1	BEFORE THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS		
2			
3			
4	In Re:		
5	Special Meeting :		
6			
7	PROCEEDINGS		
8	before Chairman Douglas J. Preisse, Deputy Director		
9	Dana Walch, and Board Members Zachary E. Manifold,		
10	Kimberly E. Marinello, and Bradley K. Sinnott, at the		
11	Franklin County Board of Elections, 280 East Broad		
12	Street, Columbus, Ohio, called at 3:24 p.m. on		
13	Monday, October 15, 2012.		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.		
21	222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201		
22	(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481 Fax - (614) 224-5724		
23			
24			

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Franklin County Prosecutor's Office By Harold J. Anderson, III	
3	Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 373 South High Street, 13th Floor	
4	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
5	On behalf of the Board.	
6	ALSO PRESENT:	
7	Ms. Suzanne Brown, Executive Assistant to the Board	
8	Mr. Jeffrey Mackey,	
9	Petitions and Financial Filings Clerk, Franklin County Board of Elections	
10		
11	Mr. Ben Piscitelli, Public Information Officer,	
12	Franklin County Board of Elections	
13	Ms. Renee Klco, Manager of Voter Services,	
14	Franklin County Board of Elections	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

•		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	ITEM	PAGE
4	Approval of Minutes	4
5	Voter Registration Challenge	5
6	Suspected Cases of Double Voting	7
7 8	Discussion of Lease of Building Located at 340 East Gay Street	16
9	Discussion of Secretary of State Advisory 2012-01	22
10	Adjourn	47
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 4 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I'd like to call 5 this meeting, this Special Meeting of the Franklin 6 County Board of Elections to order today. We'll 7 start with the roll. Kimberly Marinello? 9 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Here. 10 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Zachary Manifold? 11 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Here. 12 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Douglas Preisse? 13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here. 14 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Brad Sinnott? 15 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Here. 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Everybody is 17 here. 18 The first order of business today is 19 approval of the minutes from the September 18th and 20 September 24th meetings of the Board. 21 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I 22 move that the Board approve the minutes of the September 18th and September 24th meeting of the 23 24 Franklin County Board of Elections as submitted.

5 1 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Is there a second 2 on that? 3 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second. 4 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: All those in 5 favor, signify by saying aye. 6 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 7 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Those opposed? 8 (No response.) 9 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: The ayes have it. 10 The next item -- I'll pass this around 11 for everybody to sign. 12 The next item on the agenda is we did get 13 a Voter Registration Challenge filed with our office and I'd like to ask Renee Klco, our Manager of Voter 14 15 Services, if she'd come up and brief the Board on the situation. 16 17 MS. KLCO: Richard Lewis is challenging 18 Delores Daniels who registered at his address at 229 19 Wilson Avenue. This is a brand new registration. 20 The information like her Social Security number that 21 she gave us was a mismatch as well as the Social 22 Security Administration sent a letter out to her 23 asking her to correct it; we never had it returned.

She's not registered any place else in Ohio.

6 staff recommendation is that we cancel this voter. 1 2 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Was this a -- how did 3 this person come to be registered? Do we know? 4 MS. KLCO: They mailed in a registration 5 form. 6 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I don't have any 7 questions. It's pretty straightforward. 8 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Any questions of 9 Renee about this? 10 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the Challenge of Right to 11 12 Vote and Correction of Registration List filed by 13 Richard K. Lewis of 229 Wilson Avenue, Columbus, Ohio, against Delores Daniels, purportedly of 229 14 Wilson Avenue, Columbus, Ohio, and order that Delores 15 Daniels' registration be immediately cancelled in 16 17 Franklin County. 18 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Is there a second on that? 19 20 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Second. 21 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: All those in 22 favor, signify by saying aye. 23 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 24 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Those opposed?

(No response.)

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thank you, Renee.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Thank you, Renee.

Next, we were presented, a number of weeks ago, through some research that was done in putting together voter registration information that we did have -- we did find an instance of -- three instances of cases in which voters may have voted multiple times.

And we looked into this, and Jeff Mackey, if Jeff will step on up, Jeff headed this situation up in looking into this, interviewed each of the people involved in the cases, and we've asked Jeff to come forward today to present you with what he found. And in your packets you do have information on these three, these three instances that we'll discuss now.

MR. MACKEY: Over the summer, voter registration staff was cleaning up our database, and while attempting to remove duplicates for these three individuals they noticed that there were votes cast at the same election on both records for these individuals that we were trying to merge together. That throws up a flag in the system and won't allow you to merge those records until you've cleared that

up.

