thing I did not do is I did not have a second first page and I did not put in the numbers on each page so.

2.2

2.3

And I am a trustee, and so four years ago
I filled this out perfectly, but I don't know what
happened. I mean, I just -- it didn't make sense to
me to have to do it -- to print that again, but I did
it on the computer. I'm not the sharpest pencil in
the box, I guess, I don't know on that, but when it
comes to computers so. That's kind of where I am.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Do either counsel or staff, what is the statute that requires circulator statements be completed as to the number of signatures tendered?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: It's 3501.38(E)(1). The substantive — substantive part it's on each petition the circulator shall indicate the number of signatures contained on it, shall sign a statement, et cetera, et cetera. That's the pertinent part to it.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Any questions for Ms. Jarrett?

MEMBER MARINELLO: No.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Thank you,

Ms. Jarrett.

2.2

Let's turn now to the matter of Rose

Larkins and if we can begin with the presentation

from the staff as to what is the issue here.

MR. MACKEY: Ms. Larkins submitted a single part petition. She did not, again similar issue, did not fill in the number of signatures witnessed on her part petition thereby we were not able to validate any signatures on the petition.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Ms. Larkins, are you present? Okay.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Mr. Chairman, following that same Code cite in the previous case.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Very good.

Now, let's turn to the matter of Brian Seitz. Is Mr. Seitz present?

Mr. Mackey, would you tell us what the issue -- oh, there is Mr. Seitz. How are you, sir? Give you a chance to speak in just a moment.

MR. MACKEY: Mr. Seitz initially after validating all the signatures on his petition found him to be two short, 48 out of 50. When he came in to gather information for his appeal, he brought his wife with him, and we were able to determine that we

had received a confirmation card from her correcting her address to her current residence address. That confirmation card came in the day the petition was filed but was not keyed until a week and a half later during the petition validation process and have her registered at the correct address so we did validate that one.

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: I didn't mean to interrupt. So I understand she filed an updated address form on the same day the petition was filed.

MR. MACKEY: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: And when they were originally reviewing the petition, the updated address information wasn't caught.

MR. MACKEY: It had not been inputted into the computer system.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Okay. And that would have been the 49 valid.

MR. MACKEY: 49 valid signatures which we recommend including in his total. He has presented some documentation for you to review for two of the remaining signatures that we invalidated as printed signatures. And he has also included some information on a registered — or a resident of

Franklin County who contends that she is registered, but we have no registration, however.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Okay. Well, let's hear from Mr. Seitz then.

MR. SEITZ: Thank you very much. I'm

Brian Seitz. I live at 414 East South Street,

Worthington, Ohio, and thankfully my wife is

registered to vote at our address. The -- as

Mr. Mackey stated, I'm 1 vote short of the required

50 votes so what I did is we went out and got some

signed affidavits from some neighbors who had

specifically asked me how they were supposed to sign

the petition, and I told them to sign it how they are

currently signing all their legal documents, business

matters so there are -- there were -- there are three

printed signatures on that one petition.

Unfortunately Mr. Forest had left
Saturday morning for London so I was unable to get
his affidavit signed, but his wife Maureen and then
their neighbor Suzanne Guy signed the affidavit
saying that the signature they provided on the
petition was their legal mark.

MR. MACKEY: I've included for your review there on the back page a copy of the signature

that is on the petition, a copy of the signature as we have it registered, and then Mr. Seitz has provided two affidavits, signatures of the voters in question.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Okay. With Ms. Kralian, she is one of the affiants.

2.2

2.3

MEMBER MARINELLO: Well --

MR. SEITZ: I'll say I personally don't know Ms. Kralian. She was a signature that we got from my mother-in-law who incidentally signed her own petition which invalidated her, but we don't know Joanna. She had told us that she had registered at that address, was willing to sign the affidavit. We submitted it. Quite honestly you guys keep better records of that than I do.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: I understand. So

Ms. Kralian thinks that she is registered to vote in

Franklin County, but we were unable to locate her

registration; is that correct?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Then with respect to Ms. Guy, she has — she has a cursive signature on file with the Board, but she used a printing of her name as her signature on the petition, correct?

