BEFORE THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS

\_ \_ \_

In Re:

:

Special Meeting.

## PROCEEDINGS

before Chairman Douglas J. Preisse, Director William A. Anthony, Jr., Deputy Director Dana Walch, and Board Members Zachary E. Manifold, Bradley K. Sinnott, and Kimberly E. Marinello, at the Franklin County Board of Elections, 280 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio, called at 2 p.m. on Friday, February 15, 2013.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

```
2
 1
      APPEARANCES:
             Franklin County Prosecutor's Office
 2
             By Mr. Harold J. Anderson, III,
 3
             Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
             Civil Division-Environmental
             373 South High Street
             Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                  On behalf of the Board.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	ITEM	PAGE
4	Gahanna and Grove City Ward Boundaries	10
5	Salary Adjustment	10
6	Candidate Petitions Recommended	11
7	Candidate Petitions Not Recommended	14
8	Local Questions and Issues Recommended	16
9	Local Questions and Issues not Recommended	18
10	Hiring William Maxwell Scarborough	19
11	Adjourn	20
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

```
Friday Afternoon Session,
 1
                                 February 15, 2013.
 2
 4
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Good afternoon.
                                                      Ι
 5
     would like to call the Franklin County Board of
 6
     Elections February meeting to order.
 7
                  I will do the roll-call. Kimberly
 8
     Marinello.
                 MEMBER MARINELLO: Here.
 9
10
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Zachary Manifold.
11
                  MEMBER MANIFOLD: Here.
12
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Douglas Preisse.
13
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here.
14
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And Bradley Sinnott.
15
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: Here.
16
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Mr. Chairman, we are
     all here and accounted for.
17
18
                  First item on the Board agenda, Mr.
19
     Chairman, is the Gahanna and Grove City Ward
20
     boundaries, and we have Jeff Mackey or who? Carla?
21
     Carla and Matt are here.
2.2
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Carla and Matt Shay
23
     are going to kind of go through what the --
24
                  MEMBER MARINELLO: Rushay.
```

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: You said Shay.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: It's Matt Rushay. And you have at your -- at your places the maps, the original and proposed, for both Gahanna and Grove City. Which one of you guys want to start, Carla or Matt? If there are any questions.

2.2

2.3

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: In front of you you do have a set of four maps. One is the original Gahanna precincts and amended Gahanna precincts and the other is the same for Grove City.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So who will walk us through what we are looking at and what we are doing? I see the shady part is the part --

MS. PATTON: If you look at the area, both of them redrew their ward lines and they took in parts of precincts and other parts. So if you are looking at your map, you'll see old lines and new lines. Where there is a dash, the map that has shading on there, that's where they have changed from one ward to another ward.

So, for example, the area with the dash lines through there, that used to be a part of a different ward than it currently is on the new ward lines.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The new --

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So we are going to look at Gahanna first? Everybody looking at Gahanna?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: New precincts, not wards.

MS. PATTON: The new precincts, we had to reline all the precincts so that we would fall under the requirements of 1,400 voters in any given precinct.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Let me do something scientific. We haven't changed -- oh, have we changed ward boundaries? Yes, we have changed some ward boundaries but also just precinct boundaries within as well.

MS. PATTON: Gahanna didn't have as many precinct boundary changes. They were a little kinder to us in the drawing of their ward lines. Grove City had some very drastic changes to their ward, and so several of the precincts in the Grove City area had to be readjusted to fall within the new ward boundaries.

MEMBER SINNOTT: In the instance of both cities there is not an increase in the number of wards.

MS. PATTON: No, there is no increase in the number of wards. Overall in the City of Gahanna we had -- we gained a net of one precinct.

MR. RUSHAY: One precinct.

MS. PATTON: Grove City we gained a net of two precincts because of the new boundaries.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: But the number of wards stayed the same.

MS. PATTON: Wards is still four wards in each subdivision. Gahanna had just small areas that changed into wards where Grove City had larger areas that went from one ward to the other ward, particularly between the first ward — or the second ward of Grove City that now encompasses what used to be parts of the fourth ward, parts of the first ward, parts of the third ward so.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: How many precincts were in -- were added to Grove City? Two?

