```
1
         BEFORE THE FRANKLIN COUNTY BOARD OF ELECTIONS
 2
 3
    In Re:
 4
 5
    Board Meeting
 6
 8
                          PROCEEDINGS
 9
    before Chairman Douglas J. Preisse, Director William
10
    A. Anthony, Jr., Deputy Director Dana Walch, and
    Board Members Michael F. Colley, Zachary E. Manifold,
11
12
    and Kimberly E. Marinello, at the Franklin County
    Board of Elections, 280 East Broad Street, Columbus,
13
    Ohio, called at 3:11 p.m. on Wednesday, January 4,
14
15
    2012.
16
17
18
19
20
21
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
                222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
22
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
                (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
                      Fax - (614) 224 - 5724
23
24
```

2 1 **APPEARANCES:** 2 Franklin County Prosecutor's Office By Mr. Nick A. Soulas, Jr. 3 First Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Civil Division 4 373 South High Street, 13th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 5 On behalf of the Board. 6 Isaac, Brant, Ledman & Teetor, LLP 7 By Mr. George B. Limbert The Midland Building 8 250 East Broad Street, Suite 900 Columbus, Ohio 43215 9 On behalf of Mr. Michael S. Weinman 10 11 ALSO PRESENT: 12 Ms. Suzanne Brown, Executive Assistant to the Board 13 Ms. Karen Cotton, 14 Operations Manager, Franklin County Board of Elections 15 Mr. Jeffrey Mackey, 16 Petitions and Financial Filings Clerk, Franklin County Board of Elections 17 Mr. Ben Piscitelli, 18 Public Information Officer, Franklin County Board of Elections 19 20 2.1 22 23 24

•			3
1	INDEX		
2			
3	ITEM	PAGE	
4	Approval of Minutes	4	
5	Withdrawn Candidates	5	
6	Candidate Appeals	6	
7	Write-In Candidate Certification	39	
8	Candidate Certification	42	
9	Darius Mitchell Petition	44	
10 11	Absentee and Provisional Voting Hours for the 2012 Primary Election	53	
	President's Day Hours	57	
12	Election Administration Plan	59	
13	Adjourn	67	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Good afternoon, 4 5 everyone. We would like to call the Franklin County 6 Board of Elections meeting to order and I'll do the 7 roll call. Kimberly Marinello? 9 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Here. 10 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Zachary Manifold? 11 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Here. 12 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Douglas Priesse? 13 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here. 14 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And Michael Colley? 15 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Here. DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We are all accounted 16 17 for, sir. 18 The first item on the agenda is the 19 approval of the minutes for the December 5th and 20 December 16th meetings. 21 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I 22 move that the Board approve the minutes of the 23 December 5th and December 16th meetings of the 24 Franklin County Board of Elections.

5 1 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second? 2 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Second. 3 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor, 4 say aye. 5 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 6 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All right. I will 7 pass it around. 8 The next item on the agenda would be the 9 withdrawal of candidates. In your -- in your packet you have Exhibit A. And I will let Mr. --10 11 MR. MACKEY: Mackey. 12 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: -- Mackey. Jeffrey 13 Mackey -- I had a mental block -- give a presentation on the Exhibit A, please. 14 15 MR. MACKEY: Exhibit A is fairly self-explanatory. The individuals submitted letters 16 17 withdrawing their candidacy. If you have any 18 questions on any of those listed there, I can field 19 those; otherwise, you can accept their withdrawal. 20 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Mr. Chairman, I 21 move that the Board accept the requests of the 22 electors listed on Exhibit A to withdraw as 23 candidates for the primary election, and that their names shall not appear on the March 6th, 2012 primary 24

```
6
    election ballot.
1
2
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
3
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I'll second.
4
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Okay. All those in
5
    favor, say aye.
6
                 BOARD MEMBERS:
                                 Aye.
7
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Opposed, same sign.
8
                 (No response.)
9
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The motion carries.
10
                 The next item on the agenda, that would
    be we have a number of appeals. Mr. Chairman, if we
11
12
    can take them in order. We have a few folks that did
13
    show up that did an appeal. So how do you want to do
         There -- I know Mr. Dorian Wingard is here.
14
15
    believe -- is Bradley Smith here?
16
                 MR. SMITH: Yes.
17
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Okay. Bradley Smith.
18
                 Patricia Powell?
19
                 MS. POWELL: Yes.
20
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: She's here.
21
    Michael Weinman. So they're all here.
22
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, the -- the
    issues are different in each of them, right? So we
23
24
    should take them separately.
```

```
DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes, I'll take them
1
2
     separately.
3
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We have Wingard
            It doesn't really matter that much. On our
4
     first.
5
    agenda we have Wingard first.
6
                 MR. MACKEY: That makes sense.
7
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We can start with
8
    Mr. Wingard.
9
                 MR. MACKEY: The petition presented to
    the Board by Mr. Wingard contained 12 signatures of
10
11
    which we found four were valid. And the reason -- he
12
    needed five signatures, so that is the reason that
13
    you did not certify him at the last meeting. I have
    a copy of his petition included there.
14
15
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Everybody have a copy
    of it?
16
17
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                    This?
18
                 MR. MACKEY: Yeah. It's all
19
    paper-clipped together there. That's Mr. Smith's.
20
    Keep going back. There's his appeal letter and his
21
    petition is behind that.
22
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And on the back of it,
23
    it has all of the ones that -- the ones with check
24
    marks are the ones that we found to be valid and then
```

```
1
    the others are the reasons why they weren't valid.
2
                 Can you tell them what the initials
3
    beside each --
4
                 MR. MACKEY: I can.
5
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: -- line is?
                 MR. MACKEY: The NR's were individuals
6
7
    that we found not to be registered or could not find,
     so were not registered. There is one NG there; that
9
     signature did not match the signature that we had on
           The OP is wrong party, so it was either a
10
11
    Republican or some other party. We also have a
12
    couple of printed signatures which were disallowed.
13
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And, let's see, you
    need five good ones, right?
14
15
                 MR. MACKEY: You need five, yes.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And how many do we
16
17
           The check means a good one, right?
18
                 MR. MACKEY: Yes. There are four of
19
    those.
20
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I see three.
21
                 MR. MACKEY: Line 4, 6, 7 --
22
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Oh, I see. 6 was
23
    hidden in there.
24
                 MR. MACKEY: -- and 12, yeah.
```

```
1
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Is Mr. Wingard
 2
    here?
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes, he is.
 3
 4
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Mr. Wingard is here.
 5
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes.
 6
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Would you like to
 7
     address the Board, sir?
 8
                 MR. WINGARD: Yes, sir.
 9
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We would like you to
10
    be sworn in.
11
                 (Witness sworn.)
12
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Sir, would you
13
     like to talk to us about this?
14
                 MR. WINGARD: Yes, sir.
15
16
                         DORIAN WINGARD
17
    being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
    examined and testified as follows:
18
19
                        DIRECT TESTIMONY
20
                               The petitions that were
                 MR. WINGARD:
21
     submitted, of the twelve total, four, obviously, were
22
    accepted and approved. There are two that are listed
23
    as printed signatures. Signature No. 12 that was
    accepted is, by all intents and purposes, I guess you
24
```

```
1
    could qualify that as a signature as well, so could
    you line No. 8, I think it's Christie Stubbs.
2
3
                 The line No. 12, those signatures both
4
    were at the same address, husband and wife, a couple.
5
     I asked for the signature, that is how he signed his
6
    name.
7
                 Page -- line No. 8, again, same type of
8
    situation as 12, but it wasn't accepted.
9
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The Board made these
    determinations by comparing the signatures to --
10
11
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: What's on file.
12
                 Correct, Jeff?
13
                 MR. MACKEY: Correct.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Do we have those here?
14
                 MR. MACKEY: I don't have those here.
15
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Would you like to see
16
17
    them?
18
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think we should.
19
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I'd like to see
20
    Chrissy.
21
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Why don't we --
22
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Stop the --
23
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Can we ask --
24
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes.
```