And in looking at the records, we received absentee ballot return envelopes from all of these individuals for two votes at the same election. One person actually did it twice at the 2008 Primary and then again at the 2010 General Election.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: What the -- we've been in discussion with the Prosecutor's office about this, they asked us to look further into it, that's why we tasked Jeff with doing some additional investigation into this. What -- staff believes there is ample evidence here to refer this over to the Prosecutor's office for possible prosecution in these three instances -- these three individuals, I believe it was four instances by three individuals of double voting.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: And you interviewed each of them?

MR. MACKEY: Between myself and the staff at the Prosecutor's office, we have talked to all three of them, yes.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: What did they say?

MR. MACKEY: They all pleaded ignorance.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Did you explain to them --

MR. MACKEY: Yes, I showed them that -showed them the applications that they submitted both
for the same election and then the ballots that they
submitted twice for the same election, asked them how
that happened, and they said they profaned their
ignorance. They weren't sure -- they didn't remember
doing it, weren't sure how that happened exactly.

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I guess the question I have is, and maybe Dana's the right one to answer this, is why didn't we catch it sooner? How did we catch it now and why didn't we catch it before, I guess?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Well, I think
this is due to a lot of the new information that we
have been collecting, that the Boards of Elections
throughout the state have been collecting, and the
merging of data with the Secretary of State's office,
through merging of records through the Bureau of
Motor Vehicles, Social Security Administration, and
some other records that they do, that that
information is much clearer now than it ever has been
in the past, and I believe that is the reason that it

popped up on our screen this time.

Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And it looks like, I haven't been through all of them, most of these cases they did it on the very same day. I mean --

MR. MACKEY: The ballot return envelopes, at least, were signed on the same day, so they were voting and returning the ballot at the same time, so I don't know how you can do that logically, but.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You could, I suppose if somebody -- if there was a space of some weeks between and they're busy and they're distracted, but unless I'm reading this inaccurately, they're doing it, they appear to be dated the very same day. I haven't looked at all of them, but at least -- DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct,

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Maybe all of them are.

BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Jeff, would you put these very plainly and let's consider these as individual cases. With regard to Marian Wilson, it's believed that the voter cast two absentee ballots as to the 2010 General Election; is that correct?

MR. MACKEY: Two absentee ballots. For Marian Wilson, that was the one that voted twice, two

```
1
    different times. So for the 2008 Democratic primary
2
     she requested, received, and returned two Democratic
3
    primary ballots; and then in 2010, she requested
4
    received, and returned two General Election ballots.
5
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Okay. So it's
6
    believed that voter Wilson voted twice in the '08
7
    Democratic primary and twice in the 2010 General
    Election.
9
                 MR. MACKEY: That's correct.
10
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: And then with
11
    respect to Dominique Atkins, simply put, what is the
12
    belief?
13
                 MR. MACKEY: 2010 General Election, she
    requested, received, and returned two General
14
15
    Election ballots.
16
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Then with regard
17
    to Deborah Tingler, what is the belief?
18
                 MR. MACKEY: In the 2008 primary, she
19
    requested and received a Democratic primary ballot
20
     and an issues-only ballot and voted both of those.
21
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: And none of the
22
     three reported any recollection as to how that
```

MR. MACKEY: No.

happened?

23

```
1
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Any other --
2
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: In the case of
3
    Deborah, she was registered twice.
4
                 MR. MACKEY: In the case of all of them
5
    there were two records in the system with some
    variation of name.
6
7
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Deborah Toles, if
8
    I'm right, Jeff, was once as Deborah and once as
9
    Debbie?
10
                 MR. MACKEY: Correct.
                                        That was --
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Tingler.
12
                 MR. MACKEY: -- Tingler.
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Tingler.
13
    sorry. That's what I meant was Deborah Tingler. She
14
15
    was once as Deborah and once as Debbie. Dominique
    Atkins, there was two different spellings of
16
17
    Dominique, if I remember correctly, that created the
18
    double record. And then --
19
                 MR. MACKEY: Marian Wilson.
20
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: -- Marian Wilson
21
    was both as Marian Wilson and Marian Toles and that's
22
    how we caught it through the birthday, Social
23
    Security, you know, number match was how that one
    came about. Any other questions from the Board?
24
```

```
13
1
                 MS. PADDY SHAFFER: Could you turn the
2
    volume up?
                It's hard to hear you.
3
                 MR. MACKEY: Does this even work?
4
                 MS. PADDY SHAFFER: Turn it on.
5
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Turn it on.
6
                 MS. BROWN: There's a red switch that you
7
    flip.
8
                 MS. PADDY SHAFFER: It's hard to hear the
9
    Board members also, so.
10
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We'll try and
11
     speak up as best we can.
12
                 Any additional questions for Jeff or me?
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Chairman, I
13
    move that the Board refer to the County Prosecutor
14
15
    for possible prosecution the suspected cases of
16
    double voting involving Dominique Atkins, Deborah
17
     Tingler, and Marian Wilson.
18
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Is there a
19
    second?
20
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Second.
21
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: All those in
22
    favor, say aye.
23
                 BOARD MEMBERS:
                                 Aye.
24
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Those opposed?
```