1 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct. 2 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: The same would be true for Maureen Force. 3 4 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct. 5 The -- if I may, Mr. Chairman, the directive that we 6 follow on this is 2011-17 from the Secretary of State's Office. I did follow up with the Secretary 8 of State's Office this morning, and they do admonish Boards of Elections that they still hold this 9 10 directive. It still is in force, and it does 11 specifically say on -- in this directive that a 12 printed signature alone with no cursive signature is 13 allowed only if the elector's signature on file with the Board is also printed. 14 15 And that related to Revised Code 16 3501.011, 3501.38, and 3519.10. So that is why staff 17 invalidated those -- those signatories from the 18 process. 19 CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Were there any 20 questions of the Board members of Mr. Seitz? 21 MEMBER MANIFOLD: So close. So close. 2.2 think it looks like -- it appears like one of the 2.3 voters like half signed their name and then half

printed their name. Very interesting what people do

24

when they are signing their signature.

2.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Thank you, Mr. Seitz.

I think we understand.

I will now ask if there is a Board member who wishes to make a motion accepting any of the appeals that have been heard this afternoon.

Hearing none we are now prepared for the next matter of business.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The next item on the agenda is the protest of a candidate. And Mr. Mackey will walk us through that.

MR. MACKEY: Sharon Bierman, a citizen of Minerva Park, has protested the certification of Candidate Russell Dobbins on the basis that his statement of candidacy was not signed prior to circulating the petition — well, at least gathering most of the signatures on his petition except for the last two.

I've already discussed the statement of candidacy should be signed prior to circulating the partition. You see the first I think 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 lines of the petition signatures were added to it prior to the date that is indicated in the declaration of candidacy and that he

signed it.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Mr. Chairman, we do have with us -- I see Ms. Bierman who filed the protest is up at the podium.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: She is there, yes, and we will hear from her when we are ready.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: There you go.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Okay. So we have the statement of candidacy being dated August 2. Most of the signatures collected show signatures prior to that date.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct,
Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: We're told in Section 3513.09 that a statement of candidacy must be signed by the perspective candidate before the signatures of electors are placed on it. However, there is no statutory requirement that the candidate's signature be dated.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That is correct.

That is the advice we have received from the

Secretary of State's Office and previously from

counsel.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: But in this instance

it is, in fact, dated. I understand.

2.

2.2

2.3

MEMBER MARINELLO: That sounds familiar.

I think we have been through this before.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Ms. Bierman, please.

MS. BIERMAN: Sharon Bierman, S-H-A-R-O-N B-I-E-R-M-A-N, I'm with the Village of Minerva Park, and I thank you for letting me speak again. I've appeared before this Board previously as a council member for the Village of Minerva Park for in excess of nine years.

I recognize the importance of getting the ballot right and ensuring a fair and honest election.

On August 14, I e-mailed to Mr. Mackey that I had --had reviewed the seven petitions for candidate of Village Councilperson in Minerva Park, and I noted that probably six would make it to the ballot because I did note the deficiencies of the Russell Dobbins' petition.

My surprise on the morning of August 20 after he was certified led me to call and speak with this office again, and it was stated to me at the time that that must have been overlooked.

I am not here to advocate that anyone be put off of this ballot simply because of an oversight

on their part. I am here to advocate that this election be fair and honest and equitable to all the other candidates who met the standard. Mr. Dobbins did not, as the top of his petition stated, fill in, sign, and date his statement of candidacy before the petitions were circulated. He instead dated his petition after all of the signatures had been collected. That was August 2.

2.2

2.3

According to the decisions made by this
Board previously and according to decisions made for
other candidates who have had a similar deficiency,
that leaves him with not 13 valid signatures but only
2. And I would petition this Board to correct its
error in certifying him for the November ballot and
revoke the certification because of his failure to
meet the minimum standard.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Any questions for Ms. Bierman?

Ms. Bierman, thank you very much.