11 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- for that material 1 2 to be gathered and pause in this situation and go on 3 to the next? Does that make sense? 4 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes. MR. MACKEY: Line 8 and line 11? 5 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, let's not go on 6 7 a wild goose chase. Let's decide what we think --8 MR. MACKEY: Those were the two that were 9 printed --10 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Mr. Wingard, right? 11 MR. WINGARD: Right. 12 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- is saying --13 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Line 8. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- he's calling our 14 attention to 8 --15 MR. WINGARD: 8, Mr. Chairman, 8 and line 16 17 11. CHAIRMAN PREISSE: 11. NR is not 18 registered. NG is what? 19 20 MR. MACKEY: Not genuine. 21 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What? 22 MR. WINGARD: Not what? 23 MR. MACKEY: Not genuine. It did not

match the signature we had on file.

```
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: So that's another one.
1
2
    Why don't we look at 2, as well, then.
3
                 MR. MACKEY:
                             Okay.
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: 2, 8, and 11.
5
                 MR. MACKEY: 2, 8, 11.
6
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: 9 has NRN.
7
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Karen, hold on for a
8
    minute. Let's make sure we got all of them.
9
                 Which ones did you want?
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, I mean, you're
10
11
     questioning two that appear to be printed and the
12
     signatures, but I'm just suggesting that rather than
    go back and forth and back and forth, there's one
13
    here that's not genuine, why don't we look at that,
14
15
    too. And then there's one here, Karen, this is
    PSNNR, that means just not registered, right?
16
17
     that's not worth looking at, right, is that correct?
18
                 MS. COTTON:
                              Right.
19
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: As it relates to the
20
     signature on line 9.
21
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY:
                                    9.
22
                 MS. COTTON: The green markings was my
    trying to go back and find the -- after the original
23
    person had checked the petition, trying to find one
24
```

13 1 additional signature. 2 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I see. 3 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So, Mr. Chair, are we 4 good on line 2, 8, and 11? 5 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Makes sense to me. 6 MS. COTTON: Okay. I'll be right back. 7 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Thank you. 8 MR. WINGARD: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Why don't we pause because I don't know how long this is going to take. 10 11 MR. MACKEY: It should only take a couple 12 minutes. MR. WINGARD: I can have a seat and wait. 13 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All right. Thank you. 14 15 MR. WINGARD: Sure. 16 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We should move 17 on. 18 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The next one, then, would be Bradley Smith, right? 19 20 MR. MACKEY: Correct. 21 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We have --22 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Whichever way you want to do it. Does that work? 23

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Sure.

1 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Okay.

MR. MACKEY: I have attached Mr. Smith's petitions there, as well. The first one, part petition 738 was not signed, the declaration of candidacy. And the part petition 737, the legal sized-one was not a signature, it was a printed signature.

Per R.C. 3513.09, the petition required, filed by a candidate, petition papers, declaration of candidacy so shined — signed, shall be copied on each other separate petition paper. This is the section of code that we cite to communicate that that has to be signed before you circulate it.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is that over here on this?

MR. MACKEY: Yup.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So the first petition, he didn't sign the -- the petition of the 738, he didn't sign the circulator, right?

MR. MACKEY: Both of them were in reference to the declaration of candidacy.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Oh, okay.

MR. MACKEY: His signature on the second one was not his signature on file, it was a printed

```
signature. But even if we counted that one, the first one, not having the signature doesn't leave him enough signatures anyway.
```

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So even if we accepted the second one, he still wouldn't have enough valid signatures, and by statute we cannot accept the first one because he didn't sign the -- he didn't sign the name of candidate on there.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And Mr. Smith is here?

MR. SMITH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Would you like to --

MR. SMITH: Yes.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- address the Board,

please. Yeah, come on up.

MR. SMITH: Sorry, I didn't know it was such a big meeting or I would have put a suit on.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You're the only one here with any sense not wearing a tie.

(Laughter.)

20 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: You need to be sworn

in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

22

23 BRADLEY SMITH

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was

examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. SMITH: Don't I determine what my signature is? I did both of them myself and I kind of just continued on with one of the forms because there's not enough space for me to get all 25 signatures on one form. Does that make sense? It's turned in as a couple.

But I went two-and-a-half days in the rain, knocking on doors, so they all came from me.

And I was nervous, I was running here almost three o'clock to get the papers turned in on the last day.

I'll be a good guy if you want me to work with the State. I understand there's rules. So hopefully I did it right.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Any questions for Mr. Smith?

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: If you looked at the first one, 738, by not signing his name where it says "Signature of Candidate," I believe that -- and we have legal here -- that's a fatal flaw and there's no way you can be able to accept this document, the first document, at all.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You've got to have how

```
1
    many valid signatures?
2
                 MR. MACKEY:
                              Twenty-five --
3
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Twenty-five.
4
                 MR. MACKEY: -- for a minor party
    candidate.
5
6
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY:
                                    Then on the second
7
    one, he wouldn't have enough valid signatures anyway.
8
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The point you're
9
    making is it is insufficient --
10
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: You don't have 25.
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is that the point
12
    you're making?
13
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: I'm making, I think,
    two points. One is we cannot accept 738 because it's
14
15
    not signed, so this whole petition we can't accept
     it. So we can't accept this part petition.
16
17
                 The second petition, No. 737, if we even
18
    accept this as his signature and not as a printed, he
19
     still would not have enough valid signatures.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
20
                                    That was the point
21
    that I thought you were going to make.
22
                 Nick, you want to say anything as to
23
    the -- the unfortunate fact is, Mr. Smith, from time
    to time when this circumstance occurs, and it
24
```

```
sometimes does here, I can say that I both sympathize
1
2
     and empathize because I did this once, too.
3
                 MR. SMITH: Absolutely.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And in a rush, helping
5
    other people and other things at one busy election
6
    year, failed to sign my own, and I didn't get to run,
7
    and I came back two years later. And I fear,
8
    momentarily, that may be your future.
9
                 And I'm asking Counsel --
10
                 MR. SOULAS: As the Board well knows, the
11
     failure to sign the declaration of candidacy
12
     invalidates the entire part petition.
                                            There's no
13
    question about that under state law. So, at the very
     least, again without mentioning 737 --
14
15
                 MR. SMITH: I had them both together.
                 MR. SOULAS: -- each part petition needs
16
17
    to contain the declaration of candidacy, and signed
18
    and dated.
19
                 MR. SMITH: Okay. So we're good here?
```

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Yeah, you're done.