14 1 (No response.) 2 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Okay. 3 MS. PADDY SHAFFER: Could I ask a 4 question? 5 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Mr. Chairman? 6 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Does it pertain to 7 what we're doing --8 MS. PADDY SHAFFER: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- at the moment? 10 MS. PADDY SHAFFER: Yeah. Just record-retention schedule generally would be 22 11 12 months on those records, so how is it that you even still have 2008 envelopes and things? 13 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We scan in every 14 15 envelope. Every communication that comes into this 16 office as it relates to the voting of a ballot or a 17 voter registration change or an absentee ballot 18 application or something like that is scanned in and 19 kept permanently by our office. We are required to 20 keep, for at least 22 months, any ballot voted in a

MS. PADDY SHAFFER: How many years back do you have those records then? So if you have 2008 --

federal election.

21

22

23

```
1
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I refer to Renee
    who has been here a little longer than I have.
2
3
    mean --
                 MS. KLCO: Till the early 2000s. The
5
    actual documents --
6
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I know there's --
7
    I know there's --
8
                 MS. KLCO: -- voting goes back to '96 or
9
    even later.
10
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I know there's
    records -- I know there's records on me still back to
11
12
     1998, when I changed my address here in Franklin
13
    County, so.
14
                 MS. KLCO: That's just what we have
15
    scanned in.
16
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Yeah.
                                                Sure,
17
    sure.
18
                 MS. KLCO: We have every registration
    we've ever had both in paper form and on microfilm.
19
20
                 MS. PADDY SHAFFER: Okay. Thank you.
21
                 MS. MARIAN LUPO: So these are electronic
22
    records --
23
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think if we're going
    to have any further discussion, can you have it --
24
```

delighted to have it after with the staff and we're always available to answer questions --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: You bet.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- and then if you want to bring something up at a next board meeting, let us know, and that'd be fine.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: The next item on the agenda is, as you know, we have been leasing space, in addition to our space here in Memorial Hall, we've been leasing space at 340 East Gay Street which has been housing our Precinct Election Official staff for the last 15 months or so, prior to my coming on board here. That lease is up at the end of the year and we wanted to have a discussion today amongst the Board of whether we want to continue that lease or not.

Randy Walker, who is the owner of the building if there are any questions from the Board about it. Our current lease on that location is \$5,000 a month. The arrangements of the lease, as proposed by the owner, is for it to go to \$6,500 a month for a two-year -- and have a two-year lease period is what has been proposed.

```
1
                 When we originally moved into that space
    it was only for, I believe, three months; is that
2
3
    correct?
                 MR. WALKER: Three or four months.
5
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Three or four
6
    months, I believe, was the original agreement. Just
7
    then once we got into the presidential year, it
8
    turned into being for 15 months, I believe, after
9
    that, so, but --
10
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: How many people are
    over there doing what right now?
11
12
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: It is our
    Precinct Election Official. They're the folks who
13
    recruit all of our poll workers. Right now we've got
14
15
    probably 12 or 13 folks over there right now. Our
    normal full-time staff, which we'd go to after the
16
17
    election, we'll be back down to five.
18
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: This is a
    discussion only, right? No vote today.
19
20
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We noticed it as
    just a discussion today, so we'll leave that to the
21
22
    Board or Counsel.
```

matter, after this year's election, will we be able

BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: As a practical

23

to incorporate the remaining staff back into this property?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: It is my belief we can, yes. It is — there are times when we do get into election season, as we are right now, that obviously we do bring on a number of seasonal people to help not only in our PEO department, our Precinct Election Official department, but also in Voter Services, in Absentee Balloting, and things of that sort, that does get — make Memorial Hall quite crowded, but it is my belief that we could absorb that, especially with next year being a non-presidential, non-gubernatorial year, that we could bring that back in, in house, here at Memorial Hall.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: You're still looking to move the entire Board?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: It is still -- it is still an ongoing discussion that we have ongoing with the county on whether we can ever find a different location. It has been the desire of the Board for some time to try and find a location that could house not only our operation here, but also the warehouse that we have out on Alum Creek, if there

would be a way to consolidate that all into one location.

2.

I think we're all of the mindset, and I don't -- I don't speak on Bill's behalf, but I think I can speak on his behalf on this one that it is our combined desire at some point, if the Commissioners see fit to do so, to have us all in one location.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: When we originally went over there, it was anticipating a temporary occupancy as we were heading at the time a little more deliberately toward a single center, we thought. Budget and time got away from the county, I guess, one way or another, so here we are.

