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DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Looks like we have a quorum, but I'll go ahead and take the roll.

Kim Marinello.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Here.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Mike Sexton.
MEMBER SEXTON: Here.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Doug
Preisse.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Here.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Josh Jaffe.
MEMBER JAFFE: Here.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: I'll just remind folks that if they could state their name prior to speaking, that will probably help our court reporter out a little bit, maybe.

I'm David Payne, and the first item on the agenda is provisional ballots. And I'll call on Sara Ziemba to walk us through the provisional ballots, and Travis.

MR. SIMMONS: Good morning. I'm Travis Simmons. I'm the manager of the voter services department. Sara is the supervisor of voter services department. We began processing our provisional ballots on Wednesday, November the 9th,
by recounting the early voting election day provisionals. After the recount, after we recounted them, the total number of provisional ballots was 13,271. That's 13,271 provisional ballots. Approximately 15 bipartisan teams along with the quality control team and our counting -the counting team processed these ballots. We had assistance from other departments for processing the provisional ballots from our absentee and precinct election official departments as well, so we wanted to thank them for helping us with that. In order for provisional ballots to be approved, a provisional envelope must include a printed name, a current address, date of birth, ID and a signature. After processing these ballots, we -- after processing these provisional ballots, we came up with -- there was 12,193 approved provisional ballots, so that's like 91.8 percent of the provisional ballots that we processed were approved.

I want to give a special thank you for Sara Ziemba because last week I was out sick, so she was -- she had to take over the final processing and cleanup of the provisional ballots and compile our report, which she will present now.

Thank you.
DIRECTOR WHITE: Thank you, Travis.
MS. ZIEMBA: Thank you. I'm Sara Ziemba, the supervisor of voter services, as Travis said.

Good morning, everyone. I hope everything had a great Thanksgiving break. I know it did. It was much needed.

Again, like Travis, I'm going to echo his statements. We had a lot of help this time. We were expecting a really big number for provisionals because of turnout during a midterm year, and we had great help from our early vote center staff, from our absentee department staff and our PEO staff and trainers. So thank you to all the managers of those departments and to all the staff who helped us out over the last month and is still helping us out as we go forward from today, so thank you to all of them.

I also want to give another special thank you to some full-time staff in our department. As Travis mentioned, he was out last week. Debbie Curry and Becky Merosic both did an excellent job helping me open doors, make sure everything was under $D \& R$ lock, stepping in for

Travis when he was out, so thank you to both of them as well.

Again, as Travis said, we have 12,193 provisionals that we are recommending that the board approve today. They are all registered in Franklin County or somewhere in the state, which is the first requirement. And, again, they have all the required items, a printed time, date of birth, ID, current address and a valid signature. So all 12,193 of those ballots that we are recommending to approve fall under the category of all the required items are there, they're registered, and they're ready to go.

For the next -- if you look on your exhibit, I'll go down by category, the reasons for rejection, and we have 1,034 total ballots that we are recommending that the board reject today for lacking one of those required items. The first one that we have is 699 in the category that they are not registered in Franklin County or anywhere in the State of Ohio. To figure this out, the Secretary of State requires that Board of Elections staff perform three searches for the voter within their county registration database, which is our Tenex system. So our teams first start there, do
three searches using various information that the voter provides on the envelope, and if they can't find the voter registered in our county, they'll go to the statewide voter registration database, which is voter query that the Secretary of State runs. They'll perform another three searches there using, again, various information that the voter has provided. So it could be, like, name of -- first name and date of birth, last name and ID, whatever combination it is.

So after three more searches there, if the voter still can't be found, so that's six total searches, our first team will say that they are not found, not registered, and put that one to the side for our second team, which is our quality control team, that will go back and perform six more searches both in our -- three in our database, three in the statewide database.

So every one of these 699 ballots that we are recommending to be rejected for not being registered has been thoroughly checked by two separate processing teams to ensure that the voter is actually not registered in Franklin County or anywhere in the state. So that is 699 for not registered in the state.

The next one, we have 57 ballots that we recommend because they were cast in both the wrong precinct and location. In Ohio, voters vote where voters live, and so if you don't cast a ballot in the correct location and precinct for your current address, which is what the voter provides on the provisional affirmation statement, you're not possibly getting the right ballot for where you should be voting on the candidates and the issues for the address that you provide. In that case, we recommend that the board reject those 57 because voters voted on things that were not relevant to the address they provided on the front of that provisional envelope.