MS. BIERMAN: Thank you and I do have a couple of other folks here in this audience who have not spoken, but they are from Minerva Park as well and they are going to be on the ballot and I think it's — it shows the support to have a correct ballot

to begin with going into this November election. So I appreciate their presence.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Very well. We heard from the protestor. Is the candidate here?

Ms. Bierman, thank you very much.

MS. BIERMAN: Thank you.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Jeff, I have a question. Even though there is no date required, is this something you checked before you checked the petitions?

MR. MACKEY: It is something we should check for when reviewing the petitions. Had we caught it prior to certification, we likely would not have recommended this petition for certification.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Just so that Mr. Dobbins was alerted that his candidacy was being challenged and was invited to be here today, but I have not heard or seen him come up per your request, Mr. Chairman, and I did want you to know he was notified his candidacy had been protested and so.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Very well. Is there a motion from a member of the Board?

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the protest filed by Sharon

Bierman against the candidacy of Mr. Dobbins for Minerva Park Village Council and Mr. Dobbins' name should not appear on the November 5, 2013, General Election ballot.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

The motion carries.

The next item on the agenda is voter registration challenge -- a number of challenges.

Mr. Mackey.

2.2

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: If it's okay with you, I will go ahead and take this one. The first one in your packet that we'll deal with is a new challenge that was filed, I am holding it up for you. It's an individual one that is included in your packet. Mr. Chairman, I believe it might be what you are looking at there. That one.

It is a challenge filed by Juanita

Shepard challenging the right to vote of Brenda

McFarland on the basis that Ms. Shepard is claiming

that she does live at 2370 Crimsonberry Lane in Grove
City.

We have checked that out. She has been a

registered voter since 2005 or 2006, I believe. The registration of Ms. McFarland did come through a Bureau of Motor Vehicles update. We have reached out to her and have heard nothing back. I don't know if Ms. Shepard or Ms. McFarland are here today with us at all. I don't believe so.

2.2

We sent notice out to Ms. McFarland that her right — this challenge had been filed with us. We heard nothing back from her. The recommendation of the Board, we did check this out. This is a legal residence. Ms. Shepard is registered to vote there and has been for some time. Does appear to be a single person dwelling. It's some homes in the Grove City area.

And Ms. Shepard is claiming Ms. McFarland does not live there. We've tried to put the pieces together on this. We believe that perhaps through the BMV update, Ms. McFarland perhaps -- or actually, I'm sorry, I don't believe it was through a BMV but SOS change of address, I apologize for that, made the entanglement.

And so we've sent out notice to her. We've heard nothing back. The suggestion -- the recommendation of staff is that we not remove

Ms. McFarland from the list but that we put her in what we call an inactive-other status in our voter registration system which would flag her in poll books and would require that she, if she does come to vote, show identification when she comes to vote and cast a provisional ballot so we can figure out where she is supposed to be.

2.2

2.3

The problem we have with some of these that do come through, for example, with the SOS change in address which is a great idea, it's a wonderful thing, it's helped us out tremendously, but if the person does put -- you know, in this case maybe they meant to put 2307 instead of 2370 or something along those lines, it's hard for us then to track the person down.

The last known registration she had was in another county. We did merge her record from the other county into Franklin County. And then it showed up, and we sent a confirmation card. I think that's when Ms. Shepard got it and said there's nobody by that name that lives here. We do have trouble tracking people down. That's why our recommendation is not to remove Ms. McFarland from the list because she very well may be a qualified

elector here in Franklin County, but we do have -- we are suspect of the 2370 Crimsonberry Lane address in Grove City.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: Do we know why we thought that she lived at 2370 Crimsonberry Lane?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: It came through an update on our voter registration system. Julie or Beth, I believe it was through the SOS system.

MS. KYLE: Correct.

2.2

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We take that information and compare it with the records we currently have. In this case it was a record from Pickaway County.

MS. KYLE: I believe it was.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We take those files and merge it together with the database.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: We have nothing but an electronic report.

MS. KYLE: Correct.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: There is no document we can look at submitted to this Board saying I reside at 2370 Crimsonberry Lane, Grove City?