Well, we'll continue to have a discussion and it stands to reason to wait until after the election to see where we are and how that space, I think, is expected to be utilized going into 2013.

When does the lease run out?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: The end of the year.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The end of the year.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: And I don't want to speak on his behalf because Mr. Walker is here today, he is hoping to try and have a decision sooner

rather than later, but that's obviously at the discretion of the Board as to how you want to handle that, but are there any other questions that you have of staff?

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: The offer is open until October 15th? I mean, what offer?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: There is a sheet in your packet here that does list out the cost per square foot as presented by the landlord.

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: And I talked to the Director earlier this week about it, and I think Bill and I both, and I don't know if Kim's talked to the Director as well, but I know that I'm kind of uncomfortable agreeing to two years when we're still up in the air on consolidation plans and hopefully find a new location for the Board. I think that's important, I think it's important to get us all in one location, and I think that's where I'm at right now. I think that's where the Director was at, too.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Me too.

BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: And, Dana, your view is that we don't have a need for this space for Board operations in calendar year 2013.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I believe we can

```
accommodate what we need to do in 2013 here in

Memorial Hall. It will be -- it will be tight. You

know, I like to tell it like it is. It will be tight

when we bring -- because an election is an election.

If it's countywide, it's countywide. The only thing

we have in a presidential year that there's more of

is voters and obviously intensity and things of that

sort.
```

year gets a lot more publicity, a lot more media play and things of that sort, preparing for a countywide election is preparing for a countywide election no matter what's on the ballot. We still do have to recruit, you know, 3,500 to 4,000-plus poll workers, et cetera, so. But we don't do, for example, in a non-presidential, non-gubernatorial as many absentee ballots, so we don't need to bring as many absentee seasonals on board, that sort of thing.

We should -- it is my belief we can accommodate what we need to do, in 2013, here at Memorial Hall.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: But not 2014. Maybe.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Maybe.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All right. Well,

we'll continue the discussion. Anybody else care to
make remarks? We can move on --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Okay.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- to the next agenda item.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: The next agenda item is Advisory 2012-01 from the Secretary of State and you all have a copy of the advisory in your packets today. In the next-to-last paragraph, the Secretary has, in the last line has said -- or, in the last paragraph, I'm sorry, has talked about accepting recommendations from county boards of elections as to the hours and days best for their voters and their local administration of the elections before his establishing uniform days and hours for in-person absentee voting on the three days immediately before Election Day.

What the Secretary has asked for, if boards of elections throughout the state can come to some sort of consensus, is recommendations from the board to his office, as litigation continues to play out on this, that he is looking for recommendations from boards of elections on the setting of uniform, early, in-person absentee voting for the three days

immediately prior to the General Election.

We put this on the agenda today as a discussion point for the four of you if there is a recommendation at all that you want to make to the Secretary on this matter.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: So we will give you our recommendations and they'll go on to the Secretary of State? We don't need to vote? Do we need to vote on it?

advised by Counsel that, given the nature of the wording in the notice, we should not vote today. We should and can and will have a conversation, a discussion. The Secretary of State has not asked us to necessarily only let his office know the sense of this board by vote, and so I think we could, if we wish to, let the Secretary of State's office know our sense collectively or individually or in various combinations of our body what our sense may be on this issue or these issues.

So I don't think we need to vote, but we can have a discussion, it could be part of the record. We could have a series of even suggestive paragraphs, maybe, if that's not too specific.

BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: My impression is that the Secretary's looking for a sense of the boards around the state and he could be advised of our sense either by reviewing the transcript of our discussion or having staff prepare a summary of what each of us thought, maybe we'll have the same thought, maybe we won't, but I think the Secretary is interested in hearing what the Franklin County board members have to say about the subject.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: From my read of the advisory, I think that's a fair summation of it.

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: So, Harold, I know that you said -- we talked earlier and you were talking about the meeting notice and not -- and whether we could have an actual vote on the -- I don't know, are you worried about that we can't set the hours or can we vote on the recommendation of what we send? I know it says "Discussion of Advisory." Can we vote on what our recommendation is?

MR. ANDERSON: You can vote on a recommendation because that's really not a -- there's not an overt action. The problem will be actually physically setting the hours today. I don't know

that that semantically makes a whole lot of sense, but that's kind of the way the case law cuts on these special meeting issues.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It's not binding, though, of any sort anyways, so.

MR. ANDERSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I guess my

predilection would be not to cast a vote on something
that could be misinterpreted or it would be

meaningless, perhaps, at best.

You know, we all have our opinions, informed through experience from where we sit here and other hats we've worn and elections over the years. There are challenges that are presented to the good men and women, Republican and Democratic alike, who run the Board and who are full-time workers or temporary workers in this big county. I think we're proud of our bipartisan effort that takes place and, gee, I don't think there's ever an election without some little hitch.