I'm not going to go on and I'm done?

20

MR. SMITH: That is part of why I think
our country is where it is. Thank you, guys.

```
1
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We appreciate your
2
    presence here.
3
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We need a motion
4
    to deny.
5
                 MR. MACKEY: Actually, we don't.
6
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Oh, we don't need
7
    to do anything?
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yeah, we did deny him.
9
                 MR. MACKEY: He's already denied.
10
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The next person would
11
    be Patricia Howell -- I mean, Powell. I'm sorry.
12
                 MR. MACKEY: Ms. Powell's petition was
13
    dated December 7th per her declaration of candidacy,
    so we did not validate the first four signatures on
14
15
    her petition because they were collected prior to
    that being dated. We have received additional
16
17
     information from the Secretary of State on that,
    which is included here.
18
19
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You say what?
20
                 MR. MACKEY: We have received additional
21
     information from the Secretary of State that I have
22
     included there from Betsy Schuster over at the
     Secretary of State's office.
23
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Where -- where are you
24
```

looking?

MR. MACKEY: It was a separate piece of paper. It was behind the ones with all the Revised Code stuff on it.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Oh, here.

MR. MACKEY: Yup. And it would be up to the Board to decide if they had actually signed their petition prior to circulating the petition irregardless of what the date ends up being in the declaration of candidacy.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Now, we've asked our legal, the prosecutor's office, to give us an interpretation of Mrs. Schuster's letter. In her letter she states that just because a person does not put the date on the -- on the petition, does not make it a fatal flaw. But she also goes on to say that the Board will have to determine if a signature was on there prior to the circulation of the petition, and if the candidate swears that to be the case, then I guess we're, you know, they're swearing under oath that they signed the petition prior to it being circulated, and we're able to take that person on his word, knowing that if the person falsified a document that it's a \$1,000 fine and --

MR. SOULAS: It's a fifth-degree felony.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes, fifth-degree

felony if they perjure themselves.

So you can see the letter Mrs. Powell wrote. She said that she signed this document prior to it being circulated and that the date was placed on it after she brought it into the office. And she's here, she can be sworn in, and testify to what happened.

PATRICIA POWELL

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MS. POWELL: The only thing, I don't usually make excuses because I don't believe in them, but when I came in the day that I filed, I've never been late before, and I was late. I had signed the petition, but I had not dated it.

And I was standing there getting ready to date the petition, and I said, wow, what date am I supposed to put on it, and somebody said the 7th which was the date that I was there. I forgot and I didn't realize that -- I knew, you know, that

the other -- it was supposed to be the date of the first signature.

And I was rushing, basically, is what it was all about, and I just put down the 7th, the day that I came in. That's my only excuse. I, you know, I was under a little stress being late. I don't like to be late for anything. So that's all I can say.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Anybody, any of the Board members have any questions of Ms. Powell?

MS. POWELL: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm asking my fellow Board members if anyone has any questions for you.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I can see how it can happen when you get your petition ready before you circulate and sign it, and then you check it over and say, oh, I didn't put a date on it, and somebody gives you the incorrect information.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So what happens here, the Board would have to vote to put her on because it was already denied. If you accept her under-oath statement that she signed it and then circulated it and came to the office and then put the date on it when she was turning the petition in, then we need to

```
23
1
    take a vote and I would need a motion.
2
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I
3
    make a motion that the Board certify the March 6,
4
     2012 primary election ballot of Patricia Powell for
5
    Democratic County Central Committee from Columbus
    Ward 27.
6
7
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
8
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I'll second.
9
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor,
10
    say aye.
11
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Aye.
12
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Aye.
13
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm going to abstain.
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: I need a roll call
14
15
    vote.
16
                 Kimberly Marinello?
17
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.
18
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Zachary Manifold?
19
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD:
                                        Yes.
20
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Douglas Preisse?
21
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm going to abstain.
22
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Michael Colley?
                 (Private discussion between Chairman
23
    Priesse and Board Member Colley.)
24
```

```
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: What is the -- if the
 1
    Board votes to -- if there are two abstentions, then
 2
 3
    two votes carry; is that correct? I think that's
 4
    correct.
 5
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is that correct?
                 MR. SOULAS: You know, that's a question
 6
 7
     I'm not prepared to answer, Mr. Priesse. I don't
 8
    know the answer to that off the top of my head.
 9
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think if there's one
    abstention, the vote carries two versus one, right?
10
11
                 MR. SOULAS: Correct.
12
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: If there's two
13
    abstentions, what is the effect of that on the
    proceedings? Is that --
14
15
                 MR. SOULAS: Off the top of my head, I
16
    can't speak to it.
17
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I should have
18
     forewarned you with that question, but I didn't
19
     anticipate this event a little earlier.
20
                 Do you know the answer to that?
21
                 MR. MACKEY: I do not.
22
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. Let's resume
23
    the roll call.
24
                 MR. SOULAS: Mr. Priesse, I want to get
```

```
1
    you an answer. And, ordinarily, under Robert's
2
    Rules, it would pass. There are certain provisions,
3
    however, and that's what I'm looking up. With the
    Board of Elections, certain votes have to be by a
5
    majority of the Board, not necessarily a quorum, and
6
    that's what I want to verify here.
7
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It's like hiring.
8
    think we have to have a --
9
                 MR. SOULAS: That's an example, correct,
    for the director and deputy director requirements.
10
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Now, if we have a two
12
    to one and an abstention, that's a pass. That's a
13
    passing vote, right?
                 MR. SOULAS: That's my understanding
14
15
    ordinarily. And, again, it would not be, for
    example, for the director and deputy director
16
17
    position.
18
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Right.
19
                 MR. SOULAS: And I just want to verify.
20
    Ordinarily, for most bodies, that would be the case,
21
    but I want to verify and give you the best answer
22
    that I can is that for this particular vote that that
    would suffice, if that makes sense.
23
```

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Take a vote again or

```
1
    do you want to wait?
2
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think we put in the
3
    yes, yes, abstain, no, which I think is a passing
4
    vote. Is that your understanding?
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Unless there's
5
6
    something specific about this case that needs three
7
    affirmative votes, which I'm not familiar with, I
    don't know for sure, I think two to one passes. But
9
    under normal circumstances, I think --
10
                 MR. SOULAS: Yeah, I'm not finding
    anything to the contrary.
11
12
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All right. So maybe
13
    proceed, Mr. Director --
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Then we will do a roll
14
    call vote on the motion. Everyone knows what the
15
16
    motion is? Do we need to replay the motion?
17
                 (No response.)
18
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All right.
19
                 Kimberly Marinello?
20
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes.
```

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Yes.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Zachary Manifold?