In most cases, when a voter comes in to vote, they'll give their address, show ID at the check-in table. If they are not found at that address, the worker -- poll worker will send them over to the provisional table where they'll fill out their affirmation statement, put their current address, and at that point, the paper table judge will look up that address that they put on the envelope, and if it is outside of the precinct where they're attempting to vote, the worker will tell the voter that they need to go to a different
location so they can get the correct ballot.
In these 57 cases, even after that being told by the poll worker this is where you need to go vote, sometimes it's in another place in Franklin County, sometimes we found that the voter actually lives in one of our neighboring counties, the poll workers tell them. And for whatever reason the voters says I don't want to go to that place where I actually live, I want to vote right now, if it's the end of the day, if they don't have time, whatever reason. But these 57 voters provide an address on their envelope that does not go with that location and the ballot with which they voted, and so, therefore, we would recommend those 57 voters' ballots are rejected for voting in the wrong precinct and in the wrong location.

The next category where we have ballots is the no signature category, and we have 24 ballots that fall under that. These ballots, it's pretty self-explanatory. One of the five required things that every provisional affirmation statement must have in order to be approved is a signature, and 24 people, they filled everything out except they didn't sign it. So, unfortunately, it's a silly reason to not count a vote, but if
it's not -- one of the required items is not there, then we can't approve it. So in that case, for these 24 provisional ballots, the voter failed to sign it, and, therefore, we would recommend that they be rejected.

The next category where we have a ballot is no printed name. And, again, this is one of those five required pieces of information that every voter has to provide, and we had one voter that did not put their printed name on the ballot, for whatever reason, and so, therefore, we -again, lacking all of the required items, we would recommend that that one ballot be rejected for not containing that printed name.

The next category you'll see are 37 provisional ballots that we recommend to be rejected because the voters failed to provide a valid current address or they provided an address that was outside of Franklin County as their current residential address, and we -- again, we would recommend that the board reject those.

Sometimes voters will put PO Boxes, they put addresses that are in a different county, they'll put business addresses. It's, basically, something that does not -- is not already
recognized by our system as a valid residential address. If that is the case of what the voter has provided as their residential address, we can't possibly precinct them a correct ballot to vote on, and so, therefore, if it's an invalid address, something that our system doesn't recognize as a valid residence in Franklin County for whatever the reason, we recommend that those 37 be rejected for failing to provide a current address, which, again, one of those five required items that each ballot affirmation must contain.

The next one we have on your list is 155 provisional ballots that did not contain a form of identification. So just for the record, voters can provide anything from their State of Ohio ID or driver's license number, the last four of their Social, they can provide a utility bill, a government document, a bank check, military ID, a whole host of forms that a voter is able to provide. In the case of these 155 voters, there was nothing provided as -- by means of identification. So nothing on the ID section of the provisional affirmation was filled out or was checked showing that they had shown the poll worker something other than a state ID, driver's license
or Social Security number.
And, again, for the record, voters, when they -- when each voter votes a provisional ballot, they are handed an instruction sheet to take with them when they leave that gives them a hotline number that they can call, it calls us here if they have questions, if they want to see if their vote counted, and on that notice that they get, it is also worded on there that if they did not provide any form of identification on their provisional, they have seven days to come to the Board of Elections and supplement their affirmation statement with the proper ID. So it's to help people if they didn't have a utility bill, they didn't have a driver's license that day when they went in to vote, they get that extra seven days to come here and provide that so we can still count their ballot. And, unfortunately, after those seven days passed, we still have these 155 voters who did not cure their provisional and provide an ID to us, and, so, therefore, we would recommend that the board reject these 155 ballots under the category of having no ID, which is one of the required items.

Your next category is a signature
mismatch, and we had one provisional ballot that fell under this category, and this is -- before we reject anyone for a signature mismatch, we will look at every document that we have on file for the voter and we'll try to match -- you know, be as generous as we can to the voter to try and match, swoops, dots, Ts, curves of the letters, and in this case, it was just totally and completely -- it looked like someone else had signed it, like a totally different name than what was even on the provisional affirmation. So for this one, for the one signature mismatch, we would recommend the board reject it based off it was not a genuine signature for that voter.