Where I'm coming from is Ohio having arguably one of, if not the most, liberal early-voting opportunities, menu of opportunities, how much breathing room do our professionals need to

effectively, safely manage and prepare and execute Election Day where most voters are still going to vote. And I know there are operational challenges, some of which I'm very familiar with and some of which I'm sure I'm not.

I'm interested in Bill, he's not here, he can give us an opinion, as he should, later.

Dana, I'm interested in your -- you've been in the Secretary of State's office, you've been here a while and you run campaigns and you've been on all sides of it and you've been here long enough, and having interacted with boards of elections in many elections around the state, you're familiar with the challenges that I think particularly face a big county with all these moving parts.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Yeah. From my experience the issue becomes being able to accurately reflect out on Election Day who has -- who has requested an absentee ballot or who has voted an absentee ballot ahead of time.

Currently, the statute dictates that, for example, mailed absentee ballots, a mailed absentee ballot has to be -- the request for that has to be in by noon on Saturday. There's a logistic reason for

that and that is because then we can cut that off, of the people who have requested an absentee ballot and do supplemental pages to the poll books.

With 475 voting locations in Franklin County, with, you know, producing 2,700, I believe it is, something like that, poll books that will be placed at those 475 voting locations, we obviously have to cut the voter registration list off a couple weeks in advance. The voter registration list has to be ready 20 -- or, 14 days prior to the election by statute.

At that day we freeze the list, so to speak, and anybody who has requested an absentee ballot up to that point is so coded in the poll books, so that if you have requested an absentee ballot and then go to vote on Election Day also, you are flagged in the poll book and you have to vote a provisional ballot. That's all done by statute.

Anybody that requests an absentee ballot or goes and votes at the Early Vote Center after that day we begin printing the poll books, we do supplemental lists for the poll books. Those are distributed out to our voting location managers the Sunday before the election. We have them all come to

the warehouse, pick up all their supplies at the warehouse at a given time, 9:00 to 2:00, I forget exactly the times of it, but Sunday they come to our warehouse, get all the election supplies that they will take with them for voting on Tuesday.

We, at that time, because if -- if absentee requests are cut off at noon the Saturday prior to the election, we can spend Saturday afternoon, Saturday evening, getting those lists ready to put in each packet of information that goes out to the voting location managers.

The longer we have voting going through the weekend, Sunday, Monday, it now takes into account that we have to do multiple lists, supplemental lists to the voting location managers.

If voting were to go through, you know, the evening of Monday, say, for example, the day before the election, that entails us having to do another list, we've already distributed one out, but doing another list now of supplemental pages to ensure that people are properly marked in the poll book as having requested an absentee ballot or having voted an absentee ballot in the case of the Early Vote Center.

```
1
                 We need to then produce all that Monday
 2
     night and try and get it out to the 475 voting
 3
     locations by 6:30 a.m. on Tuesday morning. That
    creates a tremendous logistical problem for us to do
 5
    that and so the further into the weekend it goes, the
 6
    more of a logistical problem it creates for the Board
 7
    of Elections.
                 Does that --
 9
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I think so.
10
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Do you have
     questions on some of that? I mean --
11
12
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: No, I don't think
13
     so.
14
                 Do you want me to walk through? I guess
15
     I'll walk through our --
16
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yeah.
17
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I'll let Kim
18
     speak for herself. I think my recommendations or my
19
     thoughts --
20
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Our thoughts.
21
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: -- our thoughts
22
     on the Advisory, yeah, I guess he's asking for our
23
     opinion on hours the last three days,
    recommendations, if the court case holds up and the
24
```

courts say there should be voting these three days.

So this is -- I guess I would hate to see us not be open one of those three days after it's gone through all of these court proceedings all the way up and that they've said you should have voting these three days. I would hate to see us not have hours, at least some sort of hours those three days.

I think my feeling, I think in a way we were open, I want to say like 8:00 to 4:00 -- 8:00 to 4:00, 1:00 to 5:00 -- 8:00 to 4:00 Saturday, 1:00 to 5:00 Sunday, and I think 8:00 to 7:00 on --

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: It was 8:00 to 5:00 on Saturday, 1:00 to 5:00 on Sunday, and 8:00 to 7:00 on Monday.

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Yeah. And I guess I would be happy -- I have a lot of concern, I have similar concerns about Monday, I think. I think it was very hard in 2008. I think anybody that was around, you know, I think you can expect voting to go on for a couple hours after we close. I think I have concerns about Monday.