21

2.2

23

```
27
1
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And Michael Colley?
2
                 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: No.
3
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All right. So we got
4
    two affirmative votes, so the motion passes.
5
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                   That's my belief.
6
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Okay. Motion carries.
7
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Congratulations.
8
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Thank you, ma'am.
9
                 MS. POWELL: Thank you.
10
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Thanks for being here.
11
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The next item on the
12
    -- the next individual is Mr. Weinman, Michael
    Weinman.
13
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Should we revert to
14
15
    the first case? We have -- is that when we had the
16
    signatures --
17
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: No, we don't.
18
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Oh, we don't yet?
19
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: No. I'm going to go
    back to that after Mr. Weinman.
20
21
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.
22
                 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm going to swear
23
    you in.
24
                 (Witness sworn.)
```

```
1
                 (Greetings exchanged in Greek between
2
    Chairman Priesse and Mr. Limbert.)
3
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: She's not going to get
4
    that.
5
                 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm not going to get
6
    that.
7
                 (Laughter.)
8
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: But welcome. Please
9
     introduce yourselves.
10
                 MR. LIMBERT: George Limbert with the law
11
     firm of Isaac, Brant, Ledman, and Teetor; standing
12
    here today on behalf of Mark Weaver and representing
13
    Michael Samuel Weinman.
14
                 MR. WEINMAN: I'm Michael Samuel Weinman.
15
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. And you wish to
16
    make a statement to the Board, Mike?
17
                 MR. LIMBERT: He does. And, initially, I
18
    would just like to sort of point out a couple things
19
    and kind of introduce our arguments, as well, if the
20
    Board will permit.
21
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
22
                 MR. LIMBERT: Mr. Weinman, as you can
23
    obviously see in his appeal letter, dated the
    petition after the signatures were filed or
24
```

signatures were obtained. However, he substantially complied with the documents, with the petition, and the declaration of candidacy.

He went door to door in his neighborhood, met his neighbors, his neighbors who knew him, asked for their signatures, explained to them what candidacy he was running for, what position he was running for. They were well aware of it. Those who were not eligible to sign, those who did not sign, did not. Those who did not want to sign, did not. Those who were eligible, did sign, and indeed they were well aware, and Mr. Weinman will definitely speak to that.

The standard of review in these types of cases in our mind and what we will submit to your Board is that the standard is determined based on whether or not a failure to sign a document would substantially mislead the individuals who were signing, the signers. In this case, we believe that Mr. Weinman's explanation substantially complied with what the declaration of candidacy looked to provide.

We would also submit that the foregoing is very similar to Supreme Court precedent. The Supreme Court has ruled similar in similar

1 circumstances in favor of these types of issues with 2 respect to mandamus actions. Furthermore, I'd like 3 to just read a quote from the State ex rel. Green versus Casey case. This is actually a dissent, in 5 part, by Justice Douglas, discussing writs that he would have granted. "We should be encouraging 6 7 interested citizens to participate in the political process. We should not use inappropriate 9 technicalities to prohibit or discourage those who choose to be of service." 10 11 I'm going to now turn it over to 12 Mr. Weinman to discuss substantially what occurred in 13 his obtaining the signatures. 14 MICHAEL S. WEINMAN 15 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 16 examined and testified as follows: 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. WEINMAN: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, thank you for hearing my appeal today.

Just real briefly. It was after dinner on Monday night. I slapped the petition on a clipboard, and my wife and two daughters went out in our street, right there on Springs Drive, and hit my neighbors' houses.

All those that were registered

Republicans, you know, I specifically explained

exactly what this was for and what I, you know, what

my intentions were. It was actually kind of a

nice — it was actually kind of nice getting out and

talking to my neighbors again. I hadn't seen them

since trick—or—treating when I took the girls around.

So we, you know, went house to house there and got ten signatures. I spent some nice quality time with my neighbors. They understood what I was doing and why I was doing it and they signed it.

unfortunately, it was raining that night, you know, which surprisingly didn't bother my daughters. They loved jumping in all the puddles. So the next day is when I signed it and dated it. I — the thing was soaking wet, so I waited until it dried. So then I ran it in here that day. So that's basically, in a nutshell, what happened. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm going to ask for a recess for a second. Is that all right?

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes.

(Recess taken.)

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Jeff had provided us with recent communication from the Secretary of State's office personnel there which speaks to the question of whether a declaration of candidacy may or may not have been dated prior to the circulation of the petition.

We -- Michael, I think -- well, I'm quite sure I heard you say, a few minutes ago, in your excellent recitation of your engaging in the process in circulating the petition that then you went home and then, the next day, signed and dated the petition and turned it in.

MR. WEINMAN: That's correct,

Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: And I think that's what the transcript will show.

My understanding of what the Secretary of State has opined upon here is that if a candidate, a prospective candidate is able to come in and convince the Board that he or she signed it, the declaration of candidacy, and subsequently circulated it, that the Board can choose to be convinced that that's

indeed what happened. It does not -- we do not have before us, other than the law which tells us at this point the candidate has to have signed -- signed and dated, but certainly signed the declaration of candidacy prior to circulation. And you've told us here today, and we can reread it if you want, that's not what -- that's not what happened. You signed it afterward.

MR. WEINMAN: Mr. Chairman, if I may?

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Sure.

MR. WEINMAN: I made sure, you know, I — there was nothing to mislead any of the petition signers. I was very straightforward, I was very honest with what my intent was, so I doubt, very highly doubt that any of them had any idea that, you know, I was being misleading or, you know, saying it was for one office and it wasn't. They understood what it was for, you know, if that has any bearing on the Board's decision. You know, these are people I have to live with, you know, for the next 20-odd years, so the last thing I would ever want to do is lie to them.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You know, I believe everything you say here today. Unfortunately, I

believe the part that compels me to come to the conclusion that's going to likely place you, I think, depending on what the other Board members do, in the same position I was in, perhaps ironically, for the very same position some years ago, when I incompletely filled out my petition for the Republican State Central Committee and was ruled off the ballot and came back two years later.

There's no question that you engaged this process, in my mind, in an upstanding, respectful way, but we have the facts, I think, before us as we have them, so that's all I have to say.