The next category we have are 24 ballots where the voter has already voted, and that means that they -- for whatever reason, the voter probably requested an absentee ballot, sent it in, didn't know if it was going to get here in time, so as a safeguard, they went to vote on election day at their polling location, cast a provisional ballot, and by the time we went to process that provisional, their ballot had arrived here. So in those cases, obviously, every voter only gets one vote, so we would have counted their absentee
ballot. We want to recommend that the board reject their provisional ballot, which would be their second vote. So there are 24 of those where the voter has already voted.

And, again, I should mention for the record that in each one of those 24 cases and in every election where we have voters where we are rejecting them for voting twice or attempting to vote twice, our fraud department will reach out to all of those voters, do an interview, and if there's any -- anything that needs to be brought to the board's attention, we will bring that to you after those investigations are concluded probably early next year. So that's 24, again, in that category for voters who've already voted and had another vote counted to this election.

The next category we have is date of birth missing. There are 26 ballots that fall under that category, and I'll note this because we have date of birth issues that the board can review coming up a little later, but in the case of these 24 voters, they had not provided any form of birthday there, so it was just a blank field, and in that case, there's no provision that would allow you to overrule that missing information and count
them. It's lacking one of the five required items, and, therefore, with no date of birth attempted by the voter, we would recommend that those 26 ballots be rejected for missing a date of birth.

And then our last category in the rejection is we had ten ballots, provisional ballots that there was no ballot in the envelope. And there's a -- you know, a whole host of reasons why there doesn't end up being a ballot in the envelope, but the bottom line is is that if there is no ballot in the envelope, there's nothing for you to approve to count. The way we tell there's no ballot in the envelope is there are holes in the provisional envelope where you can see that there's a ballot in there, and so if you hold it up and there's no ballot, we'll still use the form as a registration form for the voter as an update or a new registration. But because of the fact that there is no ballot to be approved in the envelope, we would recommend that the board reject those ten for not having a ballot in the envelope.

So in conclusion, voter services recommends that the board rejects this grand total of 1,034 provisional ballots for the critical deficiencies that I've given you in detail and that
are listed by category in Exhibit A.
And, again, with all of these provisional ballots, I'll say for the record, that we will continue to work on processing them as registration forms after this board meeting, so that even if the ballot is rejected, we will still use it as a voter registration for all the people who were not registered. They'll be registered for the next elections to come. We'll update addresses for those who are already registered. And even the ones that are approved, we will use those all to update signatures and other information on the voter's record.

So our job doesn't stop here, but it does start with you approving and rejecting these ballots today. And if you have any questions, we'll take a pause there and I'll be happy to answer.

MEMBER JAFFE: I've got a question. This is Josh Jaffe.

So for the reasons for rejections, all the people in these categories would have left the polling location knowing there was some deficiency with their ballot, that they had seven days to cure it; is that right?

MS. ZIEMBA: In the form of -- or in terms of the no ID. The no ID is the only thing they're actually allowed to come back here and cure. When they are at the polling location, the workers do have a template that they can put over the provisional, and so before the voter leaves to put their ballot in and actually vote, the workers should use that template and they can just do a quick little visual check to make sure there is information in all of the required fields. Sometimes, because of business at the polls, because people get overwhelmed sometimes, I think that maybe the template doesn't get used every time, and that's why we see some of these categories with information just completely missing. So both the poll worker and the voter have to take accountability for making sure all of these fields get filled out.

MEMBER JAFFE: Okay. And another
question. On the no ballot in the envelope category, seems like the outcome's probably the same whether we reject it or approve the -MS. ZIEMBA: Right. There's no ballot to count, so yeah.

MEMBER JAFFE: Okay.

MEMBER MARINELLO: So you're saying that each thing that they're responsible for, it can't be cured?

MS. ZIEMBA: Yeah. So the only thing they can cure that is allowed by the Ohio Revised Code and the Secretary of State is that ID. They're allowed to come in and give us an ID. And I think it's to help those people if they don't have, like, a printed copy that day, they could leave within that week, go get one and bring it in here if they didn't have their Social Security number or driver's license, so that gives them a little flexibility there. But all the other items, you have to fill out that day.