MS. PATTON: Total of two precincts were added to Grove City.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So would this bring us up to countywide 105 precincts?

MS. PATTON: I believe we were at 800.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We were at 802.

2.2

2.3

8 I think we are at 804 now. 1 MS. PATTON: 804. 2 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What's that? 3 4 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: 804. 5 MS. PATTON: With Gahanna 805. 6 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I forgot about 7 the one. 8 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: 805, okay. 9 MEMBER SINNOTT: Perhaps there is a 10 motion. MEMBER MANIFOLD: I have a question about 11 the central committee. 12 13 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: No. MEMBER MANIFOLD: Do you get thrown out 14 15 of your seat? CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We checked on our 16 side, and it didn't affect our people, but we didn't 17 18 check on your side. DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We need to check that 19 20 because there was a line change on a couple of them, 21 see where they live. Hey, Matt. 2.2 MR. RUSHAY: Yes, sir. 23 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: As far as the central

committee seats are concerned, were any of the

24

current central committee seats impacted because they 1 got moved out when the lines were drawn? MS. PATTON: Grove City. 4 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Grove City, which one? 5 MS. PATTON: Grove City Ward 2. 6 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Ward 2. 7 MS. PATTON: I know -- I believe Ward 2 8 currently on the Democratic Party is Donna Miller. 9 She got moved into Ward 1. So there are some 10 impacts. Other than that I'm not aware as to where 11 the central committee members were positioned. And I 12 think for the most part I think on the Republican 13 side they were still within the wards they represent. DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Can you find out? 14 15 MS. PATTON: Yes. 16 MR. RUSHAY: Sure. 17 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Both Gahanna and Grove 18 City? 19 MS. PATTON: Yes. 20 MR. RUSHAY: Yes. 21 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Notify the folks. 2.2 MS. PATTON: We will do that. 2.3 MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I make a 24 motion to accept the new ward boundary lines for the

City of Gahanna and Grove City and approve the resulting proposed precinct boundaries with revisions developed by the Board of Elections staff.

2.2

2.3

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor say aye. Motion carries.

The second item on the agenda back when we did salary adjustments, we -- Dana and I and the fiscal folks, we errored in some calculations, and we need to straighten it out so this motion would -- we actually gave poor Debbie Cochran a decrease instead of an increase so.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: The beginning wage we started from and then added to was incorrect so even with the addition that we made of 1-1/2 percent the county gave it didn't bring her up to where she should have been, so by trying to give her a little bit of a raise at the last meeting, we actually cut her salary so we wanted to make it right this month.

MEMBER SINNOTT: In order to correct our earlier computational error, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adjust Debbie Cochran's salary to

\$20.082 per hour instead of the \$19.729 per hour approved at our previous meeting and that this new salary be made retroactive to January 28, 2013.

2.2

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor say aye. That motion carries as well.

The next item on the agenda would be certification of candidates issues. You have Exhibit A in front of you.

And, Mr. Mackey, am I right to say you are going to walk us through that?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: All of the exhibits for each of the Board Members are stapled together so they all occur within the same packet.

MR. MACKEY: In the packet stapled there are Exhibits A through D. Exhibit A is candidates that will be certified into the primary election and the general election. First three pages there include the candidates in the primary election. And then pages 4 and 5 there will be candidates not involved in the primary who are certified to the general election.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Board Members, Director,

Deputy Director, and counsel, let me ask about the language proposed for the certification motion. As currently drafted, the motion proposes that we certify candidates to the May primary election ballot and the November general election ballot. Is that what we want to be doing at this time?

2.2

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Don't the primary -don't we attest to the results of the primary
election and then cert --

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Only if --

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- certify the results in advance of the general election to the general election?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We can put through straight to the general election those candidates that do not have a primary.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Right.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: And that's why we broke it out as we did, as Jeff did, in Exhibit A that some candidates who — that need to appear on the primary ballot are on there, and then others can go straight to the general because they do not have a primary.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: There's two parts of