I think my general feeling is I would like to see I guess Saturday -- I'll walk through, my recommendation would be 8:00 to 4:00 Saturday, 12:00

```
to 4:00 Sunday, and 8:00 to noon on Monday. And the reason I say noon on Monday is because I do have a lot of concern about going any later than that because you can expect probably a couple hours of voting after that to close off people in line.
```

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Could you repeat those hours for me?

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: 8:00 to 4:00 Saturday, November 3rd; Sunday, November 4th, 12:00 to 4:00; and Monday, November 5th, 8:00 to noon. I guess that would be, that would be where I tend to think.

I think that's -- I have a list of, somebody sent me a list of counties, what other counties are doing. You know, I think, it looks to me like that's what Licking County, somebody sent me a list, and it looks like Licking County, they have 8:00 to 5:00, 1:00 to 4:00, 8:00 to noon. You know, some other counties, Montgomery, I think these are actually, it looks like these are bipartisan agreements, 8:00 to 4:00, noon to -- 12:00 to 4:00, 8:00 to 4:00 for Montgomery County.

Geauga County, I think, is open Monday, 8:30 to 4:40, at least by my list. I think getting

any later -- I think noon is a good cutoff on Monday.

I do think either way, even if the court case goes the other way, I guess this would be my similar recommendation on what we have to set as, even if the court case were to flip, we still have to set UOCAVA hours, too, if it goes the other way we have to set military voting. I think these are good hours. I think this is a good standard for the final three days, I think.

Do you have any thoughts, Kim?

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: No. We discussed it at length and I agree.

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I think, you know, a couple of other things that kind of go into my thoughts of why I like these hours. I have a concern about our mail voting totals. I know everybody is talking about the Secretary of State, you know, this is the first time everybody in the state has gotten a mailing for absentee applications.

I think -- I think there's got to be a real concern at least, you know, at least from my standpoint I didn't like the design and I'm concerned that the design of that has set us back in Franklin

County. I think we're almost 50,000 voters less voting by mail this year than '08.

You know, I think our voters were used to that form, that very yellow form, and formal, you know, I think they were looking for that very -- what we've given them every year where it's a form and it looks very governmental and they fill it out. They're looking for the postcard.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yeah.

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: And I think — and it came in like an envelope and it was a letter and it kind of tore off. And I just have a concern given that we're running 50,000 votes behind in mail right now from '08 of people not sending back those ABs is a concern to me.

And I think there's definitely a lot of -- these votes -- these hours are definitely very popular, I think, as we've seen, if you've been out to the Early Vote Center. You know, I think we're 600, somebody told me -- I think Todd, our Absentee Manager, said that we're 600 votes up over 2008 with almost 50 hours less of voting because we're open until, like, 7:00 every night and all the different -- and weekend hours.

So, essentially, you know, when you add all those up, 50 hours less, it's like five days of voting that we've taken off just in two weeks and we've already got 600 more votes than -- so there's definitely -- and we had 50-some-thousand people vote in '08 in person. So I think there's a lot of Franklin County residents that see this form of voting as a popular way, this is what they like.

And so that, I mean that's my recommendation. That's where -- that's how I come to that and that's where -- that's my logic.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Would you like to speak?

BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Yeah, I'll share my perspective on what I would like to recommend the Secretary to do by way of the next directive.

There are some things that we can tell from the Sixth Circuit decision in Obama for America which is the prevailing instruction from the federal court at this point. We know that the district court's remedy, which was specifically approved by the Sixth Circuit, would not require the state to mandate early voting hours during the three-day period prior to the election.

We know that it's going to fall within the discretion of the local boards to address the early voting subject and that we're now anticipating a directive from the Secretary of State that, one would hope, would allow for some uniformity in how different counties approach this subject.

It is clear from the Sixth Circuit's decision that it would be unconstitutional to treat the nonmilitary voters and military voters differently; that's where the equal protection problem arises in the estimation of the Sixth Circuit.

I have some information, too, anecdotal, about how different boards of elections around the state have been dealing with the subject, and it does seem at this point as though there's not going to be a consensus reaching the Secretary about what to do.

My understanding is that in Cuyahoga, the board met on October 9, addressed the subject of early voting hours, and the board split evenly on what early voting hours should be.

In Hamilton County, the board met earlier today, and I believe there was an approval of Saturday early voting hours and then an even split on

the board as to Sunday and Monday voting.

In Delaware County, there was a meeting on October 9, and I believe that there was, as a result of that meeting, a motion made for Saturday hours only, which drew a division of two and two, then there was another motion proposing extended hours on all three days and that also met with a two to two division of the board.

It's really the case that the advice that the Secretary is going to be getting from around the state will vary greatly and that, perhaps, is not surprising.