MEMBER SEXTON: I have a question on the date of birth missing, the 26 versus the 44. So on the 26, they just didn't fill out any of the --

MS. ZIEMBA: Yeah. They left date of birth field blank.

MEMBER SEXTON: Totally blank?
MS. ZIEMBA: Yes.
MEMBER SEXTON: Whereas, on the 44 that's going to come to us, they might have just but the wrong date or --

MS. ZIEMBA: Exactly. They at least attempted to put a date there. It was inconsistent with what we had on the system, which is why you all are allowed to vote to approve it or reject it, but if there's just nothing there, then it's just a missing required item, if that makes sense.

MEMBER SEXTON: Thank you.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: So you're recommending, just so I'm straight, 12,193 for approval at this point?

MS. ZIEMBA: Correct.
MEMBER MARINELLO: Okay.
Mr. Chairman, Kim Marinello.
I move that the board approve the counting of 12,193 provisional ballots, and that all provisional ballots deemed valid will be counted and included in the official canvas results for the November 8th, 2022 general election.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Doug Preisse.
Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been
properly moved and seconded. All those in favor of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

And, again, just so I'm clear, you're recommending that 1,034 provisional ballots not be --

MS. ZIEMBA: Be rejected, correct. DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: That they are rejected?

MS. ZIEMBA: Yes.
MEMBER SEXTON: Mr. Chairman,
Michael Sexton.
I move that the board reject the counting of 1,034 provisional ballots as identified by category in Exhibit A, and that all provisional ballots rejected will not be counted or included in the official canvas results for the

November 8th, ' 22 general election.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Doug Preisse.
Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been properly moved and seconded. And all those in favor of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
(Vote taken.) DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion carries.

The next item to agenda is the extraction of provisional ballots, and that would be the extraction of the 12,193 ?

MS. ZIEMBA: That's correct.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: And we have bipartisan teams ready to do that?

MS. ZIEMBA: Yep. Absolutely. Back in our ballot vault, we have 24 bipartisan teams who are ready to open these ballots. We'll open the envelopes, take out the ballot, put them -- our absentee team will scan them, get them counted and tabulated, and then we double-check in envelopes to make sure all ballots have been extracted, and then voter services team will get started processing these as registrations, and absentee will continue with the tabulation of the official results.

MEMBER JAFFE: Well, let's let them get to work. Josh Jaffe.

I move that the board authorize the staff to extract the approved provisional ballots.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Kim Marinello.
Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been properly moved and seconded. All those in favor of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
The next item on the ballot -- or on the agenda is provisional ballots for consideration, and I think those are the 44 that Board Member Sexton had mentioned.

MS. ZIEMBA: All right. So our next category -- again, we have 44 for you today for board review where the voter has attempted to put a birthday on there, but it is inconsistent with what we have on our county database. In this instance where the voter has provided us something, unlike where the other 26 from before where they didn't do anything there, you are able to look at these ballots and evaluate, take a vote, and if three or more of you decide to approve them, we are able to
approve these ballots, provided all of the other information on the affirmation statement is correct and does match our registration system. So I'll read the exception from Ohio Revised Code 3505.183: If the affirmation statement contains the voter's date of birth, but the month and day are different from the month and day in the statewide voter registration database, the board must reject the provisional ballot unless the voter's date of birth in the database is 1-1-1800, or the Board of Elections finds by a vote of at least three of its members that the voter has met all other requirements of the division.

So I can confirm that other than the date of birth, and I've separated them out into the problem with the date of birth, all other information on the front of the affirmation statement there matches what we have in our system. We've determined that the voter is registered, they have a printed name, current valid address, identification, signature. The only thing that's inconsistent is the date of birth, and we have -- I'll go by category here for you. There are 18 that wrote 2022 as their date of birth year. It happens all the time.

We have 14 where the day is different than what we have in our system, one where the month was different, seven where the year was different, three had transposed their month and day, and one, the whole thing was transposed, the whole birthday.

The reason for this exception is that often, like in the case of writing 2022 as the birth year, voters will make simple mistakes and our poll workers won't necessarily catch it because they see the date of birth there. Even if they use the template, their mind is just saying, yeah, they fulfilled that requirement.