MS. KYLE: There is not.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: There is not, sir. As I said, we send notice out to the address. We have the address 2370 Crimsonberry Lane. We don't know for sure, but, you know, chances are she's not there. You know, we are sending it to an address that she is really not at which led to the challenge in the first place.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: I'm completely convinced she doesn't live at 2370 Crimsonberry Lane.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Well, in that case I move that the Board place the voter registration of Brenda McFarland, purportedly of 2370 Crimsonberry Lane, Grove City, Ohio, in an inactive-other status in our voter registration system.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

The motion carries. The next item

challenge 2.

2.2

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: These were a continuation of the measure that we tabled at our last meeting. This dealt with a challenges brought forward by Ms. Carol Bicking of some addresses here

in Franklin County that were identified as storage facilities.

2.3

Mr. Chairman, you had asked about the legality of actually somebody living in a storage and if there was a specific prohibition on that. I have done some additional research on this issue. Go ahead, Mr. Chairman. Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: It wasn't exactly the concern. It was we were working from the premise that no person could ever reside at a building that was zoned commercial. And that didn't seem to be in accord with commonsense, and so I said, well, why do we have to make that presumption.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Thank you,

Mr. Chairman. That is -- that is a more accurate

summation of our discussion, thank you. I apologize

for that.

We did -- it was alleged in this challenge that there was -- that the person making the challenge had visited these sites and had talked to people there to say there is no resident manager, things of that sort. While we have no reason not to believe that, we can't necessarily trust that so we did send somebody out from the Board of Elections'

staff to these four locations, did confirm with employees at these locations that there is no resident manager of any sort that resides at the location.

2.2

And you're right, Mr. Chairman, the idea that it is zoned as other commercial does not mean itself prohibits somebody from living there. I did though take the — take it a step further though. I did talk to the head of zoning with the City of Columbus. It would be illegal by ordinance of the City of Columbus for somebody to actually reside in one of the storage units.

before. Neither had the Secretary of State's Office as I found upon further conversation. So I did talk to the head of zoning for the City of Columbus who did confirm for me it would be illegal for somebody to reside in a storage unit at one of these places. We did find out there is no resident manager at any of them.

Mr. Miesse and Ms. Marshall both have voted from the address that they have listed.

Mr. Miesse, we did check, does have another address that he uses, a residential address that he does use

per the BMV. We had his driver's license number. We did check that with BMV. There is a residence address. I believe it's up on Karl Road. And Mr. Kessinger also had an alternate address, Alta View Boulevard address, that he is using for BMV. Ms. Marshall, Ms. Caudy both do have driver's licenses registered at those addresses that are listed here.

2.2

2.3

We have communicated that with the BMV who has confirmed for us you are not permitted to do that. So that's a BMV issue for their driver's license, but they did have those addresses down as their — as their address for driver's license purposes also.

Mr. Miesse and Ms. Marshall have voted from the address that you have listed in front of you. Ms. Caudy and Mr. Kessinger have not.

Our recommendation remains the same as was made at the last meeting, is to place Mr. Miesse and Ms. Marshall in inactive voter status, restrict the address, and send them a letter requesting a residential address. If they do not comply with that after a period of time, we would then cancel those registrations because they are not at a lawful

address for voting purposes. Ms. Caudy and

Mr. Kessinger, we would put them in a pending status

because they have not voted, try to make

communication with them again to get a residential

address. And, again, if they did not respond, we

would do the same thing and remove that address. And

those recommendations are listed out for you in

Exhibit C.

CHAIRMAN SINNOTT: All four of these persons were sent a letter asking them to come forward to the Board and explain themselves, and they haven't done that.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board place the voter registration of Kenneth Miesse in inactive voter status and Lashaun V. Marshall in inactive voter status and the Board place the voter registration of Jessica Caudy in pending status as well as Gary Kessinger in pending status.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

```
41
                  Motion carries.
 1
 2
                  That's all I have for this Board meeting.
      Is there a motion to adjourn?
 3
                  MEMBER MARINELLO: So moved.
 4
 5
                  MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.
 6
 7
                  The Board stands adjourned.
                  (Thereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
 8
      4:03 p.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, September 3, 2013, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-5750)