This piece here that the Deputy Director is pointing out that our counsel typically advises against invalidating unless the Board determines that the prospective candidate did not sign the candidate statement -- statement of candidacy before circulating the petition.

Any of the Board members have any questions?

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I would just say that, unfortunately, we run into this every -- every time we have a filing. There's always a handful of circumstances where it's out of our hands. Clearly,

```
35
1
    the Revised Code states that you have to sign it
2
    before anybody places their signature on it. It
3
    happens every -- a couple times every year it
    happens.
5
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So, excuse me, is the
6
    will of the Board to deny the appeal?
7
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We would -- we don't
8
    have to vote on it, do we?
9
                                   Nope. Is there a
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY:
    motion to -- if there's no motion to approve, then it
10
11
     stands denied.
12
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: It stands denied
13
     if there's no motion to approve.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I regret this
14
15
    personally, and I'm, again, sympathetic and
16
     empathetic. I'd love to state for the record:
17
     asked you to run for office before and I hope to do
18
     it again. Thank you.
19
                 MR. WEINMAN:
                               Thank you.
20
                 MR. LIMBERT:
                               Thank you.
21
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The next item on the
22
     agenda would be in your packet of information.
23
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We have to go
    back to the initial one.
24
```

```
1
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Oh, I'm sorry, I
2
    forgot all about that. Mr. Wingard.
3
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay. We're back --
4
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Back to the
5
    signatures.
6
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Which ones were those?
7
    Shall we do this together or do you want to do it
8
    separately?
9
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, I think
    we -- let's go and look at line 2, first, and let's
10
11
    go right down this. We had it as NG. As you can see
12
    from the information received, that it looks nothing
13
    like whatever that name is, so that one --
14
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I concur with that.
15
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: It's up to you guys.
                 Do you have a copy of this, Mr. Wingard,
16
17
    that I'm looking at, this right here?
18
                 MR. WINGARD:
                               I have it.
19
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Okay. So that's line
20
        As you can see, there's no -- there's no -- it
    doesn't look like it at all under any circumstances.
21
22
                 Line No. 8, on the next page, it's a --
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: You were actually
23
    given another one for this, too, the longer version
24
```

```
of it.
```

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yeah.

Stubbs, and as you can see from our voter file, how she spells Christy, how she signs Christy, it doesn't look like the Christy that's written here. Her last name is Washington-Stubbs and we need another sheet of paper to see how she signed Stubbs, and you see the B's are different on that, so you can make a determination on that one.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The fact is that it's printed, that's the issue.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That's why we did not accept it.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It's not that it might be a different signature, but it is clearly printed on the petition --

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Right.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- and the signature is, of course, on file over here.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Right. And we have to have the signature that is on file. It has to be a signature, not a printed signature.

The next one is line 9 and line 11. Look

```
at line 11, that is Ted Hill, and look at how he's --
1
2
    he's on file as Theodore Hill.
3
                 Then just as a comparison, we put line 12
4
    on there, and line 12, as you can see, there is some
5
     similarities which is why we counted line 12.
6
                 MR. MACKEY: Especially the last name
7
     looks almost exactly the same.
8
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: What say ye, the
9
    Board?
10
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                    Okay.
11
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Unfortunately, we
12
    run into these all the time. It's unfortunate that
13
    we can't take a printed signature. It is what it is.
14
    Sorry.
15
                 MR. WINGARD: Is that it?
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I'm afraid that is it.
16
17
     Thank you very much and come back and do it again.
18
                 MR. WINGARD: Thank you, sir. I
```

MR. MACKEY: Exhibit -- skip Exhibit B.

Go ahead and skip Exhibit B. We'll go right to

Exhibit C. Exhibit B had Ms. Powell's petition to be
a write-in candidate for Central Committee on it, so
we don't need to do that anymore since we have

19

20

21

22

23

24

appreciate it.

```
certified her to the ballot as a regular candidate.
1
2
    So Exhibit C is the write-in candidates for
3
    certification excluding Ms. Powell.
4
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Okay. So these are
    all the other ones --
5
6
                 MR. MACKEY: Correct.
7
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: -- that were turned in
8
    for the -- for the write-in.
9
                 MR. MACKEY: Found by the staff to be
    sufficient and valid.
10
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: These are -- are these
12
    all, you said, again, we're looking at C.
13
                 MR. MACKEY: Yes.
14
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: These are all County
    Central Committee?
15
                 MR. MACKEY: The first one is a State
16
    Representative Candidate, Libertarian, for the
17
18
    19th House District.
19
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I see that, yeah.
20
                 MR. MACKEY: And then everything else is
21
    County Central, yes.
22
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Shall we separate the
23
    state rep from the central committee or is that
24
    necessary?
```

```
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I don't --
1
2
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We didn't -- we
3
    didn't separate them when we did the initial
4
    certification at the last meeting, so to keep it
5
    consistent we just kept it the same this time,
6
    correct?
7
                 MR. MACKEY: Yup.
8
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So if the Board
9
    accepts all the write-ins, we would need a motion to
10
    that effect.
11
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I
12
    move that the list of electors appearing on Exhibit C
13
    be certified as valid write-in candidates for the
    March 6, 2012 primary election.
14
15
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
16
                 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY:
                                       Second.
17
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor,
18
    say aye.
19
                 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
20
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: The motion carries.
21
                 The next exhibit would be D, right?
22
                 MR. MACKEY: Correct.
23
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And could you explain
24
    that?
```

1 MR. MACKEY: Exhibit D includes write-in 2 candidates that we are not recommending for 3 certification. The first three candidates, you see there, submitted petitions to be write-in candidates 5 for the State Central Committee. The information we have received from the Secretary of State, which is 7 behind Exhibit D, advises us that we should not accept petitions for write-in candidates for the 9 State Central Committee because they can be appointed by the State Central Committee after the election if 10 11 no one runs for a seat there. 12 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And then Mark Kerns turned his in late. 13 MR. MACKEY: And the fourth one there is 14 15 Mr. Kerns. His petition came in 47 minutes after the 16 filing deadline had passed. 17 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Anyone have any 18 questions? 19 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I 20 move that the list of electors appearing on Exhibit D be denied certification as write-in candidates for 21 22 the March 6th, 2012 primary election. 23 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second? 24 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Second.

```
1
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: He seconded.
2
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Second by Mr. Colley.
3
                 All those in favor, say aye.
4
                 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
5
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries.
6
                 The next item on the agenda would be
7
    Exhibit E.
8
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We had sent a
9
    notice, going back briefly to Exhibit D, we had sent
    a notice to Mr. Kerns that it's likely that his
10
    petition was going to be denied, but we offered him
11
12
    an opportunity to come.
13
                 Is Mr. Kerns here today?
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: He's not here.
14
    looked in the audience.
15
16
                 MR. MACKEY: Okay.
17
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We just want to
18
    check and make sure.
19
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: I know Mr. Kerns, and
     I knew he was not here.
20
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Since we sent him
21
22
    a notice, we wanted to check and make sure.
23
                 MR. MACKEY: Okay. So Exhibit E is our
    congressional candidates who filed under the new
24
```

```
deadline. These petitions were found to be valid and sufficient.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All right. Any
```

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: All right. Any questions on that?

move that the Board certify the March 6th, 2012 primary election ballot of those congressional candidates whose petitions, having been timely filed on or before Friday, December 30th, 2011, were found to be valid and sufficient, and the list of those candidates submitted by the Director and reviewed by the Board be attached to the record of these proceedings as Exhibit E.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor, say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries.