Also with date of birth, sometimes, you know, our data entry might have mistakenly put something in with the wrong date or partial date of birth, and I think that the exception exists so that as long as everything else matches, we can still count the ballot with your approval of a vote of three or more.

So, again, I will reiterate that all of the other information on all of those ballots is -- matches what's in our database, everything other than whatever the various date of birth issue is. So if -- if after you look at them or if you have any questions, $I$ can answer that, but voter
services would recommend that the board take a vote to approve those 44 ballots under the exception that the voter has provided or attempted to provide a date of birth. And I should also mention that afterwards, all of these, when we're entering them a voter registration update, we will contact the voter with a letter and ask them again to confirm their date of birth with us. We'll also be able to look up on the BMV site to see if perhaps we've entered our date of birth wrong and what's on the envelope is correct. So we'll do research that we aren't allowed to do during provisional processing, but we are allowed to do when we're processing them as a registration to try to make sure that other voter records are correct, especially if what is on those envelopes is the correct information and we need to update and correct our system.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Can you reread
that line from the Revised Code?
MS. ZIEMBA: Sure.
Exception: If the affirmation
statement contains the voter's date of birth, but the month and day are different from the month and day in the statewide voter registration database, the board must reject the provisional ballots
unless the voter's date of birth in the database is 1-1-1800 or the Board of Elections finds by a vote of at least three of its members that the voter has met all of the other requirements of the division. MEMBER JAFFE: Look to our legal counsel here. It sounds like we have the authority to approve these ballots if the month and day is different, but everything else is correct, and that is the narrow case in which we have that authority.

MS. HUMMER: You have the authority to -- you have two options, obviously, the 1800 or if the board, by majority, determines that based on the reasons set forth by staff, that the date of birth should be accepted.

MEMBER JAFFE: It sounds like all of that was within the window of the month and date being different on the date of birth. Am I reading that correctly?

MS. HUMMER: I think you have authority to -- that your vote can act upon. It's not narrowed to just the month and date, it's as a whole.

MS. ZIEMBA: That's been the traditional practice of the board is if the voter has provided an attempt at a date of birth and all
other information is correct, historically, the board has approved those under this exception.

MS. HUMMER: So it gives you the broader authority to accept these if all the other elements are met.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Okay.
MS. HUMMER: And you have before you a recommendation, based on review, that all the other elements have been met and that the recommendation, I believe, from staff is to approve these.

MEMBER JAFFE: Can I see the one where the whole thing is different, the furthest? Thank you.

MEMBER SEXTON: Which one?
DIRECTOR WHITE: The top one right there. Yep.

MS. HUMMER: And you can take them in groups, if you want.

MEMBER JAFFE: So do we have on here the correct date of birth?

MS. ZIEMBA: No. I don't think it's on there, but that one, it was not correct. Like, didn't match what we had.

MEMBER JAFFE: That mean someone's
been lying about their birthday.
MS. ZIEMBA: Or we typed it in wrong. It's like we won't know until we do more research.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Are you satisfied?

MEMBER JAFFE: I think I'm satisfied, yep.

So we need a separate motion to approve the additional provisional ballots.

MS. HUMMER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN PREISSE: This adds up to
44.

MEMBER MARINELLO: The 44.
MEMBER JAFFE: This is Josh Jaffe.
I'll move that the board approve the counting of 44 additional provisional ballots, and that all provisional ballots deemed valid will be counted and included in the official canvas results for the November 8, 2022 general election.

MEMBER SEXTON: Michael Sexton.
I'll second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been properly moved and seconded. All those in favor of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed,
same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
I think you would probably like to open those additional 44 as well.

MS. ZIEMBA: Yes, we would.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The number there will be 44.

MEMBER MARINELLO: Kim Marinello.
I move that the board authorize the
staff to extract the additional 44 approved provisional ballots.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Dog Preisse.
Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been properly moved and seconded. All those in favor of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
It's been practice in the past that we authorize the staff of bipartisan teams to remake any provisional ballots.

MEMBER SEXTON: Mr. Chairman, Michael Sexton.

I move that the board authorize the staff to remake provisional ballots and that these remade ballots shall be tabulated and included in the official canvas of the results from November 8th, 2022 general election, with a report to be made to the board tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Dog Preisse.
Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been properly moved and seconded. All those in favor of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: The motion carries.