I am aware that voters in Ohio are afforded about 1,000 hours of opportunity to vote in advance of Election Day whether there will be extended hours for in-person voting Saturday, Sunday, or Monday before the election or not. It seems hard to contend that there is not a reasonable opportunity to vote early for anyone who wishes to exercise that option.

I am impressed by the report through the Board's staff about the difficulties of assuring the integrity of Election Day voting if early in-person voting opportunities exist all the way up to the eve

of the start of Election Day. There is a very real possibility that someone can vote in person early, show up the next day on Election Day, vote again, and our poll workers would not have an opportunity to catch that because we haven't created a sufficient break between the cutoff for early in-person voting and the start of Election Day.

We do need to keep in mind that while there are a great many of Franklin Countians and Ohioans who today are choosing to vote before Election Day, most people want to participate in the exercise of being a part of the Election Day vote.

It is essential that we have an accurate Election Day. It is essential that we have an Election Day that operates efficiently for the sake of those voting on Election Day. It's also important that there be integrity in the vote that's cast on Election Day.

It seems to me as though our process here in Franklin County would be well served, indeed best served if we offered an opportunity to vote on Saturday. Of course we have requests for absentee ballots we receive and process all the way up to noon on Saturday. So Saturday hours, even a full day of

early voting on Saturday strikes me as being reasonable.

2.

At the same time, I would like to give our staff and the poll workers two days in which to work on the voting rolls, so that when the polls open on Tuesday morning at all of the hundreds of voting places in Franklin County, the poll workers know who's already voted either by absentee or in person.

So taking into account today's staff report on the problems of extending early in-person voting beyond the Saturday before the election, and taking into account the instruction that we now have on how to run a constitutional election in Franklin County and across the state of Ohio, my preference would be that we provide Saturday, before the election, in-person voting hours which are substantial, that is day long, but that we cut off, by Saturday, in-person voting.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I share Brad's concerns along with not just the all-important, most-important issues of accuracy of the polling process itself and the utmost effort of updated poll books at each of the how many locations?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: 475 locations

this year.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: But also just we know the eyes of the nation, indeed the world, are on Ohio once again, maybe more than ever, and much of this big county too, so that effective, efficient laying out of this election on Election Day, where, again, more than half of our voters are going to vote, we really must put emphasis on that.

There's a Herculean effort, if anybody's never seen what happens from the warehouse in close coordination with the brain center here, it is, you know, it's somewhere between the United States Army and Barnum and Bailey, so famous for deploying and collecting back their circus with all the moving parts; it's something to behold.

The people in the audience, who many of them are regular visitors who have an interest in these issues, it is something to behold. Those men and women, Democrats, Republicans, working together to get all those materials out and then collected back in a timely, accurate way is a -- it's a big, big, big job that we want to get right, and I think we want to give our folks the space within which to work calmly, effectively.

And so I'm -- I agree, Brad, we've kind of had maybe two general approaches put out here.

I'm wondering, again getting back to the sense of the Secretary's advisory under consideration here, and his seeking for I think he says a recommendation and his desire here for some bipartisan suggestion where possible.

There is an intersection between what Zach has articulated and what Brad has suggested and that intersection is some kind of Saturday hours.

And, Brad, I didn't hear you suggest specific hours, I did hear the precedent suggested, I think by Dana, and that is that noon is the cutoff on Saturday for absentee ballot requests —

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Via mail; that is correct.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- via mail. So there's a precedent for that kind of stopping point. I think I heard a more liberal suggestion from Brad about quote/unquote an entire day may have been the words you used, but I'm not sure how you interpret that. The way Zach had discussed it was an 8:00 to 4:00 timeframe.

BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Mr. Chairman,

according to my notes, Zach's suggestion was 8:00 to 4:00 on Saturday.

And I would be interested in hearing from Dana whether that is doable, that is could we have a 4:00 p.m. cutoff on Saturday and still be assured that the poll workers on the ground, Tuesday morning, would know who's already voted?

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Yes, because we could -- we could come back to the office, once we compiled everything, you know, at 4:00 closing time, whatever time would be agreed upon at that time. That would give us time to come back to the office that evening, run those supplemental lists, take them out to the warehouse, get them in all the voting location manager supply kits for distribution then Sunday when we have them all come through, so we could get that. Saturday hours would fit a schedule, I believe, that we could certainly accommodate.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: In 2008 we had extended hours, 7:00 p.m. on Monday, and 1:00 to 5:00, Sunday, and all day on Saturday, and everything seemed to work pretty smoothly. I don't recall any snags getting all the information back for the poll books and anything.

```
DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I wasn't here in
1
2
     2008. But I have talked to a few folks who said that
3
    there was a logistic problem with getting
     supplemental lists out to the voting locations by the
    6:30 a.m. time frame.
5
6
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: That's why Zach
7
    and I thought 8:00 to noon would be a good compromise
    on that Monday. I just think that Sunday is really
9
    crucial.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You, Kim, I think,
10
    pointed out that the posted hours for Monday were
11
12
     8:00 to 7:00, but the actual hours stretched into --
13
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: It was 10:00 or
     11:00 from what I was told.
14
15
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Until 11:00 p.m. --
16
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:
                                          Yeah.
17
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- on the Monday and,
18
     similarly, the other days stretched because, of
19
    course, whoever was in line at that hour was
20
    permitted to then make their way through.
21
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: My understanding
22
    was Sunday went quite a bit later than 5:00 also.
```

5:00 was the posted closing time and it did go, I've

been told, considerably later than that.