```
Exhibit A.
1
2
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes.
3
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct,
4
     Mr. Chairman.
5
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: This language would
6
     reflect the two parts of Exhibit A broken out to
     those that are advancing without primary.
8
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct.
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I see. I was
9
10
     confused.
11
                  DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Pages 1, 2, and 3
     of Exhibit A are candidates that must appear on a
12
13
     primary ballot. Candidates in Exhibit A on pages 4
     and 5 move directly on to the general election;
14
     there's no primary election.
15
16
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. I am no longer
17
     confused. Very good.
18
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Well, we can do it
     this time. Counsel has suggested we break them down
19
20
     into two separate motions. That wouldn't --
21
                  MR. ANDERSON: If that's less confusing.
2.2
                  CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I am no longer
23
     confused with the explanation so I defer to counsel
     if it's necessary, but I am now satisfied with the
24
```

clarity.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: This language is consistent with what the Board has done in the past on like elections but if the Members of the Board -- CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I have no problem with it.

MEMBER SINNOTT: My impression is that with reference to the exhibit the motion is now sufficiently clear.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Okay.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board certify the May 7, 2013, primary election ballot and the November 5, 2013, general election ballot, those candidates appearing on Exhibit A, this petition was found to be valid in submission.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER SINNOTT: Second.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All those in favor.

Now, we move on to Exhibit B.

MR. MACKEY: Exhibit B including some of the more common reasons why we find the petitions to be insufficient there, if you'll turn past those to the actual candidates, I can go through those one by one or I've indicated there why we found them to be insufficient. They are all insufficient for not having submitted enough valid signatures.

2.2

2.3

MEMBER MANIFOLD: I guess I have a question. Looking at most of these they look pretty clear. Do you have -- do you know if you have 7 part petitions with circulator errors? This is under Frederick Lamarr. Do we know what those seven? Were they seven --

MR. MACKEY: I think that they were all the number did not match the number of signatures collected on the circulator statement.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Jeff, the Board's bipartisan staff is in agreement that these candidates, these petitions are not valid?

MR. MACKEY: Correct. And also on all the ones that we are not going to recommend here were reviewed a second time with another staff member to see that we could come up with any signatures that somebody invalidated.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And we will send letters to folks that did not make it for whatever reason, and they will have an opportunity to present an argument before the Board at some point so.

1 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board deny certification to the candidates appearing on Exhibit B on the basis that the declarations of candidacy petitions for either not timely filed or found by staff to be invalid or insufficient.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor say

11 aye.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

Finally C, Exhibit C being?

MR. MACKEY: I'll let Carla walk you through the questions and issues.

MS. PATTON: If you turn the page of the first page of Exhibit C, it's all the local questions and issues that were filed by resolution or by ordinance by a subdivision seeking either a tax levy, a bond issue, or some type of proposition, whether it be an income tax or gas or electric aggregation issue. Those have all been reviewed and are sufficient as to form of resolution.

If you turn to the next page of Exhibit C, the local liquor options, there were three

petitions found to have a valid number of signatures, therefore, the forms of the petitions are correct. Everything was filed in a timely manner so we do suggest that those be certified to the primary ballots since there will be candidates appearing on those ballots as well.

2.2

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You said there's three?

MS. PATTON: There's three petitions that were vital, two of which had weekday and Sunday sales questions filled out; therefore, those are constituted by two different issues with one petition.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Oh, I see. That's why there is five.

MS. PATTON: There is three precincts, two of the precincts have a weekday sale as well as a Sunday sale question, and the third precinct is for Sunday sales only.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.

MEMBER MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board of Elections certify the May 7, 2013, primary election ballot of the issues appearing on Exhibit C which were timely filed and found by the

1 staff to be sufficiently valid.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

3 MEMBER SINNOTT: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.

Opposed?

2.2

And then Exhibit D are those local questions and issues that were not recommended.

MS. PATTON: Exhibit D we had one local option petition that was filed. They were — they were notified that due to the possibility of no candidates appearing on the ballot that they would have been held off to the November election.

However, they did fall 9 signatures short of the required 314 signatures needed in the precinct.

Therefore, we're not recommending certification at this time to the November ballot for this local option.

MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Chairman, because of a professional relationship that my office has with the Kroger Company I'll abstain from consideration of this matter.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: I will need a roll-call vote. Why don't you make the motion.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move

the Board of Elections deny certification to the 1 local option petition appearing on Exhibit D filed on 2 behalf of Kroger at 55 West Schrock Road, 4 Westerville, Ohio, due to an insufficient number of 5 valid signatures. 6 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Roll-call vote. Kim 7 Marinello. 8 MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes. 9 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second? 10 MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second. DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Second Mr. Manifold. 11 Vote Kim Marinello. 12 13 MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes. 14 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Zachary Manifold. 15 MEMBER MANIFOLD: Yes. 16 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Douglas Preisse. 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yes. 18 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And Brad Sinnott. 19 MEMBER SINNOTT: Abstained. 20 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries. 21 The next item on the agenda is the 2.2 Democratic side's right to hire William Maxwell 23 Scarborough to be an employee of Voter Services beginning February 18 at the compensation rate of 24

```
1
     $14.66 an hour.
 2
                  MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I
 3
     recommend the Board hire William Maxwell Scarborough
 4
     as an employee of the Voter Services Department
 5
     beginning February 18, 2013, at the compensation rate
 6
     of $14.66 per hour.
 7
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
 8
                  MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor.
 9
     Motion carries.
10
11
                  That concludes the agenda for the special
     meeting. Motion to adjourn would be in order.
12
13
                  MEMBER SINNOTT: So moved.
                  MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.
14
15
                  DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All in favor say aye.
                  We stand adjourned.
16
17
                  (Thereupon, the meeting was concluded at
18
     2:38 p.m.)
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Friday, February 15, 2013, and carefully compared with my original 

stenographic notes. 

Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter.

(KSG-5663)