The next item on the agenda is the remarking of un-scanned election day ballots. I'll
turn that over to Carla Patton, our director of operations.

MS. PATTON: Good morning.
As a result of the ballots cast at the polls on election day, there were some ballots that were returned to us as un-scannable at the polling location due to the bottoms of the paper ballots being ripped off in a quick tear from the stubs or the barcodes were unreadable by the machines from the marking devices. So after our canvas, we have 47 ballots that we're asking to be remade and included in the official canvas.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Any questions?
MEMBER JAFFE: What percent of the total ballots are we remarking? Is it. 0000 ?

MS. PATTON: Yeah. There's some slim portion out of 200 -- or, I'm sorry, about 500- -- just under 500,000 ballots, I think, were cast, so 47 ballots.

MEMBER JAFFE: It's the one with the few zeros in front of it. Okay.

MEMBER SEXTON: Mr. Chairman, Michael Sexton.

I move the board authorize the staff to remake 47 un-scanned election day ballots and
that these remade ballots shall be tabulated and included in the official canvas the results of the November 8th, 2022 general election, with a report to be made to the board tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: Dog Preisse.
Second.
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: It's been
properly moved and seconded. All those in favor of the motion, signify by saying "aye."
(Vote taken.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: All opposed, same sign.
(No response.)
DEPUTY DIRECTOR PAYNE: Motion
carries.
I believe that takes care of what the board would need to address today.

CHAIRMAN PREISSE: If there's no other questions or comments, we'll be in recess.

MEMBER JAFFE: We are in recess.
Thereupon, the hearing concluded at approximately 10:36 a.m.

-     -         - 
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BOARD MEMBERS: Douglas J. Preisse, Chairman $\star$ Josh Jaffe $\star$ Kimberly E. Marinello $\star$ Michael E. Sexton
Antone White, DIRECTOR David R. Payne, DEPUTY DIRECTOR

| EXHIBIT A AMENDED: NOVEMBER 8, 2022 GENERAL ELECTION <br> PROVISIONAL BALLOTS RECOMMENDED FOR ACCEPTANCE AND <br> REJECTION  <br> GRAND TOTAL RECOMMENDED TO ACCEPT  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| REASONS FOR REJECTION | 12192 |
| Not Registered in the State | 699 |
| Wrong Precinct and Location | 57 |
| Wrong Precinct and Correct Location | 0 |
| No Signature | 24 |
| No Printed Name | 1 |
| Failed to Provide Valid Current Address | 37 |
| No ID | 155 |
| Signature Mismatch | 1 |
| Voter Already Voted | 24 |
| Challenge Upheld | 0 |
| DOB Missing | 26 |
| No Ballot In Envelope | 11 |
| GRAND TOTAL RECOMMENDED TO REJECT | 1035 |
| DATE OF BIRTH ISSUES FOR BOARD REVIEW | 44 |
| Total Provisional Ballots Cast | $\mathbf{1 3 2 7 1}$ |

BOARD MEMBERS: Douglas J. Preisse, Chairman $\star$ Josh Jaffe $\star$ Kimberly E. Marinello $\star$ Michael E. Sexton
Antone White, DIRECTOR

| EXHIBIT A: NOVEMBER 8, 2022 GENERAL ELECTION  <br> PROVISIONAL BALLOTS RECOMMENDED FOR ACCEPTANCE  <br> AND REJECTION  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| GRAND TOTAL RECOMMENDED TO ACCEPT | $\mathbf{1 2 1 9 3}$ |
|  |  |
| REASONS FOR REJECTION | 699 |
| Not Registered in the State | 57 |
| Wrong Precinct and Location | 0 |
| Wrong Precinct and Correct Location | 24 |
| No Signature | 1 |
| No Printed Name | 37 |
| Failed to Provide Valid Current Address | 155 |
| No ID | 1 |
| Signature Mismatch | 24 |
| Voter Already Voted | 0 |
| Challenge Upheld | 26 |
| DOB Missing | 10 |
| No Ballot In Envelope | $\mathbf{1 0 3 4}$ |
| GRAND TOTAL RECOMMENDED TO REJECT | 44 |
| DATE OF BIRTH ISSUES FOR BOARD REVIEW | $\mathbf{1 3 2 7 1}$ |
| Total Provisional Ballots Cast |  |