The next is Exhibit F.

MR. MACKEY: Exhibit F contains two of our congressional candidates who did not present petitions including sufficient valid signatures.

They're both short by -- Jeff Brown was short by two,

```
44
1
     and Mr. Applegate was short by eight.
2
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I assume neither is
    here? Okay.
3
4
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We didn't know
5
    until earlier today that these candidates were short.
6
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                   All right.
7
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So we need a motion --
8
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: To deny.
9
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: -- to deny.
10
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: I think we need the
11
    motion to deny right there.
12
                 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Mr. Chairman, I
13
    move the Board deny the certification of the
    congressional candidates appearing on Exhibit F on
14
15
    the basis that their declaration of candidacy was
16
    either not timely filed or found by staff to be
17
     invalid or insufficient.
18
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
19
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:
                                          Second.
20
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor,
21
     say aye.
22
                 BOARD MEMBERS:
                                 Aye.
```

The next one is Darius Mitchell.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries.

23

MR. MACKEY: This petition was unique.

Usually our standards are fairly cut and dried.

However, at the bottom of this first petition we have a circulator statement that indicates 21 signatures were collected on this petition. There are 22 lines with a signature in it. The final line of that petition was incomplete, not completed. Taking it on face value, that probably invalidates this petition.

However, looking at the following petition, you can see that the same person who was filling this one out is from Pickerington, a neighboring county. So it is within the realm of at least the imagination of the person checking this petition that they realized that they were signing a Franklin County petition and switched over and did not thoroughly strike out the name on that last petition.

We weren't prepared to invalidate that on our -- on our -- we weren't prepared to invalidate that. We thought we would let you take a look at it and see what you thought. That is why he is separated out into a special exhibit here.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: We did consult with Counsel on this one. I believe the prosecutor's

```
1
    office did have an opinion on this one; is that
2.
     correct?
3
                 MR. SOULAS: Yes, we did. And,
4
    unfortunately, for Mr. Mitchell there is case law in
5
    point where if the circulator statement contains a
6
    number less than the number of signatures that are on
7
    the petition, that does invalidate that part
    petition. And, you know, Mr. Mitchell had the
9
    opportunity, the appropriate practice is to line
    through it to delete it; unfortunately, that was not
10
11
    done. And, under established case law, the part
12
    petition is invalid.
13
                 MR. MACKEY: If that is the case, he will
    not have sufficient valid signatures.
14
15
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is Mr. Darius Mitchell
    here?
16
17
                 MR. MITCHELL: Yes, sir, I am.
18
                 (Witness sworn.)
                 MR. MITCHELL: Hi. How you doing.
19
20
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                    Hi.
21
                 MR. MITCHELL: I'm sorry I came in with
22
    my work uniform. I was called to work a little
     later.
23
24
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You look great, too.
```

MR. MITCHELL: Thank you.

2 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Please tell us what

you would like to tell us.

- - -

DARIUS MITCHELL

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MR. MITCHELL: Well, I went out to circulate the petition because I wanted to serve my community. You know, I see a lot of young kids and stuff in a lot, a lot of trouble, and I want to make a positive influence on them.

I circulated the petition, and when the young man, I didn't know where he was from, so when he started signing it, he was like I'm from Pickerington. So I stopped him and I was like, well, I have to put this on a separate paper because you have to have, each petition has to, everybody that signs it has to be on a particular, has to — every county has to have a different petition. So he stopped signing that paper and signed the one, the next one next to it which is right beside it.

```
wrote the number of how many signatures were on that paper, I didn't count it, you know, the difference between 22 and 21, I didn't count it because he didn't finish, he didn't finish the 22 and he signed a different, another petition once I realized he was from Fairfield County.
```

I worked really hard to get these petitions and I just hope you guys don't throw them out over 21 and 22 because all the signatures are legit and I worked very hard to get them and I need them to get on the ballot and to serve my community.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Board members have any questions or does anyone here?

Restate for us, would you, again, Nick -- MR. SOULAS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- the law that governs our decision-making in this case.

MR. SOULAS: Yeah. Specifically, the most recent case that I found is the case of Rust versus Lucas County Board of Elections, and it's happened in other cases. Specifically, the facts in Rust were the individual had — the candidate had circulated the petition and had determined that — was aware that at least one signature was not valid,

on his own had determined that and elected not to count it as part of the verification that says that I, you know, I hereby certify that there are so many signatures that are genuine and valid to the best of my belief.

So the individual took it upon himself to not count that one, thinking he was doing the right thing, and the Supreme Court said that was not valid, that does invalidate the entire part petition because the appropriate method is to actually line through the signature.

There have been other similar examples including, I believe, Applegate, here in Franklin County if I'm not mistaken, maybe a couple of years ago, maybe three years ago, well it's 2012, maybe four years ago, time is passing, but a very similar situation where, again, I believe in that instance Mr. Applegate tried to determine as best he could, through our website or through other resources, which signatures were valid, and attempted to only count those that he knew were registered voters at the proper address and, unfortunately, that's not the procedure to follow.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: So he should

```
1 have put 22 and then lined through it?
```

2 MR. SOULAS: 21 and line through it. 22 3 without lining it out, or 21 and lining it out.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Oh, he didn't

5 finish it.

clear on that. I was on the Board for Mr. Applegate and we had to follow the Revised Code. The Revised Code said if you put -- the whole theory, if you got -- if you put too many, more signatures than -- let me get this right. If there's 22 signatures and you put 21, then we don't know which is the extra signature. Had he put a higher number, 24 or something, am I saying that right?

MR. SOULAS: You had it right the first time. If you put down a number less than what is actually contained --

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Oh.