23

1 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: And with respect 2 to 2008, although I wasn't an eye witness to this, I 3 think there were reports of some polling locations not receiving until noon on Election Day the report 5 of who had already voted early. So we had at least, 6 by these reports, some hours of polling taking place 7 on Election Day without our poll workers knowing 8 whether people reporting to vote had already voted. 9 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, half the day, six hours, almost half the voting day, six hours. 10 11 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: There shouldn't 12 be the lines since we have the electronic now that 13 there weren't in 2008, too. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We do have a, I think 14 -- I think we do have a more efficient Election Day 15 operation, I mean -- strike that, in person. Having 16 17 said that, I kind of get -- go ahead. 18 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Please finish.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I was -- I think we may be beginning to repeat ourselves from our perspective, so we can get back to what I'll call a happy intersection of suggestions, and without trying to bias a sense of the committee away from your perspectives or away from our perspectives where they

19

20

21

22

23

```
1
    disagree; where they do agree on Saturday, I think, I
2
    wonder if we could, irrespective of the other days,
3
    get a sense of our Board on Saturday. Does that make
4
    sense?
5
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: And, Chairman,
    having heard the report from Dana about the
6
7
    practicality of extending voting on Saturday --
8
                 MS. PADDY SHAFFER: It's very hard to
9
    hear you.
10
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: I'm sorry you're
    having trouble.
11
12
                 Having heard that it would be practical
13
    to extend Saturday hours until 4:00 p.m., I see no
    reason that we couldn't do that. I believe that was
14
15
     Zach's suggestion with regard to Saturday's hours.
     So I, too, can sign on for 8:00 to 4:00 Saturday.
16
17
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And I like 8:00 to
18
     4:00 Saturday.
```

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I definitely think there's agreement on Saturday.

19

20

21

22

23

24

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Saturday, yes.

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I guess the question would be should we -- I guess what's the step, do we have the Director and Deputy Director put

```
1
    together --
2
                 MR. ANDERSON: (Nods.)
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: -- from this
3
4
    sense of three days, what, I guess what --
5
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                   Including the
    transcript, the excerpt from the transcript of the
6
7
    relative conversation.
8
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: So do we have to
9
    take a vote to have the Director and Deputy
10
    Director --
11
                 MR. ANDERSON: (Shakes head.)
12
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: So I quess you
13
    guys will put together a report to send to the
    Secretary of State. I think -- I mean I think we
14
15
    have an agreement on Saturday.
16
                 You know, I wish we could come to an
17
    agreement on Sunday and Monday. I think I have all
    the confidence in the world in our staff. I think we
18
19
    have the best staff in the state.
20
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Uh-huh.
21
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I think, you
22
    know, I think they did an amazing job in '08 with
23
    people voting all the way till 10:00. I don't know
    how -- I mean it was amazing the job that they did.
24
```

You know, I think -- I know I talked to

Todd who's the head of our Absentee Department, I

think especially with our, as you said, our in-person

voting location being a more, a lot more, I guess,

efficient with electronic machines, if we can get

those people processed a lot faster I think we'll be

done much earlier after the cutoff if we have voting

on Monday.

So I guess I -- I guess we'll just have a letter, and I think we have an agreement on Saturday, and I think we're just going to be split on Sunday and Monday.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I'd be happy to prepare something. If it's the Board's desire, I'd be happy to put something together this afternoon and tomorrow that I would send it to each of you, obviously, before submitting it over to the Secretary of State to make sure I've encapsulated what I believe is the feeling of the Board, both in agreement and nonagreement on days and times, and run that by you if that is the desire of the Board. I'd be happy to do that.

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Yeah.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Okay. That is

```
47
 1
     everything we had on the agenda for today. Do I have
 2
    a motion to adjourn?
 3
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I
 4
    move to adjourn.
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Second?
 5
 6
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.
 7
                 BOARD MEMBER SINNOTT: Yes.
 8
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: All those in
     favor?
 9
10
                 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
11
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We are adjourned.
12
                 (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
13
     4:19 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, October 15, 2012, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2013.