MR. SOULAS: -- you got two problems:

Number one, which one is, you know, are you saying is not the valid and, number two, the public policy concerns expressed by the Supreme Court is did somebody add signatures after the circulator statement was signed. We're not suggesting that

```
that's what happened here, but that's the public
1
2
    policy that mandates the rejection of the part
3
    petition.
                 MR. MITCHELL: Because the young man
5
     signed it, as you all can see, the young man signed
6
    the next petition right next to it. And, I mean, the
7
    petition -- the signature wasn't complete and I
    couldn't have added any other signatures because he's
9
    the last signature on that paper; he is No. 22.
10
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: But if you're
11
     saying you witnessed just 21, then we're saying which
12
     one of these didn't you witness, you know?
                 MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, I mean, but --
13
14
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I know.
15
                 MR. MITCHELL: I mean, everything is
     legit and, you know.
16
17
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I know.
18
                 MR. MITCHELL: You're going to throw it
    out over 21 and 22? I mean --
19
20
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: I know.
21
                 MR. MITCHELL: -- it's your decision, but
22
     I just hope -- you know, I did the right thing, I did
23
    everything right.
```

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Our decision is based

on what the law tells us we have to do.

MR. MITCHELL: I understand, sir.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: You have been watching today, there are some areas that are a little more gray in more recent decisions or indications from the Secretary of State, but the law that continues to govern us and advise us is that while it's our decision, we would be consciously deciding against what we took an oath, swore an oath to decide to do.

I'm confident, I speak for the entire

Board and all of the staff and anybody in the room,

nobody thinks you purposefully did anything wrong or

had any intention other than to do what you were

attempting to do which was engage this sometimes

complicated process to get access to the ballot and

do some civic duty; none of that is in question at

all. Indeed, we all applaud your effort. But,

again, having been in the same position with a faulty

petition some years ago, I'm sympathetic.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Remember, we will need a motion on it either way because this was not contained in either of the other exhibits, it was it's own special case, so we will need the Board to make some kind of motion either way in placing him on

```
1
    the ballot or denying him being placed on the ballot.
2
    We do need a motion either way.
3
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: A motion to deny the
4
    certification or a motion to accept it.
5
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I
6
    move the Board deny the certification to the
7
    March 6th primary election ballot of Mitchell --
    Darius Mitchell.
9
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
10
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: Second.
11
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO:
                                          Sorry.
12
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor,
13
    say aye.
14
                 BOARD MEMBERS:
                                 Aye.
15
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries.
16
                 The next item would be -- what would be
17
    the item we are on?
18
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Absentee and
    provisional voting hours.
19
20
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Oh, okay. We're on
21
    item number H. We haven't set our provisional hours
22
    of operation for the March 6th election. Item H is
    the staff's recommendation for the hours of
23
24
    operation.
```

```
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: We're doing it here,
1
2
    right?
3
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct.
4
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Do you want me to go
5
    through them so we --
6
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Yeah, why not, so we
7
    have them for the record.
8
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: -- have it for the
9
    record.
                 The staff is recommending the following
10
11
    hours for absentee voting here at Memorial Hall for
12
    the absentee period: The dates are Tuesday,
13
    January 31st through Thursday, March the 1st, Monday
    through Friday, to be open from eight o'clock to five
14
15
    o'clock. Friday, March the 2nd, to be open from
     eight o'clock to six o'clock p.m.
16
17
                 And then we're looking at additional
18
    hours to be Monday, February the 6th, which is the
19
    last day of voter registration, to be open from five
20
    o'clock a.m., that be should be a.m., to 9:00 o'clock
21
    p.m. And then to be open one Saturday --
22
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: It's 5:00 p.m.
23
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Oh, I am sorry. Can
24
    you strike that and make it p.m.?
```

```
And then be open one Saturday, February 25th, from 8:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.
```

And then for the additional military voting hours, we would be open that Monday, March the 5th, from eight o'clock to five o'clock p.m. And Election Day, this is for military voting hours, Election Day, Tuesday, March 6th, from 6:30 a.m. to 7:30 p.m.

Do you want to approve those first and then move on to the provisional or we add them all in there?

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Just do them all.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Okay. And then for the provisional hours, we would be open Tuesday, February 7th through Thursday, March the 1st, Monday through Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. On Friday, March the 2nd, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. Saturday, February the 25th, from 8:00 a.m. to 12 noon. And then Monday, March the 5th, from eight o'clock a.m. to 5:00 p.m. And then Tuesday, March the 6th, Election Day, 6:30 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. And we would need a motion if the Board agrees to these hours.

```
1
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Those are
2
     substantially similar to what we've done in the past.
3
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's right.
4
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: It's real similar to
5
    what we had the last presidential election cycle,
6
    except we had two Saturdays then and we have one
7
     Saturday this time.
8
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: Just to be clear,
9
    too, these are just for the primary election --
10
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY:
                                    Yes.
11
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: -- on March 6th.
12
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes. And they follow
13
    the directive from the Secretary of State,
    particularly with that Friday, March the 12th, the
14
15
     last day of in-person voting, the Secretary of State
     said we could stay open until 6:00 p.m. So on the
16
     last day, we'll stay open until 6:00 p.m.
17
18
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: On March 2nd.
19
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: On March 2nd for
20
     absentee voting, in-person absentee. And we will be
21
     open President's Day, that's included in those dates,
22
    and President's Day is February the 20th.
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH:
23
                                         20th.
24
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Is that on here or is
```

```
1
    that just sort --
2
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY:
                                   No.
3
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: -- of an
4
    administrative decision that you guys --
5
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: It's kind of implied
6
     in there, but I just wanted to let everyone know that
7
    there is a national holiday in there.
8
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I
9
    move that the Board adopt the hours set forth in
    Exhibit H as the times at which the Board will be
10
11
    open at Memorial Hall to allow for absentee and
12
    provisional voting for the March 6th, 2012 primary
13
    election.
14
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
15
                 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY:
                                       Second.
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor,
16
17
     say aye.
18
                 BOARD MEMBERS:
                                 Aye.
19
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Motion carries.
20
                 And we will discuss President's Day, we
21
    could probably do that now. In observance of
22
    President's Day, which is February the 20th, the
23
    Board is recommending that we stay open on that day
    and that we allow our employees to take Friday,
24
```

```
1
    May 25th, as their President's Day. And that they
2
    also, the employees each be provided with eight hours
3
    of comp time, because if they would have worked on
    February 20th they would have received double time
5
    and it would have been paid double time.
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Well, they are going
6
7
    to be working on February 20th.
8
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY:
                                   Yes.
9
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: But they're not going
    to be paid additional.
10
11
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: But they will get that
12
     eight hours of comp time that they can use however
    they want to use it and they will basically observe
13
    President's Day on February 25th.
14
15
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: May 25th.
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: May 25th. Thanks,
16
17
     Dana.
18
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I
19
    move that the Board office remain open on President's
20
    Day, Monday, February 20th, 2012, and that the Board
```

February 20th, 2012, eight additional hours of comp

office instead close on Friday, May 25th, and that

the Board provide each employee working on Monday,

21

22

23

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

BOARD MEMBER COLLEY: Second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor,

say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Last, but not least, we have to do -- due to the League of Women Voters' lawsuit against the Secretary of State and the Board of Elections, we have to submit an Election Administration Plan.

We hired the services of Babbage Simmel to come in and prepare that pamphlet. They worked with us most of the year in preparing the plan. We also had them make our plan pretty much a standard operating procedure for the Board, so our plan is a lot more exhaustive than what the LWV, League of Women Voters, is requiring from us. But the Board has to approve this plan.

And we also have, here in the audience,
David Wirick and Ed Jaeger, both from Babbage Simmel,
and they can, if you would like, they can come and
talk about all the work that we've put in preparing
this administration plan/standard operating
procedures.

MR. WIRICK: Chairman Preisse, Members of the Board, this initiative that Bill's talked about began about a year ago with discussions between myself and Matt Damschroder. We finally began the engagement in April. And, initially, we focused on meeting the direct requirements of the Secretary of State for an Election Administration Plan.

It later became clear that documenting all of the processes of the Board, creating standard operating procedures, providing a basis for additional training, and a basis for knowledge transfer from existing staff to new staff as staff retire would be a document that would be useful to the Board.

We got started in April or so. The document that you have now, I think well exceeds the minimum requirements of the Secretary of State.

Those minimum requirements actually became a bit of a challenge last Friday when a new directive was issued by the Secretary of State that really slimmed down the requirements asked of boards of elections, but it required an outline that wasn't in the format we had been working on, which caused us to spend a fair amount of time, over the past weekend, putting things

into a format that both met the current directive of the Secretary of State and allowed us to submit the information that you have in front of you. The Secretary of State was very clear to say that boards of elections could exceed the minimum requirement and the minimum that was asked for.

So what you have here is a document that produces a timeline of the activities leading up to an election. And I apologize and I apologized to my graphic artist, as well, it doesn't show in its full glory. If we put it on one page and blow it up in poster-size, it's really quite an interesting document.

What we've got are section summaries that provide the information that's requested by the Secretary of State. We've got detailed analysis of 19 different processes engaged in by the Board of Elections to put on an election, all the way from maintenance in testing voting machines, voter registration, challenge of the right to vote, the processes that you engaged in this afternoon are also included there. There's a glossary with definitions of key terms and the forms that are related to an election as well.

That document, as Bill indicated, requires your approval for submission to the Secretary of State by Friday. It has been reviewed, the document, by the staff and the Executive Director, and I think Mr. Walch has reviewed at least parts of that document, although being that he's relatively new, he probably hasn't had a chance to do it all.

So that's where we are. We're quite proud of the document. We think it provides good documentation of all processes, how the Board of Election works. I think if any person were to spend any time with that document, they would clearly walk away with the opinion that the processes here are well thought-out and well managed and well followed.

Lastly, I'd just like to say it's been a pleasure working with Bill and Nathan and, more recently, Dana, and the staff of the Board. They are highly competent, dedicated, and were very cooperative and very patient with me as I tried to document all these procedures. And there you have it.

I'm prepared to answer any questions you might have about the document you have in front of

```
you or the process we went through to get where we are. I think this will be the benchmark document for an Election Administration Plan for the remainder of the state.
```

5 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: For the remaining 6 what?

MR. WIRICK: For the remainder of the counties in the state of Ohio.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It's quite a lengthy document.

Bill, you've been -- Dana hasn't been here very long, though I'd be surprised if there's anything in here he's not somewhat familiar with based on his background, but do you want to make comments on it?

they've been really great to work with. I read through the whole document at least a couple times and it is well put together. I submitted the EAP plan last year and we had something like 500-and-some-odd pages of mishmashed stuff that Babbage Simmel, I'm sure will tell you, it was just a lot of stuff all over the place.

And this document really streamlines it

and is really easy reading, it's really easy to follow, it highlights what our procedures are and highlights what rules and directives and laws that we have to — that we have to follow. And then it does a step—by—step of how we do things. And then in a lot of cases it does the schematics of the workflow which I think is just great. And that timeline, if you ever want to see it, Dana and I have it blown up in our offices, 3-foot—long —

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: The cover document in the front, is that what you're referencing?

pages. We blew that timeline up so that you can see it really well. And I cannot express enough how grateful I am to the staff for having the patience this busy year to take the time to work with David to get this thing finished.

And it took a lot of time. He spent many hours over here with our managers and other employees picking their brains, making sure that what's in that book makes sense, and it's a good book. And I do agree that this — we can share this as a standard for other counties, and that was part of our impetus of doing it is to be able to share it with other

counties.

You guys will all need to sign it. I got to pass it around. If you approve it, you need to sign this document. We would like for you to sign the front page of one of the documents.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: I got one right here.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: So sign the front page of one of them by your name, and I also need you to sign this document so we can submit it to the Secretary of State's office.

MR. WIRICK: I should point out there is one section of that document that is not a public document. There is a security section in the Election Administration Plan. There are two documents attached there. One is a general document which identifies the Secretary of State's security requirements, and the other are the processes engaged in by the Franklin County Board of Elections. That has not been deemed to be a public document by the Secretary of State, so we've made that notation in there as well.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And we'll put this document on our report, we're not going to try and

```
print a bunch of these, and we'll revise it yearly.
```

MR. WIRICK: And if there's any other positive news we could relate, we came in substantially under the budget that you authorized for the project.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: If there's no other questions, we'll accept a motion.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board adopt the Election Administration Plan developed by the BOE staff and that this plan be submitted to the Ohio Secretary of State's office as required by Directive 2011-47.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: And I would like to add to that motion the work that Babbage Simmel did on this, if we could, to reflect that we worked closely with them on that, if I may add that to the motion.

BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Yes, you may.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?

BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I'll second.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor,

say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

DIRECTOR ANTHONY: I hereby sign it and I

```
67
1
    will pass it around. Thank you.
2
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE:
                                   Thank you.
3
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: That's it.
4
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, if
5
     I may --
6
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Yes.
7
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: -- I would like
8
    to hear the motion, all this talking about things,
9
    we're not sure if we actually did the motion to
    certify the write-in candidates to the ballot on
10
11
    Exhibit C.
12
                 BOARD MEMBER MANIFOLD: I think I made
13
    the motion.
14
                 (Record read.)
15
                 DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALCH: That's correct.
16
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Okay.
17
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Mr. Chairman, that's
18
    all I have.
19
                 Motion to adjourn?
20
                 BOARD MEMBER MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I
21
    move to adjourn.
22
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: Is there a second?
23
                 BOARD MEMBER COLLEY:
                                       Second.
24
                 CHAIRMAN PREISSE: It's seconded.
```

```
68
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: All those in favor,
 1
 2
     say aye.
 3
                 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
                 DIRECTOR ANTHONY: We stand adjourned.
 4
                  (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
 5
     4:41 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

C_{Γ}	D	т	т	┖	Т	~ 7	ΤГ	1
(, Pi	\Box	- 1	- 1	г	- 1	\cdot		

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, January 4, 2012, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2013.

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481